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Annex 6 Provisions Mandatory or not?

• Current practice is different from the “mandatory compliance” paradigm
• Compliant IDPs are seen as documents facilitating the international travel in cases where DDPs are different from the Conventions’ provisions

IN CASE OF MANDATORY COMPLIANCE

• CPs face significant financial burden (mandatory ISO security features) => may hinder the accession to non-CPs
• CPs lose flexibilities to sustain cultural specificities/ national format
• The IDP function becomes obsolete

IN CASE OF VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE

• A greater margin of flexibility for driving permit issuing authorities
• Facilitation of the accession process for non-Contracting Parties
• Preserves IDPs in the system allowing for a greater harmonisation between 1949 and 1968 Conventions
• Creates an urgent need to enhance and harmonise the IDP delivery system with appropriate security standards
• IRAN
Compliant categories but no transliteration (DDP is delivered in Farsi)

• BRAZIL
Non-compliant with 2006 Amendment
Three “A” sub-categories are under development

• THAILAND
Special category for tuk tuks

• URUGUAY
A specific category for taxi and ambulance drivers
Amendment of Annex 6

OBJECTIVES

• Eliminate discrepancies between existing provisions and the European standard (EU Directive)

• Allow for certain flexibility of security features and format

• Ensure an optimal use of the ISO International Standards on Driving Licenses

• Develop standards and format that appeal to both public authorities and users and encourage harmonisation

ACTIONS

• Survey on harmonisation of domestic driving permits

• Consultation among Members of the Informal Working Group

• Findings of the Pilot Project
Amendment of Annex 7

OBJECTIVES

• Enhance mechanisms of reciprocal data sharing between the DDP and IDP register systems

• Ensure space for the interpretation of all DDP entries beyond the scope of compliance with the Convention Provisions

• Eliminate a possibility of introducing human errors in the copying of particulars from the DDP

• Enhance security features, classifying them into “mandatory” and “optional”

ACTIONS

• Analyze findings of the Pilot Project

• Encourage voluntary adoption of new provisions by 1949 CPs

• Encourage the principle of mutual recognition (1926 Practice)
Harmonisation of UN legal instruments

- Discrepancies as to the status of the IDP in the 1949 and the 1968 Conventions
  - Entries on DDPs accepted for international travel (codes & categories)
  - A method of IDP transcription from original DDPs
  - IDP as a standalone document vs. support translation
  - Format and characters

- Fluid exchange between law enforcement authorities (FIA network)
- Standardize a computerized register system -> linking IDPs and DDPs to ensure a mutual suspension/cancellation if required
Pilot project

• Adapt a document to future needs of international motoring community
• Develop a “hybrid” format to adapt to both Conventions
• Ensure a new format doesn’t prevent non-contracting parties from acceding to Conventions
• Incorporate features that can render a document a “stand-alone” status
• Assess opportunities to digitalize a document
• Increase the document utility in the eyes of both users and law enforcement officers
• Verify tech specs on offline and online verification of the document to facilitate the creation of unified registry platforms
Pilot Project Post-Phase I Survey

Is it the first time you have acquired IDL?

- Not: 126 (26.4%)
- Yes: 18.2%

Did you know there was a new IDL format in the UAE?

- Yes: 64.1%
- No: 35.9%
Pilot Project Post-Phase I Survey

Do you feel the new IDL document is an improvement on the previous version?
210 responses
- Yes: 79%
- No: 15.7%
- Impartial: 5.3%

Do you feel the IDL give you a sense of security?
472 responses
- Yes: 92.8%
- No: 7.2%
The new IDL has updated many features, which feature is most important to you?

- Look & Feel: 39.4%
- Security Features: 30.8%
- Processing Time: 9%
- VISA Style Details Page: 20.9%

Would you like the option for a 3 year IDL?

- Yes: 83.8%
- No: 16.2%

465 responses

468 responses
Future IDP system

• Security features and enhanced format

In particular under scenario where IDPs regain a status of a stand-alone document

• Delivery system

Online register/electronic distribution/protection access to data

Ownership of IDP delivery statistics

• General role of IDPs in the driving permit system

• Avoid unreasonable financial burden on governments and, consequently, drivers
Trends of digitalisation

**TRENDS**

- More **mobility**
- Greater demand for **security** and trust
- More calls for **public** supervision of **digital identification systems**
- Even more [National ID card and eID programs](#), national ID initiatives and implementations

**ONGOING INITIATIVES**

- The ISO SC17 WG10 - Task Force 14 "Mobile Driving License" started to work on verification standards for Mobile DL and defined the scope of off-line verification.
- Factors in Focus: beyond security, universal convenience and practicality of the document use
- Pilot countries:
  - US, Australia, Netherlands, UK
Future Driving Permit System

• Compliant with international standards of security, efficiency, ease of use and recognition

• Properly enforced and controlled at national and international levels

• Comprehensive interpretation of categories, symbols, other entries

• International harmonization:
  – Annex 6 format allowing for both domestic or international driving
  – Annex 7 format allowing for the interpretation of national permits (different from Annex 6) into the internationally recognised standard

• Accessible and comprehensive for both users and law enforcement authorities

• Subject to a consistent record-taking and monitoring