Amendments to document AMEVSC-01-07e made during the 2nd meeting of the GRRF Informal Working Group AMEVSC held 7th/8th July 2010 highlighted in blue.

Draft Proposal for Amendment of Regulation No. 13

A. PROPOSAL

Annex 19

The title, amend to read:

"PERFORMANCE TESTING OF TRAILER BRAKING SYSTEM COMPONENTS"

After the title, insert a new section heading, to read:

"A. PERFORMANCE TESTING OF TRAILER BRAKING COMPONENTS"

Paragraph 1., amend to read:

"1. General

This annex section defines the test procedures applicable in defining the performance of the following:"

After paragraph 6.6.1., add a new section B, to read:

"B. PERFORMANCE TESTING OF MOTOR VEHICLE BRAKING COMPONENTS

1. General

This section defines the procedures applicable in defining the performance of the following:

- 1.1. A vehicle stability function
- 1.2. A test report for the above may be used in conjunction with the procedures defined in section B of Annex 20 to this Regulation or at the time of evaluating a motor vehicle which is being subject to actual performance requirements defined for the respective motor vehicle
- 2. Vehicle stability function
- 2.1. General

- 2.1.1. This section defines the procedure to determine the dynamic characteristics of a vehicle equipped with a vehicle stability function as specified in paragraph 5.2.1.32. of this Regulation.
- 2.2. Information document
- 2.2.1. The system/vehicle manufacturer shall supply to the Technical Service an information document of the applicable to the vehicle stability control function(s) for which performance verification is required. This document shall contain at least the information defined in Appendix 11 to this annex and shall be attached as an appendix to the test report.
- 2.3. Definition of test vehicle(s)
- 2.3.1. Based on the stability control function(s) and their application(s) defined in the system manufacturer's information document, the Technical Service shall carry out a vehicle based performance verification. This shall include one or more dynamic manoeuvres as defined in paragraph 2.1.3. of Annex 21 to this Regulation on a motor vehicle(s) which is representative of the application(s) defined in paragraph 2.1. of the system manufacturers information document.
- 2.3.2. When selecting the motor vehicles(s) for evaluation, consideration shall also be given to the following:
 - (a) Braking system: the braking system of the test vehicle(s) to be evaluated shall comply with all of the relevant requirements of this Regulation.
 - $\mbox{(b)} \qquad \mbox{Vehicle category M_2, M_3 } [\mbox{N}_2, \mbox{N}_3] \label{eq:constraints}$
 - (c) Vehicle description (e.g. bus, coach, truck, towing truck, semi-trailer tractor, etc.):
 - (d) Vehicle configuration(s) (e.g. 4x2, 6x2 etc): every each configuration to be evaluated
 - (e) Drive orientation (Left or right hand drive): not a limiting factor evaluation not required
 - (f) Single front axle steering: not a limiting factor evaluation not required (see (g) and (h))
 - (g) Additional steering axles (e.g. forced steering, self-steering): self-steering to be evaluated, [forced steering (twin steer) limiting factor?]
 - (h) Steering ratio: to be evaluated end-of-line programming or self-learning systems not a limiting factor

- (i) Drive axles: to be taken into consideration with regard to the use (loss) of wheel speed sensing in the determination of vehicle speed
- (j) Lifting axles: lift axle detection/control and lifted condition to be evaluated
- (k) Engine management: communication compatibility to be evaluated
- (l) Gearbox type (e.g. manual, automated manual, semi-automatic, automatic): to be evaluated
- (m) Drive train options (e.g. retarder): to be evaluated
- (n) Differential type (e.g. standard or self-locking): to be evaluated
- (o) Differential lock(s) (driver selected): to be evaluated
- (p) Brake system type (e.g. air over hydraulic, full air): to be evaluated
- (q) Brake type (disc, drum (single wedge, twin wedge, S-cam)): not a limiting factor, however, should other types become available, then comparative testing may be required
- (r) Anti-lock braking configurations: to be evaluated
- (s) Wheelbase: to be evaluated

[, with the system manufacturer specifying maximum and minimum values which may be verified using vehicles within [+/-20%]]

[In the case where vehicles conforming to the minimum and maximum wheelbases as specified in the information document are not available at the time of testing, minimum and maximum wheelbase verification may be carried-out utilising system manufacturer test data for vehicles with a wheelbase within 20% of the actual minimum and maximum wheelbase vehicles being tested by the Technical Service]

