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8. The informal group shall consider in the Phase 2, the development into the new draft regulation for “enhanced Child Restraint Systems used on-board of motor vehicles” of the necessary amendments to integrate the category of ISOFIX non-integral CRS for consideration by GRSP.

9. The target completion date for the Phase 2 shall be the fifty-one session of GRSP (May 2012).

10. **Develop definitions in Phase 2, performance criteria and test methods for ISOFIX non-integral CRS** (Restraint done by the adult safety belt)

11. In Phase 2, **review in the light of recent accident data the test pulse for frontal impact** (Increased severity and CRS integrity check).

12. In Phase 2, **review in the light of recent accident data the strict application of recognized and accepted injury criteria related to the new generation baby/child crash test Q-dummies**, as supported through EEVC and other EU research programs.

13. **Develop transitional provision in ECE R44 to withdraw ISOFIX application from ECE R44 regulation after acceptance by WP29 of Phase 1 and Phase 2**

14. The informal group shall **consider in the Phase 3, the upgrade of ECE R44 regulation for “Restraining devices for child occupants of power-driven vehicles” with the necessary amendments to integrate in this regulation for seatbelt mounted CRS the outcome of Phase 1 and Phase 2**.

15. The target completion date for the Phase 3 shall be the fifty-third session of GRSP (May 2013).

16. **Develop in Phase 3 the necessary amendments to upgrade ECE R44 for the Seatbelt mounted CRS in order to introduce for this category of CRS the outcome of Phase 1 and 2**

17. **In its work, the informal group will take into consideration amongst others the technical expertise of EEVC WG18, EEVC WG12, ISO TC 22/SC 12, NPACS as well as the results of the discussions held in the informal group and at GRSP**

18. If necessary, the informal group shall develop complementary test methods and propose alternative judgement criteria.
UN Regulation No. xxxx – Enhanced Child Restraint Systems (EC RS)

• Amended Mandate
  – Draft regulation for phase 2 (May 2013)
  – Development of transitional provision to withdraw ISOFIX application from ECE R44 (December 2013)
  – Draft regulation for phase 3 (May 2014)
Meetings since last GRSP

- 36th - 29 January 2013 at OICA – Paris
- 37th - 28 February 2013 at CLEPA – Brussels
- 38th - 18 April 2013 at SMMT – London

- 39th - 18 June 2013 at CLEPA – Brussels
- 40th - 18 September at BASt - Bergisch Gladbach
Issues concerning ToR

- For Booster seat and Booster cushion the safety advantages of ISOFIX connectors are not proven based on accidentology.
- Limiting the scope of phase 2 to non-integral ISOFIX Boosters, limits the universality for this category.
- The IWG propose to consider as Universal, Boosters without ISOFIX connectors, and to include these CRS in the Phase 2.
Phase 2 proposed philosophy

- Child is restrained by the belt system of the vehicle
- Two new categories for non-integral CRS:
  - “Universal Booster”
    (Non-Integral Universal Child Restraint System). Is a category of Child Restraint System for use in all universal seating position of a vehicle, as defined and approved according to Regulations Nos. 14 and 16. This category is separated in two sub-categories:
      - “Universal Booster Seat” with integrated backrest
      - “Universal Booster Cushion” without backrest
  - “Specific to vehicle Booster”
    is a category of Non-Integral Child Restraint System connecting to specific vehicle types. All vehicle anchorages are to be approved according to Regulation No. 14. This category includes vehicles “Built-in Boosters”. This category is separated in two sub-categories:
      - “Specific to Vehicle Booster Seat” with integrated backrest
      - “Specific to Vehicle Booster Cushion” without backrest
“Universal Booster” proposed definition

• Without ISOFIX
• Similar to Current Universal Class II and III for installation and vehicle compatibility
• ISOFIX could be included if the ISOFIX attachments are stowable and don’t need an anti-rotation device.
• “Universal Booster seat” for a stature up to 135 cm (with lateral protection)
• “Universal Booster cushion” for a stature from 135 cm up to 150 cm (lateral protection provided by the vehicle, link must be done with GTR on pole impact)
Geometry of Boosters

• Same philosophy than for i-Size CRS
  – Based on size of the child
  – Based on agreed ISO fixture for compatibility with vehicles

• Use of Q3, Q6 and Q10 dummies
On going issues for Phase 2

• Belt routing
  – geometric approach

• Belt guidance
  – mechanical robustness control

• Dummies
  – availability and criteria
    (Work in progress by EEVC WG 12)
  – capability of the Q-Series and its instrumentation to “enhance” non-integral CRS

• Review of the front impact pulse (EC study in progress)
Phase 3 open issues

The phase 3 concerns only integral ECRS fixed by an adult safety belt in the vehicle. Based on work done previously the IWG could follow two approaches:

- Modify ECE R44 to include only integral ECRS fixed by an adult safety belt
- Include integral ECRS fixed by an adult safety belt in the new regulation ECE Rxxx
# Pros & Cons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ECE R44</th>
<th>ECE Rxxx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pros</strong></td>
<td>Double type approval for the same category. Two type approvals (for different cat. Universal, vehicle-specific)</td>
<td>Single type approval for the same category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Several TAA could type approve the same category of product without concertation. Several TAA could type approve the same product without concertation (for different cat. Universal, vehicle-specific)</td>
<td>Possible check of all configurations of a category of ECRS by one TAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need to rebuilt all ECE R44 to include side impact + Q dummies + Stature based approach</td>
<td>Simple to add to current ECE Rxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep the ECE R44 alive and separate clearly non ISOFIX product from ISOFIX product</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shows clearly the difference between ISOFIX and Non ISOFIX Integral CRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep “old fashioned” R44 products in parallel to the ECRS regulation (two level of safety)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Draft proposal for Transitional Provisions

- EC RS Phase 1 applicable from 9 July 2013
- EC RS Phase 2 expected to be applicable from Mid 2015 (if agreed by November 2014 WP29)
- EC RS Phase 3 expected to be applicable from Mid 2015 if included in new EC RS regulation and agreed by November 2014 WP29
- Delete Integral [ISOFIX] CRS Type Approval from ECE R44 at [the end of 2015]
- Delete Non Integral [ISOFIX] CRS Type Approval from ECE R44 at [the end of 2016]
### Proposed time-table for Phase 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53rd GRSP May 2013</td>
<td>Informal working document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th Sep. 2013</td>
<td>IWG send GRSP First draft of Phase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54th GRSP Dec. 2013</td>
<td>First formal draft of Phase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55th GRSP May 2014</td>
<td>Agreement on Phase 2 by GRSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2014 WP29</td>
<td>Agreement on Phase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2 application</td>
<td>Mid 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed time-table for Phase 3

- **53rd GRSP** May 2013 decision to include in ECE Rxxx
- **20th Sep. 2013** IWG send GRSP First draft of Phase 3

- **54th GRSP** Dec. 2013 First formal draft of Phase 3
- **55th GRSP** May 2014 agreement on Phase 3 by GRSP

- **November 2014 WP29** agreement on Phase 3
- **Phase 3 application Mid 2015**

- **53rd GRSP** May 2013 decision to include in ECE R44
- **20th Feb. 2014** IWG send GRSP First draft of Phase 3

- **55th GRSP** May 2014 First formal draft of Phase 3
- **56th GRSP** December 2014 agreement on Phase 3 by GRSP

- **June 2015 WP29** agreement on Phase 3
- **Phase 3 application beginning of 2016**