50th GRSP Session
Status report of
Informal Group on FI

Pierre CASTAING
Chairman
Mandate of the informal group on Frontal Impact

  - 26. The Chairman of the informal group on frontal collision introduced the status report of this group (GRSP-46-26). He concluded that more time and discussion were needed to reach an agreement on the main issues indicated in the terms of reference of the group (GRSP-43-12). GRSP agreed to inform WP.29 at its March 2010 session in order to rearrange the plans of the group.

  - 37. The Chair of the informal group on frontal collision introduced the latest status report of the informal group (GRSP-47-14). He explained that the group had difficulties at this stage to deliver a draft new Regulation No. 94 yet, and suggested that the deadline of his group should be extended to May 2011 to clarify the planning of the group. GRSP endorsed the suggestion of the Chair of the informal group and agreed to inform WP.29 at its June 2010 session.

  - 34. Regarding Regulation No. 94 (Frontal collision), she asked for the extension of the mandate of the informal group until May 2011. The World Forum endorsed the request.

  - 30. The Chair of the informal group on Frontal collision introduced GRSP-49-36 to inform GRSP on the work progress of his group. As an outcome of the work carried out so far, he informed GRSP that four possible scenarios to amend Regulation No. 94 were possible. He concluded that the group scheduled two further meeting on 27 June and 7 September 2011 in Paris, at OICA’s office, to better define these options. Accordingly, GRSP agreed to seek consent of a six months extension of the mandate of the informal group at the June 2011 session of WP.29. Finally, GRSP invited all its experts to send their comments or proposals on the possible scenarios to amend the Regulation before the deadline for submission of official documents of its December 2011 session.

  - 37. She also reported that regarding UN Regulation No. 94 (Frontal collision), GRSP had agreed to seek the consent of WP.29 for a six months extension (until December 2011) of the mandate of the informal group on frontal collision. The World Forum gave its consent.
Terms of Reference IWG R94

• The informal group shall consider the updating of the current R94 regulation with particular attention to the protection of older occupants, female occupants and also focus on optimization of vehicles’ structural interaction to improve self protection and partner protection.

• The informal group will make use of existing tools, considering and developing the results of ongoing research and validation programs.

• In particular the group expects to make use of results from:
  – FIMCAR with regard to set of test procedure (target end of 2012)
  – THORAX with regard to thorax injury prediction tools (target mid 2012)
Possible scenario for amendment of ECE R94

Scenario 2

Associated potential benefit

- Older
  - 50th % needed
    - HIII-50%
    - THOR NT-50%
  - THORAX input
    - DEQ
    - Rib-Eyes
    - THMPR
    - THOR NT-50%

- Female
  - 5th % needed
    - HIII-5%
    - THOR NT-5%
  - THORAX input
    - DEQ
    - Rib-Eyes
    - THMPR
    - THOR NT-5%

- Frontal Impact
  - FIMCAR input
    - Full Width test
      - FWRB
      - FWDB
    - Offset test
      - PDB
      - ODB

2% Benefit 2015
7% Benefit 2015
6% Benefit 2015

Scenario 2
Expertise needed

• An expert group to validate the use of thorax injury prediction tools (DEQ, THMPR, Rib Eye) for the H3 (target end of 2012)