- (t) Wheel type (single or twin): to be taken in to account in the assessment covered in the system manufacturer information document
- (u) Tyre type (e.g. radial, cross-ply, summer, winter, motorway, urban, off-road structure, category of use, size): to be covered in the system manufacturer information document
- (v) Tyre size: to be covered in the system manufacturer information document

- (wv) Track width: not a limiting factor covered by variations in centre of gravity evaluation
- (x w) Suspension type (e.g. air, steel mechanical, rubber) [and roll characteristic (e.g. with/without anti-roll bar)?]: to be evaluated [however may be shown by the use of documentary evidence provided by system manufacturer]
- (y x) Centre of gravity height: to be evaluated, with the system manufacturer specifying maximum and minimum values which may be verified using vehicles within +/-20% [+20%]

[In the case where vehicles conforming to the maximum centre of gravity height as specified in the information document are not available at the time of testing, maximum centre of gravity height verification may be carried-out utilising system manufacturer test data for vehicles with a centre of gravity height within 20% of the actual maximum centre of gravity height of the vehicles being tested by the Technical Servicel

- (**zy**) Acceleration sensor position: installation envelop as specified by the system manufacturer to be evaluated
- (aa z) Yaw sensor position: installation envelop as specified by the system manufacturer to be evaluated

2.4. Test schedule

2.4.1. To evaluate the vehicle stability control function the tests used shall be agreed between the system wehicle manufacturer and the Technical Service and shall include conditions, appropriate to the function being evaluated, that would without the intervention of the stability control function result in loss of directional control or roll-over control. The dynamic manoeuvres, test conditions and results shall be included in the test report.

2.4.1.1. Lift axle:

Tests to be carried-out with the lift axle in the raised and lowered conditions, with position detection and signal transfer being evaluated to establish that the change in wheelbase has been recognised

2.4.1.2. Power train management:

Control of the power train to be shown to be independent from driver demand

2.4.1.3. Drive train options (e.g. retarder, differential (driver selected locking or self-locking))

The effect of any options to be shown, e.g. retarder management to be independent of the driver in the case of a retarder

- 2.5. Test report
- 2.5.1. A test report shall be produced, the content of which shall be at least that defined in Appendix 12 of this annex."

Add new Appendices 11 and 12 to Annex 19, to read:

"Annex 19 – Appendix 11

VEHICLE STABILITY FUNCTION INFORMATION DOCUMENT

- 1. General
- 1.1. Name of manufacturer
- 1.2. System
- **1.3.** System variants
- 1.4. System options
- 1.4.1. Control function (directional / roll-over / both) including an explanation of the basic function and/or philosophy of the control
- 1.5. System configurations (where appropriate)
- 1.6. System identification including software level identifier.
- 2. Applications
- 2.1. List of motor vehicle types and configurations for which approval is required
- 2.2. Schematic diagrams of the respective configurations installed on the motor vehicles defined in item 2.1. above with consideration given to the following:
 - (a) Lift axles
 - (b) Steering axles
 - (c) Anti-lock braking configurations
- 2.3. Scope of application with respect to suspension type:
 - (a) Air suspension:
 - (b) Mechanical suspensions
 - (c) Rubber
 - (d) Mixed suspensions comprising of a combination of (a) and (b) above

(e) Anti-roll bar

- 2.4. Additional information (if applicable) to the application of the directional control and roll-over control function(s), for example:
 - (a) Wheelbase, track centre of gravity
 - (b) Wheel type (single or twin) and tyre type
 - (c) Gearbox type
 - (d) Drive train options (e.g. retarder)
 - (e) Differential type (e.g. standard or self-locking)
 - (f) Engine management system
 - (g) Brake type
- 3. Component Description
- 3.1. Sensors external to the controller
 - (a) Function
 - (b) Limitations on the location of the sensors
 - (c) Identification, e.g. part numbers
- 3.2. Controller(s)
 - (a) General description and function
 - (b) Functionality of internal sensors (if applicable)
 - (c) Hardware identification e.g. part numbers
 - (d) Software identification
 - (e) Limitations on the location of the controller(s)
 - (f) Additional features
- 3.3. Modulators
 - (a) General description and function
 - (b) Hardware identification e.g. part numbers
 - (c) Software identification (if applicable)
 - (c) Limitations
- 3.4. Electrical Equipment
 - (a) Circuit diagrams
 - (b) Powering methods
- 3.5. Pneumatic circuits

