

## **Status Report of the informal group for gtr 7 – Phase 2 (Head Restraints)**

### **1. Background**

At its 143<sup>rd</sup> session in November 2007, the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29) agreed to provide guidance to the Working Party on Passive Safety (GRSP) for the development of the draft gtr on head restraints (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1064, para. 81) and that Phase 2 of the gtr should consider, as indicated in informal document No. WP.29-143-23-Rev.1, the following issues:

- (a) The head restraint height of 850 mm;
- (b) The appropriate dynamic test, including the test procedure, injury criteria and the associated corridors for the biofidelic rear impact dummy II (BioRID II).

At its 148<sup>th</sup> session, in June 2009, the Executive Committee of the 1998 Agreement (AC.3) agreed on the two-step approach suggested by the representative of the United Kingdom and of the United States of America. This approach considers whether BioRID II can more effectively address injuries occurring in low speed rear impact crashes and focus on reducing injuries in higher speed rear impact crashes as a second step.

At its 149<sup>th</sup> session, in November 2009, Japan submitted to AC.3 a proposal for developing amendments to the gtr, prepared jointly with the United Kingdom and the United States of America, and the revised timetable. AC.3 agreed to develop the amendment to the gtr.

The first interim report of this activity was provided to the 152<sup>nd</sup> session of WP29/AC3 in November 2010 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2010/136). The second interim report was provided to the 154<sup>th</sup> session of WP29/AC3 in June 2011 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2011/86).

### **2. Status**

The Informal Group has met on seven occasions with the last occasion being in Washington DC on June 10/11. Participation includes both industry and government representatives from the regions of Asia Pacific, North America and Europe.

The Informal Group is addressing the head restraint height issue in two parts:

The first part is to review the procedure for measuring the height of the head restraint and in particular to determine the effective height rather than the physical height of the device. A small sub-group, including representatives from the manufacturing sector and coordinated by the Netherlands, has made good progress in establishing a revised procedure which should be ready for detailed discussion at the next Informal Group meeting. The second part is to make recommendations for the height requirement and this will be discussed following agreement on the measurement procedure.

Regarding BioRid, significant progress has been made concerning evaluation of repeatability and reproducibility. However, while most evaluations have indicated good performance in these two areas, there are ongoing research activities to address concerns about reproducibility raised by one representative.

A round robin programme, using the BioRid specimens that had exhibited variance, was agreed at the Washington meeting. The dummies were shipped to the United States where GM and NHTSA have conducted tests. It was intended that the dummies would then be returned to Europe where the round robin would continue and a test series, funded by the European Commission, would complete the programme. Unfortunately, the dummies were recalled to their owners following the US programme and so the round robin was not completed. Nevertheless, the European programme is continuing but with alternative dummies.

Injury criteria are being evaluated by NHTSA and by JARI. The NHTSA work is complete but the Japanese study has been delayed as a result of the tsunami earlier this year. JARI expect to be in a position to provide their analysis for consideration by the Informal Group in the first quarter of 2012.

Submitted by the Chairman of the Informal  
Group of UN GTR No. 7 – Phase 2

Informal document **WP.29-155-40**  
(155<sup>th</sup> WP.29, 15-18 November 2011,  
agenda item 16.4)

The Informal Group continues to work toward providing recommendations to GRSP at their 2012 session although the additional testing programme and the unforeseeable delays on development of injury criteria have caused some slippage in the programme. The Informal Group will advise WP29/AC3 of any impact that these delays may have on the delivery of their recommendations at the 156<sup>th</sup> session in March 2012.

The next meeting of the Informal Group will be held in London during week beginning 19 March (immediately after the 156<sup>th</sup> session of WP29) in conjunction with the Informal Groups on WorldSid and Pole Side Impact.

\*\*\*\*\*