

ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
Title	Title	Title	Title	Title		
Gaseous hydrogen and hydrogen blends —Land vehicle fuel tanks	Technical Standard For Containers Of Compressed Hydrogen Vehicle Fuel Devices	Requirements for hydrogen containers designed to use compressed (gaseous) hydrogen	Technical information report for Fuel systems in Fuel Cell and other Hydrogen Vehicles	Draft proposal for compressed hydrogen storage requirements		
Scope	Scope	Scope	Scope	Scope		
<p>This International Standard specifies the requirements for lightweight refillable fuel tanks intended for the on-board storage of high-pressure compressed gaseous hydrogen or hydrogen blends on land vehicles. This International Standard is not intended as a specification for fuel tanks used for solid, liquid hydrogen or hybrid cryogenic-high pressure hydrogen storage applications. This International Standard is applicable for fuel tanks of steel, stainless steel, aluminium or non-metallic construction material, using any design or method of manufacture suitable for its specified service conditions. This Standard applies to the following types of fuel tank designs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Type 1 – Metal fuel tanks;</li> <li>o Type 2 – Hoop wrapped composite fuel tanks with a metal liner;</li> <li>o Type 3 – Fully wrapped</li> </ul>	<p>Of the technical contents which should fulfill the technical requirements prescribed in Article 3, Article 6 and Article 7 of the Safety Regulations for Containers (MITI Ordinance No. 50 of 1966) (hereinafter "Regulations"), this Technical Standard for Containers of Compressed Hydrogen Vehicle Fuel Devices (hereinafter "Standard") describes as specifically as possible the following numbered items, which are containers for compressed hydrogen vehicle fuel devices (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Container") manufactured as items not filled from the date specified by the container manufacturer within a period not exceeding 15 years, or from the date on which 15 years have elapsed, calculating from the day prior to the day, month and year displayed by stamping, etc., based on Article 62 or on Item 9, Paragraph 1, Article 8 of the Regulations.</p>	<p>This Appendix describes the requirements and test procedures for hydrogen containers designed to use compressed (gaseous) hydrogen.</p>	<p>The purpose of this document is to define design, construction, operational, and maintenance requirements for hydrogen fuel storage and handling system in on-road vehicles. Performance-based requirements for verification of design prototype and production hydrogen storage and handling systems are also defined in this document. Complementary test protocols (for use in type approval or self-certification) to qualify design (and/or production) as meeting the specified performance requirements are described. Crashworthiness of hydrogen storage and handling systems is beyond the scope of this document. SAE J2578 includes requirements relating to crashworthiness and vehicle integration for fuel cell vehicles. It defines recommended practices related to the integration of hydrogen storage and handling systems, fuel cell system, and electrical systems into the overall fuel cell vehicle.</p>	<p>This Section specifies the requirements for the compressed hydrogen storage system of hydrogen powered motor vehicles. A compressed hydrogen storage system consists of the pressurized containment vessel(s), thermally-activated pressure relief devices (PRD), shut off devices, and all components, fittings and fuel lines between the containment vessels and these shut off devices that isolate the high pressure hydrogen from the remainder of the fuel system and the environment.</p>		





ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
<p>4.5 Filling cycles 4.5.1 Except as permitted in 4.5.2, fuel tanks shall be designed for 11 250 filling cycles, representing a 15-year life of use in commercial heavy-duty vehicles. 4.5.2 A reduced number of 5500 filling cycles may be specified for the lifetime of the vehicle, if a counter system is used.</p>	<p>Article 1 Service life: 15 years</p>	<p>2.8.1 Service life: To be specified by the manufacturer, not exceed 20 years. 2.8.6.1. Filling cycles The number of filling cycles shall be 5,000 cycles<sup>1</sup> except as permitted in sections 2.8.6.2.and 2.8.6.3. 2.8.6.2 Provided that a usage monitoring and control system is installed, the number of filling cycles may be less than 5,000 cycles, but not less than 1000 cycles. 2.8.6.3. The vehicle manufacturer may specify a reduced number of filling cycles, calculated by applying the following formula: <math>1,000 + 200 \cdot x</math>, where x is the design service life in years If the filling cycles are not counted, the hydrogen components shall be replaced before exceeding their specified service life.