REGULATION No. 11
(Door latches and hinges)

Proposal for Supplement 2 to the 03 series of amendments to Regulation No. 11

This proposal is submitted by OICA and is intended to supersede ECE/TRANS/WP29/GRSP/2010/16, ECE/TRANS/WP29/GRSP/2010/17 and informal document GRSP-45-14

A. Proposal

Paragraph 1., amend to read:

"1. Scope

This Regulation applies to vehicles of categories M\textsubscript{1} and N\textsubscript{1}/ with respect to latches and door retention components such as hinges and other supporting means on their doors, which can be used for the entry or exit of the occupants and/or can present the risk of occupants being thrown from a vehicle as a result of impact."

Paragraph 2.1., amend to read:

"2.1. "Approval of a vehicle" means the approval of a vehicle type with regard to its doors."

Paragraph 2.5., amend to read:

2.5. "Back door" is a door or door system on the back end of a motor vehicle through which passengers can gain ingress or egress (including ejection) enter or depart the vehicle or be ejected, or through which cargo can be loaded or unloaded. It does not include:

(a) a trunk lid; or

(b) a door or window composed entirely of glazing material and whose latches and/or hinge systems are attached directly to the glazing material.

Paragraph 3.1., amend to read:

"3.1. The application for approval of a vehicle type with regard to its doors shall be submitted by the vehicle manufacturer or by his duly accredited representative."

Paragraph 5.1., amend to read:

5.1. The requirements apply to all side and back doors and door components, that are in the scope, except for those on folding doors, roll-up doors, detachable doors, and doors that are designated to provide emergency egress."

Paragraph 6., amend to read:
Paragraph 1: This actually extends the scope from M1/N1 to M1/N, i.e. addition of N2 and N3. As long as the unique EU requirements are dealt with in the framework of the EU and are not extended to ECE, no significant difficulties are expected. The proposal also incorporates the Dutch proposal GRSP/2010/17.

Paragraph 2.5: This is copied from the Dutch proposal GRSP/2010/17, with however some editorial changes.

Paragraph 13.7. Taking into account that the existing transitional provisions of UNECE R11 already enable Contracting Parties to refuse registration of vehicles not meeting UNECE R11.03 (paragraph 13.6), there is no reason why previous approvals (R11.02) would cease to be valid: this would indeed still enable individual countries to still accept previous valid approvals and to keep in use the concept the mutual recognition of approvals among those countries for which the previous level of R11 is perfectly satisfactory. OICA therefore proposes to delete the contents of this paragraph (solving at the same time the concerns identified by the Czech Republic in informal document GRSP-45-14) and to insert an application date for the new Supplement 2 to R11.03, thereby allowing a smooth introduction of this latest level for type approval.