Proposal for Corrigendum of Supplement 28 to the 01 series of amendments to Regulation No. 6 (Direction indicators)

The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from Finland to correct the error in the Regulation No. 6.

The modifications to the existing text of the Regulation are marked in bold for new characters.

I. Proposal

Paragraph 1.3., correct to read:

"1.3. "Direction indicators of different types" means lamps which differ in such essential respects as:

(a) the trade name or mark:

(i) lamps bearing the same trade name or mark but produced by different manufacturers shall be considered as being of different types;

(ii) lamps produced by the same manufacturer differing only by the trade name or mark shall be considered as being of the same type.

(b) the characteristics .......

II. Justification


The amendment of Regulation No. 6 from that GTB proposal was adopted at the 171st session of WP.29 in March 2017 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2017/21).

The amendments to Regulation No. 6 proposed by the Task Force on Sequential Activation (TF-SA), clarifying the provisions of sequential activation of directions indicators, were still reviewed by GRE during the 77th session in April 2017. Thus the adoption of the TF-SA proposal, unfortunately, was delayed to the 172nd session of WP.29 in June 2017 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2017/73).

This meant that the addition to the paragraph 1.3 of Regulation No. 6 from the collective amendments (Supplement 27 to the 01 series of amendments) was unintentionally deleted in the subsequent amendment (Supplement 28 to the 01 series of amendments) concerning sequential activation.
TF-SA proposal amended also Regulation No. 50, but the paragraph 2.2 concerning the type differentiation was not changed, so Regulation No. 50 does not require a correction.

This document proposes a corrigendum to bring back the missing text to the paragraph 1.3 of Regulation No. 6.

____________________