</p>	<p>5.2.2 Durability test cycles:                      · Commercial vehicles with heavy-duty use: 750 x years of service, but not less than 3 x L/R, and not less than 11 250 cycles.                      · Personal vehicles: 3 x L/R, but not less than 5500 cycles where L is the lifetime mileage and R, the vehicle range (R).</p>	<p>5.2.2.2 Durability (Hydraulic) Performance test cycles: All vehicles: 3 x L/R, but not less than 5500 cycles where L is the lifetime mileage and R, the vehicle range (R).</p>	<p>Both ISO and SAE specify a number of filling cycles of 11 250, which represent a 15-year life of use in a commercial vehicles. The EC equivalent correspond to 15 000 cycles. The OICA paper allows 5500 cycles for all categories of vehicles, which represent a safety concern for vehicles that will be used in commercial service. As a result, we recommend that the GTR text be aligned with the ISO and SAE recommendation of 11 250 cycles for commercial use. The SAE approach to allow for 5500 cycles for personal vehicles will require that vehicles designed for personal use are identified as such and that measures are put in place to make sure that these vehicles never get into commercial use or that they are removed from service once the maximum number of filling cycles is reached as suggested by the EC. The ISO and EC approach also allows a reduced number of filling cycles (5500 cycles for ISO and 3000 for the EC) provided that a counter system is used. We therefore recommend that in the GTR a reduced number of cycles of 5500 be allowed with either one of th</p>	<p>Not agree 5500 times(15years) are enough frequencies and the counter need not be put up. The difference by the model of the frequency can be divided by the vehicle category etc.</p> <p>The results of investigation for personal vehicle lifetime mileage in US and Japan show that 1800 times as fueling times. Therefore 5,500 times of pressure cycling for durability performance test is enough for the worst case.</p> <p>If there is no data in the region, we request to investigate the maximum fueling times in the region.</p>
<p><b>Burst pressure</b></p>	<p><b>Burst pressure</b></p>	<p><b>Burst pressure</b></p>	<p><b>Burst pressure</b></p>	<p><b>Burst pressure</b></p>	<p><b>Burst pressure</b></p>	<p><b>Burst pressure</b></p>

ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
<p>7.3 Burst pressure ratio (BPR) Metal: 2,25 X working pressure (WP) Glass: 2,4 WP for type 2, 3,4 WP for type 3 and 3,5 WP for type 4 Aramid: 2,25 WP for type 2, 3,0 WP for type 3 and 3,0 WP for type 4 Carbon: 2,25 WP for WP greater than 35 MPa</p> <p>Carbon: 2,0 x WP for WP of 35 MPa and higher</p>	<p>Article 2 (4) Minimum rupture pressure: 2,25 x the maximum filling pressure</p>	<p>3.6 Burst pressure ratios (BPR) Metal: 2,25 X nominal working pressure (NWP) Glass: 2,4 NWP for type 2, 3,4 NWP for type 3 and 3,5 NWP for type 4 Aramid: 2,25 NWP for type 2, 3,0 NWP for type 3 and 3,0 NWP for type 4 Carbon: 2,25 NWP for types 2, 3 and 4</p>	<p>5.2.2.3.3 New vessel burst pressure 1,8 x nominal working pressure</p>	<p>5.2.2.3.3 Ultimate burst pressure: 1,8 x nominal working pressure</p>	<p>The ISO and EC papers use the same approach for the BPR, which varies with the fibres and type of container.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The BP of a composite container is related to the stress in the fibre.</li> <li>• Different fibres have different stress rupture characteristics, therefore the safety factor should be adjusted accordingly.</li> <li>• The BPR specified in ISO and in the EC papers have been established based on the 40 years of experience of use of composite containers. The SAE's basic approach is to define maximum possible load and then show that a container despite maximum expectable ageing/degradation, will withstand this load. Maximum load hypothesis is a fuelling process failure. What will differ from one type of container to the other, is the mechanism of degradation, and the rate at which it occurs. This will lead to different BPR for new containers. The problem with SAE's —black box approach is that the test procedure will have to produce all the ageing that you could see over the life-time of any To do that, you have to understand all the possible degradation mechanisms sufficiently well to be able to relevantly —accelerate the ageing so that it takes less time to perform the test than the expected life-time. If the test only reproduces the ageing in part because it doesn't adequately simulate all the ageing factors, you may qualify a tank that will eventually fail before its end of life. The difficulty with such an approach is that you must also factor-in the variability due to manufacturing of initial strength, and also the variability of the degradation rate, parameters which also depend of container type. Finally,</li> </ul>	<p>not agree : It seems not to be understood that it is a requirement for End of Life. Eventually, the containers need 1.8 times NWP strength at End of Life. The initial burst pressure should be defined by End of Life strength and degradation. The degradation of carbon fiber is less than 20%. The degradation for other fiber should be established appropriately .</p>