• An expert group to conduct an impact assessment until the end of 2013
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FWRB</td>
<td>+ direct measurement of force</td>
<td>- engine dump not attenuated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ harmonized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWDB</td>
<td>+ more representative of real world</td>
<td>- instability of deformable element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ engine dump attenuated</td>
<td>- not harmonized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB</td>
<td>+ Test severity harmonization</td>
<td>- need FW test to avoid possible side effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ possibility to assess structural interaction</td>
<td>- not harmonized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODB</td>
<td>+ harmonized</td>
<td>- instability of deformable element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- too low stiffness for modern vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- severity increases with car mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- self-protection level depends on size and mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- no possibility to assess structural interaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIMCAR input
**FIMCAR Project Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP1: Accident and Cost Benefit Analysis</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1.1 Accident analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1.2 Cost benefit analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1.3 Future fleet characteristic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP2: Off-set Test Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T2.1 Assessment criteria development and validation</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2.2 Testing and analysis of test procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2.3 Influence of other impact types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2.4 Further developments of test procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP3: Full Overlap Test Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T3.1 Assessment criteria development and validation</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T3.2 Testing and analysis of test procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3.3 Influence of other impact types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3.4 Further developments of test procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP4: MDB Test Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T4.1 Test protocol</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4.2 Assessment criteria development and validation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.3 Testing and analysis of test procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.4 Influence of other impact types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.5 Further developments of test procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP5: Numerical Simulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T5.1 Modelling</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T5.2 Support to other WPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5.3 Potential of simulation tools for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP6: Synthesis of Assessment Methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T6.1 Compatibility characteristics</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T6.2 Identification of evaluation criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6.3 Evaluation and assessment of test methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6.4 Final assessment approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6.5 Test data base</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6.6 Car-to-car testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIMCAR TIMELINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP1: Accident and Cost Benefit Analysis</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document Analysis of detailed databases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Databases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP2: Off-set Test Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T2.1 Assessment criteria development and validation</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Further development of offset (PDB) procedure for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP3: Full Overlap Test Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T3.1 Assessment criteria development and validation</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Further development of fullwidth procedure for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP4: MDB Test Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T4.1 Test protocol</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop test methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify suitable evaluation criteria, Links to WP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact, Roadside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP5: Numerical Simulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T5.1 Modelling</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop generic models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify possibilities for virtual testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WP6: Synthesis of Assessment Methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T6.1 Compatibility characteristics</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Define and quantify compatibility characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish a procedure to weight compatibility characteristics and how well a test method achieves the requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate the test procedures against the requirements identified previously</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize and document a final test procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIMCAR Project (Months)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Further Development of offset (PDB) procedure for compatibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP1: Accident and Cost Benefit Analysis</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accident Analysis of detailed databases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Databases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Further Development of fullwidth procedure for compatibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Further development of offset (PDB) procedure for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suitability for Regulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Further development of fullwidth procedure for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Further development of fullwidth procedure for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suitability for Regulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Further development of fullwidth procedure for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suitability for Regulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Further development of fullwidth procedure for compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation, Repeatability, Robustness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-truck, Roadside, Side impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suitability for Regulation**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expectations</th>
<th>ROD-POT</th>
<th>DEQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Separate contribution of airbag and belt loading in the assessment of thorax injury risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-points differential deflection measurements (optical measurement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-points differential deflection measurements (mechanical measurement)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expert group to validate the use of thorax injury prediction tools (DEQ, THMPR, Rib Eye) for the H3 (target end of 2012)

**THORAX input**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Accident surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>PMHS and Volunteer Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Requirements shoulder / thorax complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Injury risk curves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THORAX Timeline**

- **2009**: Accident surveys
- **2010**: PMHS and Volunteer Testing, IRCOBI, NHTSA, STAPP
- **2011**: Requirements shoulder / thorax complex, NHTSA Biof. Workshop
- **2012**: Injury risk curves, Includes occupant diversity aspects like young / old
- **2013**: Dummy design & prototyping, Certification procedures, Performance tuning Anthr. & Biomechanical Eval., Evaluation Restraint & loading sensitivity, durability, R&R, handling

Project end date: April 2013
Terms of Reference IWG R94

• As a first step with those results the group will propose a final draft for an amended R94 to GRSP by May of 2014.

• A second step to improve frontal impact regulation shall be envisaged preferably by means of a GTR, starting at least mid of 2014, depending on the availability and the progress of the THOR NT with the input of the research project THORAX.

• The group encourages collaboration on the development of a harmonized THOR dummy for that second step.

• A “grandfathering” clause could be used for the second phase so that the new rules may apply only to completely new vehicle designs.

• The group asks GRSP to seek consent of a mandate until the end of 2014.