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Missing description in test procedure of Test 6 (b) in the Manual of Tests and Criteria

Transmitted by the expert from Sweden[[1]](#footnote-2)

Background

1. Section 16.5.1 of the Manual of Tests and Criteria describes the test procedure as well as the criteria to assess results for Test 6 (b). Section 16.5.1.4 (c) contains the description for selection of suitable initiation system for packaged substances which are not intended for use as explosives. According to the description, the initiation system should be selected based on the test result in Test 6 (a).

Problem and solution

2. The problem is that the referred “+” result in section 16.5.4 (c) is not defined i.e. there is no description of what a “+” result in a type 6 (a) test is. This causes uncertainties in application of the test procedure.

3. From the description of Test 6 (a) in section 16.4.1 especially the “test criteria and method of assessing results” in section 16.4.1.4, it can be deduced that the “+” result mentioned in section 16.5.1.4 means a mass explosion in Test 6 (a). Thus, to replace the undefined “a “+” result” by “mass explosion” will eliminate the uncertainty.

Proposal

4. Amend the text of section 16.5.1.4 (c) to read as follows (text to be inserted is shown as underlined (inserted) and text to be removed is shown as strike-through (~~deleted~~)):

“Substances not intended for use as explosives, but provisionally accepted into Class 1, should be tested using whichever initiation system ~~gave a "+" result~~ which resulted in a mass explosion in a type 6 (a) test.”.

1. In accordance with the programme of work of the Sub-Committee for 2017–2018 approved by the Committee at its eighth session (see ST/SG/AC.10/C.3/100, paragraph 98 and ST/SG/AC.10/44, paragraph 14). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)