Stress ratio	Stress ratio	Stress ratio	Stress ratio	Stress ratio	Stress ratio	Stress ratio

ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
<p>7.3 Fibre stress ratio Glass: 2,65 WP for type 2, 3,5 WP for type 3 and 3,5 WP for type 4 Aramid: 2,25 WP for type 2, 2,9 WP for type 3 and 3,0 WP for type 4 Carbon: 2,25 WP for WP greater than 35 MPa Carbon: 2,0 x WP for WP of 35 MPa and higher</p>	<p>N/S</p>	<p>3.6 The use of stress ratio in the EC regulation is not clear.</p>	<p>N/S</p>	<p>N/S</p>	<p>In ISO, there is a criteria on both BPR and Stress Ratio. The issue is that with a BPR of 3 for instance, the stress ratio (stress at rupture/stress at nominal pressure) may be less than 3, meaning that the container is closer to the rupture limit at NWP than the BPR suggest. To avoid excessive degradation over time, you need the stress level (SR) to be below a certain specified value throughout the composite. This is why ISO is also looking at the stress ratio. WG 24 of ISO/TC 58/SC 3 is currently investigating these safety factors. We therefore recommend that the GTR include the stress ratio requirements and be revisited once the ISO/TC 58/SC 3 work on ISO/TR 13086 <i>Factors of safety for composite cylinders</i> is completed.</p>	
<p><b>Materials</b></p>	<p><b>Materials</b></p>	<p><b>Materials</b></p>	<p><b>Materials</b></p>	<p><b>Materials</b></p>	<p><b>Materials</b></p>	<p><b>Materials</b></p>
<p>ISO 15869 includes material requirements in Clause 6 (e.g. hydrogen compatibility (6.1 and B.2) exterior coating (8.8 and B.1), tests as part of the qualification tests: 9.2.2 to 9.2.4 Material tests for metal fuel tanks and liners, 9.2.5 Material tests for plastic liners (tensile yield strength, ultimate elongation, softening temperature) and 9.2.6 Resin properties (resin shear strength and resin glass transition temperature)</p>	<p>Article 3 specifies the acceptable materials. Article 4 specifies requirements regarding the acceptable thickness. As part of the qualification tests, Article 9 covers a plastic liner weld part tensile test while Article 20 covers an interlaminar shear test.</p>	<p>The EC regulation includes a series of material requirements in Clause 3.5, in the batch tests 3.9), (hydrogen compatibility (6.1 and B.2) exterior coating (4.1.5), tests as part of the qualification tests (4.1) such as material tests for plastic liners (tensile yield strength, ultimate elongation, softening temperature) and resin properties (resin shear strength and resin glass transition temperature)</p>	<p>5.2.1.5: Appendix F specifies a series of material tests (tensile strength, hydrogen compatibility, tensile properties and softening temperature for plastics, resin shear strength, resin glass temperature, test on exterior coatings)</p>	<p>N/S</p>	<p>Except for the OICA paper, all the documents specify material requirements. The ISO, the EC and the SAE requirements are the same. Since material properties are essential requirements for the safety of containers, we recommend that they be incorporated in the GTR text.</p>	<p>Material test should be described.</p>
<p><b>First series of qualification tests</b></p>	<p><b>First series of qualification tests</b></p>	<p><b>First series of qualification tests</b></p>	<p><b>First series of qualification tests (Pneumatic tests)</b></p>	<p><b>First series of qualification tests (Pneumatic tests)</b></p>	<p><b>First series of qualification tests</b></p>	<p><b>First series of qualification tests</b></p>

ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