System schematics including anti-lock braking configurations associated with the motor vehicle types defined in paragraph 2.1. of this appendix

- 3.6. Safety aspects of the electronic system in accordance with Annex 18 to this Regulation
- 3.7. Electro-magnetic compatibility
- 3.7.1. Documentation demonstrating compliance with ECE Regulation No. 10 including the 03 series of amendments.

Comment: R13 makes reference to the 02 series of amendments to ECE Regulation 10 however the 03 series became effective in July 2008 therefore R13 should be amended accordingly.

Annex 19 – Appendix 12

VEHICLE STABILITY FUNCTION TEST REPORT

Test Report No:	
1.	Identification:
1.1.	Manufacturer of the vehicle stability function (name and address)
1.2.	Applicant (if different from the manufacturer)
1.3.	Systems platform
1.3.1.	System variants
1.3.2.	System options
1.3.2.1.	Control functions
2.	System(s) and installations:
2.1.	Anti-lock braking configurations (where appropriate)
2.2.	Vehicle applications
2.2.1.	Vehicle category (e.g. N ₂ , N ₃ , etc):
2.2.2.	Vehicle type(s)(e.g. Tractor, bus, etc.):
2.2.3.	Vehicle configuration(s) (e.g. 4x2, 6x2 etc):
2.2.4.	End of line programming
2.3.	System identification
2.4.	Functional description
2.4.1.	Directional control
2.4.2.	Roll-over control
2.4.3.	Low speed operation
2.4.4.	Off road mode
2.4.5.	Drive train options
2.5.	Components
2.6.	Trailer detection and functionality
2.7.	Intervention warning
2.8.	Failure warning
2.9.	Stop lamp illumination
3.0	Assessed vehicle variables

3.0 3.1.

3.2

3.3.

General

Brake type

Brake system type

3.4.	Centre of gravity
3.5.	Engine management
3.6.	Gearbox type
3.7.	Installation configurations
3.8.	Lift axles
3.9.	Effect of load variations
3.9.1.	Roll-over control
3.9.2.	Directional control
3.10.	Drive orientation (Left or right hand drive)
3.11.	Steering ratio
3.12	Steering or steered axles
3.13.	Suspension
3.14	Track width
3.15	Tube sizes
3.16.	Tyre type
3.17	Vehicle type
3.18.	Yaw rate and acceleration sensor
3.19	Vehicle configuration
3.20	Wheelbase
3.21.	Wheel type
4	Limits of Installation
4.1	Suspension type
4.2	Brake type
4.3	Location of Components
4.3.1.	Yaw sensor position
4.4	Anti-lock braking configuration(s)
4.5.	Additional steered axles
4.6	Additional recommendations and limitations
4.6.1.	Brake system type
4.6.2.	Engine management
4.6.3	Lifting axles
5	Test data and results:
5.1.	Test vehicle data (including the specification and functionality of any trailer(s) used during the test(s))
5.2.	Test surface information
5.2.1.	High adhesion surface
5.2.2.	Low adhesion surface
5.3.	Measurement and data acquisition
	-

5.4.	Test conditions and procedures
5.4.1.	Vehicle tests
5.4.1.1.	Directional control
5.4.1.2.	Roll-over control
5.4.2.	Simulation?
5.5.	Additional Information
5.6.	Test results
5.6.1.	Vehicle tests
5.6.1.1.	Directional control
5.6.1.2.	Roll-over control
5.7.	Assessment in accordance with Annex 18 to this Regulation
5.8.	Compliance with ECE Regulation No. 10
6.	Attachments
7.	Date of test:
8.	This test has been carried out and the results reported in accordance with Annex 19, Section B to ECE Regulation No. 13 as last amended by the series of amendments.
	Technical Service ¹ / conducting the test
	Signed: Date:
9.	Approval Authority <u>1</u> /
	Signed: Date:

¹/ To be signed by different persons even when the Technical Service and Approval Authority are the same or alternatively, a separate Approval Authority Authorisation issued with the report."