

Amendments to the proposal for Amendment 1 to gtr No. 9

Gtr No. 9 (Pedestrian Safety)

The text reproduced below was submitted by the representative of the United States of America and proposes amendments to the proposal to amend global technical regulation (gtr) No. 9 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2010.37). The proposed amendments are marked in bold and in strikethrough characters.

A. PROPOSAL

Revise paragraph 6.3.1.2.7.2. in one of the following ways:

The impactor **shall** ~~has to~~ be certified according to the inverse type dynamic certification test described in paragraph 8.2.3. ~~in advance of to start the homologation test series.~~ **After the initial inverse type dynamic certification test,** ~~t~~The certified impactor shall be re-certified according to the pendulum type dynamic certification test described in paragraph 8.2.2. after every 10 ~~car~~ **vehicle tests, except that the inverse type dynamic certification test will be repeated instead after every 30 vehicle tests.** ~~(*)~~, as well as ~~inverse type dynamic certification test after every 30 car tests~~ ~~(*no need to perform pendulum type dynamic certification test after every 30 car tests)~~.

The impactor **shall** ~~has to~~ be certified according to the inverse type dynamic certification test described in paragraph 8.2.3. ~~(test A)~~ ~~in advance of to start the homologation test series.~~ **After 10 vehicle tests,** ~~t~~The certified impactor shall be re-certified according to the pendulum type dynamic certification test described in paragraph 8.2.2. ~~(test B).~~ ~~after every 10 car tests.~~ ~~(*)~~, as well as ~~inverse type dynamic certification test after every 30 car tests~~ ~~(*no need to perform pendulum type dynamic certification test after every 30 car tests)~~. **After every subsequent 10 vehicle tests, the impactor shall be re-certified according to one of the two tests in the following sequence – B, A, B, B, A, B, B,**

B. JUSTIFICATION

At the Wednesday meeting of GRSP, question arose about the meaning of this section. The United States believes either of the above revisions clarify the intended meaning of this section as explained by OICA.