<p>9.2.15 Extreme temperature pressure cycling (B.14) Minimum number of pressure cycles: 11250 or 5500 cycles- Half of the test to be done at 85 °C and half at -40 °C. Tanks shall show no evidence of leak, rupture or fibre unravelling. Burst pressure shall exceed 80 % of average burst pressure.</p>	<p>16. Environmental test (conditions differ) (3, 4)</p>	<p>4.2.9 Extreme temperature pressure cycle Minimum number of pressure cycles: 15000 or 3000 cycles- Half of the test to be done at 85 °C and half at -40 °C. Tanks shall show no evidence of leak, rupture or fibre unravelling. Burst pressure shall exceed 85 % of average burst pressure.</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.1 Extreme temperature gas cycling2 Minimum number of pressure cycles: o 500 for tanks qualified for personal vehicle use o 1000 cycles for tanks qualified for commercial heavy-duty vehicle use</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.1 Extreme temperature Gas cycling3 Minimum number of pressure cycles: L/R but not less than 500, where L is the lifetime mileage and R, the vehicle range (R).</p>	<p>All the documents require that the container or the hydrogen storage system be subjected to extreme temperature pressure cycling, hydrogen gas cycling, accelerated stress rupture test as well as permeation tests. The SAE and OICA papers required that these tests be carried out in a sequence and that they should be performed on the hydrogen storage system using hydrogen gas. The number of pneumatic pressure cycles is quite lower than the number of hydraulic pressure cycles specified in ISO and in the EC papers.</p>	<p>Taking account of actually damage to tank, sequential test is more equivalent than parallel test. Japan has a plan to adopt sequential test. To validate the safety at end of life(15 years, extreme vehicle range), We think below test should be included. 1.material test 2.hydraulic test(5,500cy) with extreme temperature condition 3.Pneumatic cycle test which is to validate the fails which could not be validated by hydraulic test(We would like to discuss the condition(temperature, cycles))</p>
<p>9.2.19 Hydrogen gas cycling (B.18)</p>	<p>17. Hydrogen gas cycle</p>	<p>4.2.14 Hydrogen gas cycle</p>	<p>(4 &amp; 3 welded metal liner only)</p>	<p>The OICA paper also does not make a distinction between vehicles for personal use and commercial use as it is done in the SAE. Except for the hydrogen gas cycling test, the ISO, Japanese and EC tests are hydraulic tests to be performed on the container. They can be performed in parallel. Considering that both OICA and Japan are looking at alternate ways of testing to reduce the testing time and that they are both considering going back to an approach that is similar to the ISO, Japanese and the EC papers where the tests are hydraulic tests supplemented by material tests, we recommend that the tests should be hydraulic tests done in parallel. Further discussion should be considered for the maximum permeation rate allowed by the permeation test. The acceptance criteria for this test vary from one document to the next.</p>	<p>The OICA paper also does not make a distinction between vehicles for personal use and commercial use as it is done in the SAE. Except for the hydrogen gas cycling test, the ISO, Japanese and EC tests are hydraulic tests to be performed on the container. They can be performed in parallel. Considering that both OICA and Japan are looking at alternate ways of testing to reduce the testing time and that they are both considering going back to an approach that is similar to the ISO, Japanese and the EC papers where the tests are hydraulic tests supplemented by material tests, we recommend that the tests should be hydraulic tests done in parallel. Further discussion should be considered for the maximum permeation rate allowed by the permeation test. The acceptance criteria for this test vary from one document to the next.</p>	<p>The OICA paper also does not make a distinction between vehicles for personal use and commercial use as it is done in the SAE. Except for the hydrogen gas cycling test, the ISO, Japanese and EC tests are hydraulic tests to be performed on the container. They can be performed in parallel. Considering that both OICA and Japan are looking at alternate ways of testing to reduce the testing time and that they are both considering going back to an approach that is similar to the ISO, Japanese and the EC papers where the tests are hydraulic tests supplemented by material tests, we recommend that the tests should be hydraulic tests done in parallel. Further discussion should be considered for the maximum permeation rate allowed by the permeation test. The acceptance criteria for this test vary from one document to the next.</p>
<p>9.2.14 Accelerated stress rupture (B.13) 1000 h exposure at 1,25 WP and 85 °C.</p>	<p>18. Accelerated stress rupture 65 °C –</p>	<p>4.2.8 Accelerated stress rupture 1000 h exposure at 1,25 WP and 85 °C.</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.2 Static gas pressure exposure at extreme temperature 1000 h exposure at 1,25 NWP and 85 °C Fuel tanks that are being qualified for commercial heavy-duty vehicle use shall be pressurized with hydrogen gas to 1,35 times the working pressure.</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.2 Static gas pressure exposure at extreme temperature 1000 h exposure at 1,25 NWP and 85 °C</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.2 Static gas pressure exposure at extreme temperature 1000 h exposure at 1,25 NWP and 85 °C</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.2 Static gas pressure exposure at extreme temperature 1000 h exposure at 1,25 NWP and 85 °C</p>

ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
<p>9.2.17 Permeation (B.16) 500 h test at 1,25 WP at room temperature AC: - 2,00 cc/h/l of water capacity at 35 MPa - 2.8 cc/h/l of water capacity at 70 MPa Proof pressure N/S Residual burst strength 80% of average burst pressure – Included at the end of the accelerated stress rupture (9.2.14 and B.13) and the extreme temperature pressure cycling (9.2.15 and B.14)</p>	<p>15. Permeation ( Measurement until permeation rate is constant)  Proof pressure N/S Residual burst strength 75 % of burst pressure</p>	<p>4.2.12 Permeation 500 h test at 1,25 WP at 20 °C) AC: - 6,00 cc/h/l of water capacity  Proof pressure N/S Residual burst strength 85% of average burst pressure – Included at the end of the accelerated stress rupture (4.2.8) and the extreme temperature pressure cycling (4.2.9)</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.3 Leak/Permeation 500 h test at 1,25 NWP and 55 °C AC: 150 cc/min for standard passenger vehicles A leak localized test shall be conducted to confirm that localized leakage, if any, is not capable of sustaining a flame.  5.2.2.1.4 Proof pressure 5.2.2.1.5 Residual burst strength (80% of new vessel burst pressure)</p>	<p>5.2.2.1.3 Leak/Permeation 500 h test at 1,25 NWP and 55 °C AC: 150 cc/min for standard passenger vehicles A leak localized test shall be conducted to confirm that localized leakage, if any, is not capable of sustaining a flame.  5.2.2.1.4 Proof pressure 5.2.2.1.5 Residual burst strength (80% of new vessel burst pressure)</p>		
<p><b>Qualification Tests – Second series of tests - To be done on</b></p>						
<p>9.2.16 Impact damage (B.15) AC: No leak at 0,2 the expected number of filling cycles (2250 or 1100 cycles). No rupture at expected number of filling cycles (11250 or 5500 cycles)</p>	<p>14. Drop AC: no leak or rupture after 11250 cycles</p>	<p>4.2.10 Impact damage AC: No leak at 0,6 the expected number of filling cycles (3000 or 600 cycles ). No rupture at three times the expected number of filling cycles (15000 or 3000 cycles)</p>	<p>5.2.2.2.1 Drop (impact)3 AC: No leak after the expected number of filling cycles (11250 or 5500 cycles)</p>	<p>5.2.2.2.1 Drop (impact): AC: No leaks after the expected number of filling cycles (5500 cycles).</p>	<p>All the documents require that the container be subjected to an impact damage (drop) test, a surface damage, a chemical exposure, and an ambient temperature extended pressure cycling test. The test procedures are similar and the acceptance criteria vary slightly. The SAE and OICA propose that these tests be done in a sequence. However, considering that both OICA and Japan are looking at alternate ways of testing to reduce the testing time, we recommend that the tests be authorized to be done in parallel and that the ISO acceptance criteria that have the highest level of consensus</p>	<p>not agree : Taking account of actually damage to tank, tank may have been dropped. Drop test should be included in sequence test to guarantee the End of Life safety.</p>
<p>9.2.12 Chemical exposure (B.11) no preconditioning at -40 °C, pendulum impact, exposure to chemicals, pressure cycling at 1,25 WVP for at least 0,6 the expected number of filling cycles (3300 or 6650 cycles), 24 hour pressure hold at 1,25 MPa</p>	<p>16. Environmental test (Immersion test – Chemical exposure conditions differ)</p>	<p>4.2.6 Chemical exposure no preconditioning at -40 °C, pendulum impact, exposure to chemicals, pressure cycling at 1,25 WP for the expected number of filling cycles (5000 cycles), 24 hour pressure hold at 1,25 NWP.</p>	<p>5.2.2.2.2 Surface damage and chemical exposure 12 hours of preconditioning at -40 °C, exposure to chemicals, exposure for 48 h at 1.25 NWP before pressure cycling</p>	<p>5.2.2.2.2 Surface damage and chemical exposure no preconditioning at -40 °C, exposure to chemicals for 48 h</p>		
