Proposal for draft Corrigendum 1 to Supplement 34
to the 03 series of amendments to Regulation No. 37

Note: The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) in order to correct data on light source category H16. The proposal is based on the current text of the Regulation including draft Supplement 34 to the 03 series of amendments (TRANS/ECE/WP.29/2010/87). The modifications to the current text of Regulation No. 37 are marked in bold characters.

A. PROPOSAL

Annex 1,

Sheet H16/1, footnote 5/, correct to read:

"5/ Notes concerning the filament diameter.
   (a) No actual diameter restrictions apply but the objective for future developments is to
       have d max. = 1.1 mm.
   (b) For the same manufacturer, the design diameter of standard (étalon) filament lamp and
       filament lamp of normal production shall be the same."

Sheet H16/3, the table, correct to read:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test voltage</th>
<th>Volts</th>
<th>13.2</th>
<th>13.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>values</td>
<td></td>
<td>26 max.</td>
<td>26 max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminous flux</td>
<td></td>
<td>500 +10% / -15 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

B. JUSTIFICATION

Usually, long-life light sources can be produced according to the specifications of the relevant category. It appeared that the specification of H16 was too tight to develop lifetimes that are now required by the market. Therefore it is proposed to increase the maximum wattage a little so avoiding creation of another category just for a long life version, which is not the custom.
Because the proposal for H16 is still in the pipeline of approval (WP.29 in March 2010), this amendment is proposed as a corrigendum to GRE.