



Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General
26 September 2013

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Inland Transport Committee

World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations

Working Party on Passive Safety

Fifty-fourth session

Geneva, 17-20 December 2013

Item 13 of the provisional agenda

Regulation No. 44 (Child restraint systems)

Proposal for Supplement 7 to the 04 series of amendments

Submitted by the expert from the Netherlands*

The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from the Netherlands to correct an error in the earlier Corrigendum 1 to Supplement 5 to the 03 series of UN Regulation No. 44. The modifications to the text of the UN Regulation are marked in bold for new or strikethrough for deleted characters.

* In accordance with the programme of work of the Inland Transport Committee for 2010–2014 (ECE/TRANS/208, para. 106 and ECE/TRANS/2010/8, programme activity 02.4), the World Forum will develop, harmonize and update Regulations in order to enhance the performance of vehicles. The present document is submitted in conformity with that mandate.

I. Proposal

Paragraph 7.1.4.1.10.1., amend to read:

"7.1.4.1.10.1. For ISOFIX CRS of size classes A, ~~and B~~ and B1:"

II. Justification

1. During the rapid introduction phase (in the year 2003) of the new ISOFIX size class B1, some relevant testing requirements for this size class were not introduced.
 2. Originally TRANS/WP.29/937 (draft Supplement 5 to the 03 series of amendments to UN Regulation No.44 dated 30 July 2003, ISOFIX provisions) introduced only two forward facing size classes (namely A and B).
 3. Shortly after a third was needed to introduce forward facing size class (identified as B1). This was done through TRANS/WP.29/972 (Corrigendum 1 to Supplement 5 to the 03 series of amendments to UN Regulation No.44, dated 4 February 2004).
 4. Finally both final documents were included in Revision 1 – Amendment 4 to UN Regulation No.44.
 5. However, it was omitted that this new class B1 should also be subject to some relevant requirements on anti-rotation device tests.
 6. The above proposal has been designed to harmonize the testing procedure of the three size classes, A, B and B1.
-