Proposal for amendments to UN Regulation No.107
(M2 and M3 vehicles)

This proposal is due to the report of GRSG on its 104th session, (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/83, paragraph 13.) This proposal supersedes informal document GRSG-104-10.

Proposal

Annex 3, paragraph 7.6.8.2., amend to read:

"7.6.8.2. Every emergency window shall be capable of being easily and instantaneously operated from inside the vehicle by means of a device recognised as satisfactory, provided adjacent to each emergency window. The device for opening the emergency window at the rear of the vehicle shall be positioned either centrally above or below the emergency window, or alternatively a device shall be positioned adjacent to each end of the window. Every emergency window, which is fixed (glued) into the wall frame or can be locked from the inside, shall be so constructed as to be capable of being opened at all times from inside the vehicle.

7.6.8.3. Every emergency window shall either:
7.6.8.3.1. Be capable of being easily and instantaneously operated from inside the vehicle by means of a device recognised as satisfactory; or
7.6.8.3.2. Be made of readily-breakable safety glass. A device shall be provided adjacent to each emergency window, readily available to persons inside the vehicle, to ensure that each window can be broken. The device for breaking the glass for the emergency windows at the rear of the vehicle shall be positioned either centrally above or below the emergency window or, alternatively, a device shall be positioned adjacent to each end of the window; or

7.6.8.3.3. In the case of an emergency window that is attached to the wall of the body by means of adhesive, or that can be locked from the inside, shall be so constructed as to be capable of allowing egress from inside the vehicle at all times."

The original subparagraphs 7.6.8.2.1. to 7.6.8.2.2. and paragraph 7.6.8.3. shall be deleted.

Justification

- The main goal of the proposal is to delete from paragraph 7.6.8.2.2. the sentence: “This latter provisions precludes the possibility of using panes of laminated glass or plastic material”, and keeping all the other, existing, relevant provisions.
- It is also proposed to delete the provision that emergency window shall be capable of being operated from outside because it is not realistic provision, it is not used in the practice. (But this is not the main issue, if it seems to be necessary, it can be kept).
- The proposed text exactly follows the main goal and tries to keep the original text as much as possible
• The deletion of the cited sentence clears up an everyday misunderstanding, coming from the generalized use of this sentence, namely that the use of laminated glass or plastic pane is forbidden in bus windows.

• This proposed solution does not require the use of laminated glass or plastic panes, it does not prohibit the use of breakable glass. It provides wider flexibility to the manufacturers and opens the door for future developments to increase the safety.

• On the 103rd GRSG session it was shown (see GRSG-103-21) that the protection of the passengers against partial ejection is not solved by safety belt. May be in the future the use of laminated glass or plastic pane in the side windows could contribute to the solution of this problem.

• It was also demonstrated by accident analysis that if the superstructure is strong, the main injury mechanisms in rollover are the partial ejection and also the gash and prick, caused by sharp glass pieces, fragments of broken windows.

• Nowadays there are more, technically correct constructions to install emergency exit – if necessary – on side windows, if they are made from laminated glass, or plastic pane. Two solutions are shown below.

Push out type emergency window
Sliding type emergency window