Report to GRPE 76
IWVTA-GRPE Ambassador
(Bill Coleman – OICA)
IWVTA, 1958 Agreement, R0, DETA:

1) **Draft General Guidelines for United Nation regulatory procedures and transitional provisions in UN Regulations:**

WP.29 adopted ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2017/107, Corr.1 and Add.1, with amendments

OICA introduced WP.29-173-14 to raise concerns that the new rules for extensions to existing type approvals were developed after the most recent amendments to UN Regulations, which therefore obviously could not take into account these new Rules. As a result, recently adopted supplements could unexpectedly have a retroactive impact on some vehicle type approvals when these are extended.
IWVTA, 1958 Agreement, R0, DETA:

2) Revision 3 of the 1958 Agreement


Amongst other changes this permits Contracting Parties to issue Approvals to Series of Amendments previous to the latest one.

3) "Question and Answer" (Q&A) document on Revision 3

ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2017/131 and WP.29-173-16 that clarifies Q&A No.20.

The World Forum adopted ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2017/131 with amendments:
IWVTA, 1958 Agreement, R0, DETA:

4) **DETA**

Development of an electronic database for the exchange of type approval documentation (DETA) (agenda item 4.5)

Germany (WP.29-173-13) proposed to temporarily host and finance DETA under the condition that UNECE takes over DETA under regular budget at the latest from 2022 onwards and that the industry covers the development costs of the Unique Identifier and the Declaration of Conformity.

This would allow an implementation date of DETA by mid 2018.

WP.29 preferred this scenario and thanked Germany for its offer.
WLTP - Principle of Transposition

Summary of three approaches (see WLTP- 20-04e and IWVTA-25-11 for details)

• **Approach 1**: Traditional approach to avoid “options”. Faithful to the 1958 Agreement.
  - UN R.00 covers level 1a; UN R.01 covers level 1b; UN R.02 covers top level
  - Pro: Fully in line with the new 58 Agreement
  - Cons: Long time (18 months) before levels are in force + high admin. burden.

• **Approach 2**: ‘Untraditional approach’ - to speed up process
  - UN R.00 covers all regional levels 1a, 1b; UN R.01 covers top level 2
  - Pro: Shorter lead in time and reduced admin. burden compared to Approach 1.
  - Con: Could become complicated (unworkable?) after rounds of amendments are made; also, the base version UN R.00 would contain options at choice of CPs

• **Approach 3**: Rejected

• **Solution(?)**: If Legal Office OLA were to accept simultaneous notification and entry into force