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## Schedule & Milestones

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Review of (existing) studies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Factors at level crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychological models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Model(s) for level crossing application, including a list about derived assumptions and hypotheses about human (mis-)behaviour at level crossings</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Human Factors solutions</strong></td>
<td>List of Tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>existing tools and 'theoretical' ones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Suggestion(s) for further work/research: towards proving that our tools work</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Draft paper available</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1/2015</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5/2015</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5/2015</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of human factors - questionnaire

• 24 feedbacks received

• from 22 countries

• not included here: issues on ‘survey of technology and technological solutions’
  (see Section III-e of report of last meeting) – questions
  • Question # 38: LC layout
  • Question #39: railside protection equipment
  • Question #44: ITS-solutions

• results by question:
Q1: What are the three main causes behind level crossing accidents in your country?
Q2:
Does your country have any solutions and/or creative and innovative countermeasures to solve these problems?
Q3:
Do you have any research studies or papers on human factors relating to the behaviour of road users around level crossings which you could share?
Q4:
Are there any educational programmes in your country that focus on the awareness of the road users concerning level crossings safety?
Q5:
Referring to the list of human factors at the start of this section E, has your country taken any action to improve safety at level crossings on the basis of these causative factors?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General awareness campaigns</td>
<td>Visability improvement</td>
<td>Wide angle LED</td>
<td>Control by police</td>
<td>Vertical signing for better attention</td>
<td>Elastic plates instead of asphalt</td>
<td>Rail side protection system (IE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social advertising of the rules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph showing the number of actions taken for each suggestion.
Q6: Do you have any other comments?

- Education for road drivers and pedestrians
- Expert group to identify solutions
- More Research, like illusions on LC
- Campaign 'Stop and live'

No
Results of questionnaire - conclusion (1): What did we get?

• **causes (Q1):**
  – lack of risk awareness
  – care(less)
  – distraction

• **solutions (Q2 & Q5):**
  – awareness
  – removal of LC
  – enhance visibility! (site-specific & wide-angle LED lights)

• **research (Q3):** only few existing

• **LC-educational programmes (Q4):** often included in general awareness campaigns

• **general (Q6):** education
Observations from the survey results

- Human factors as priority area in level crossing accidents identified by all responses
- Tools and solutions often have a technological focus and do not focus on human factors
- Tools and solutions are often based on impressions of singular incidents and
  • ...Not theory driven
  • ...Not structured
  • ...but by „trial & error“-method

▶ Theories and models from the field of human factors can be used in order to describe the process of crossing a LX
Next Steps of LC-human factors subgroup

• **establish a LC-model** (of human information processing): the basics / foundation of a ‘toolbox’

• **LC assessment device**: the ‘toolbox’
establish a LC-model - useful models

- Fast and Slow: Systems 1 and 2 (Kahnemann, 2011)
- 3-Levels of performance (Rasmussen, 1983)
- Model of information processing (Wickens & Hollands, 1999)
„Fast and Slow“: Systems 1 and 2 (Kahnemann, 2011)

**System 1 – „Fast“**
- Defining Characteristics:
  - Automatic
  - Effortless
  - Unconscious
- No sense of voluntary control
- „Seeing and acting“

**System 2 – „Slow“**
- Defining Characteristics
  - Controlled mental process
  - Effortful
  - Deliberate and conscious
- With Control or self-awareness
- „Reasoning and acting“
The „Toolbox“ 1st draft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System 1 - Fast</th>
<th>System 2 - Slow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Brain" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Brain" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"3-Levels of performance"
(Rasmussen, 1983)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System 1 – Fast</th>
<th>System 2 - Slow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skill – based behavior</td>
<td>Rule – based behavior</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The „Toolbox“ 1st draft
Information processing (Wickens & Hollands, 1999)
## The „Toolbox“ 1st draft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attention</th>
<th>System 1 – Fast</th>
<th>System 2 - Slow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skill – based behavior</td>
<td>Rule – based behavior</td>
<td>Knowledge – based behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory processing</td>
<td>Perception</td>
<td>Cognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level Crossing Appreciation Device

The birth of a human factors tool box
Five groups of functions

1. Attention
2. Perception
3. Cognition
4. Motivation
5. Performance
1. Attention

Monitoring the road

Refraining from distracting activities (cell phones, GPS, radio, food, drink etc.)

Influence of problematic factors (fatigue, alcohol, emotional state etc.)

Factors competing for attention (kids in car, advertisements, other vehicles, radio program etc.)
2. Perception

Perception of signs, lights, markings and barriers

Perception of distance, speed, changes in road etc.

Perception of the behavior of other road users
3. Cognition

Realizing that one is approaching a LX and what is expected

Recognizing dangers and limitations

Being able to predict occurrences and the behavior of other road users

Availability of knowledge relating to level crossings
4. Motivation

Understanding dangers entailed

Social pressure, current and/or local norms

Self esteem

Target risk

Exaggerated feeling of control
5. Performance

Ability to control the vehicle over the rails

Choice of speed and distance from the curb

Being able to choose when to stop

Adaptation to road surface, visual clarity, opposing traffic etc.
## Accident Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>ac 1</th>
<th>ac 2</th>
<th>ac 3</th>
<th>ac 4</th>
<th>ac 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident</td>
<td>Driver</td>
<td>History and Environment</td>
<td>LX in accident direction / opposite direction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of accident</td>
<td>Fatigue indicator</td>
<td>How many additional accidents are known from the past five years?</td>
<td>What is the average speed 500 m before the lx?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact position</td>
<td>File of driver</td>
<td>Fatalities / injured / damage / damage to LX</td>
<td>What is the average speed 250 m before?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Does driver live close to lx?</td>
<td>Is the setting urban or rural?</td>
<td>What is the average speed 150 m before?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time 00:00-06:00 07:00-18:00 18:00-22:00 22:00-24:00</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>How many other accident were recorded during the past five years in a 2km radius?</td>
<td>What is the average speed 50 m before?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for trip</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Estimate the number of vehicles passing lx daily</td>
<td>How many meters beforelx do you recognize it clearly?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people in vehicle</td>
<td>Years of Education</td>
<td>What is the average speed in a 2km radius</td>
<td>Are the required signs available?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated speed of vehicle</td>
<td>Driver’s explanation (if available)</td>
<td>Estimate the number of accidents per vehicle in a 2km radius</td>
<td>Are there additional or not standard signs?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle condition</td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate number of DUI per vehicle in a 2km radius</td>
<td>How many signs are there in the 150 m before the lx?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third party involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td>What is the recommended speed at the LX?</td>
<td>How many signs are there in the 50 m before the lx?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate enforcement/driven km in a 2km radius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outlook: Future Steps of LC-human factors subgroup

Up to next meeting in January 2015

- **model:**
  to finish a model to identify and evaluate solutions for different LC-situations (accident investigation and LC planning) - *as presented at spring meeting 2014*

- **LCAD:**
  first steps with 'Austrian accident investigation body' to get experience and first examples
Thank you for your attention & your (future) support!