<p>9.2.13 Composite flaw tolerance (B.12) No leak or rupture within the first 0,2 expected number of filling cycles (2250 or 1100 cycles) , no rupture during the last 0,8 expected number of filling cycles (up to 11250 or 5500 cycles).</p>	<p>19. Flaw tolerance (conditions differ: Flaws introduced in liner)</p>	<p>4.2.7 Composite flaw tolerance No leak or rupture within the first 0,6 expected number of filling cycles (3000 cycles or 600 cycles) , no rupture during the remaining cycles (up to 15000 or 3000 cycles).</p>	<p>5.2.2.2.3 Extended pressure cycling (Test to be done on the system using hydrogen gas or on the tank using non-corrosive fluid.) AC: Containers shall not leak or rupture before the number of durability test cycles specified in 5.2.2 (11250 or 5500 cycles)</p>	<p>5.2.2.2.3 Extended pressure cycling AC: Containers shall not leak or rupture before the number of durability test cycles specified in 5.2.2 (5500 cycles)</p>		
<p>9.2.8 Ambient temperature pressure cycling (B.7) – First part of cycling Tanks shall not leak or rupture before reaching the number of filling cycles (11250 or 5500 cycles).</p>	<p>11. Ambient cycling (First part of cycling Tanks shall not leak or rupture before reaching the number of filling cycles (11250 cycles).</p>	<p>4.2.2 Ambient temperature pressure cycling First part of cycling Tanks shall not leak or rupture before reaching three times the number of filling cycles (15000 or 3000 cycles)</p>				

ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
<p>9.2.8 Ambient temperature pressure cycling (B.7) – Second part of cycling. The containers shall be continued to be cycled up to 3 times the number of filling cycles specified in 4.5. In the second part of the test, the tank can leak but not rupture. Tanks achieving 3 times the number of filling cycles (33750 or 16500 cycles) without leak or rupture need not to perform the LBB test. ✓ all</p> <p>9.2.9 Leak-before-break (LBB) (B.8) See above ✓ all</p> <p>9.2.18 Boss torque (B.17) The torque specified by the manufacturer is to be applied for the test ✓ (4)</p>	<p>11 Ambient temperature pressure cycling – Second part of cycling. The containers shall be continued to be cycled up to 45000 cycles. In the second part of the test, the tank can leak but not rupture or damage to the fibre. ✓ (3, 4)</p> <p>Included in ambient cycling (11)</p> <p>15. Permeation test (a torque of 2 times the torque specified by the manufacturer is to be applied for the test). ✓ (4)</p>	<p>4.2.2 Ambient temperature pressure cycling – Second part of cycling. The containers shall be continued to be cycled up to 9 times the number of filling cycles (45 000 or 9000 cycles). In the second part of the test, the tank can leak but not rupture. Tanks achieving 3 times the number of filling cycles (45 000 or 9000 cycles) without leak or rupture need not to perform the LBB test. ✓ all</p> <p>4.2.3 LBB See above ✓ all</p> <p>4.2.13 Boss torque test (2 times the torque specified by the manufacturer is to be applied for the test). ✓ (4)</p>	<p>5.2.2.3.4 New vessel cycle life Three containers shall be cycled to 2 times the number of filling cycles specified in 5.2.2 or until leak occur. If no leaks occur, the new vessel cycle life is established at 2 times the number of filling cycles otherwise the average of the test results for the 3 tanks is to be used for the new vessel cycle life. All 3 tanks shall be within 25 % of the new vessel cycle life.</p> <p>N/S</p> <p>N/S</p>	<p>5.2.2.3.4 Ambient Cycling test in Design Qualification Test (under consideration) Two containers shall be cycled to 4 times the number of filling cycles specified in 5.2.2 (22000 cycles) or until leak occur. There shall be no leaks at less than 5500 cycles. There shall no rupture or damage to the fibre during the rest of the test.</p> <p>See above (5.2.2.3.4)</p> <p>N/S</p>	<p>The ISO and EC are looking at leak-before-break requirements. The container may fail by leakage after an acceptable number of filling cycles, but not rupture. OICA is also considering this approach. It is important to keep the LBB concept. We could however remove the LBB test since it can be demonstrated through the ambient pressure cycling test.</p> <p>This test is covered in ISO, the Japanese and the EC papers. We recommend that it be included in the GTR text. It should be determined if the test should be done at 2 times or at the torque specified by the manufacturer.</p>	<p>It is important to keep the LBB concept.</p> <p>For personal vehicles: No leakage for &lt;5,500 cycles. No burst for &lt;22,000 cycles. When the cycle of the vessel in personal vehicles is less than 11,250 cycles, the manufacturer should explain the adequacy of test result by Maximum Defect Size Inspection Test.</p> <p>not agree: There is a container where the valve has been tightened after a metallic boss is fixed in Type4. It is an examination without the meaning.</p>
<b>Qualification Tests – Third series</b>	<b>Qualification Tests – Third series of</b>	<b>Qualification Tests – Third series</b>	<b>Qualification Tests – Third series of tests</b>	<b>Qualification Tests – Third series of</b>	<b>Qualification Tests – Third series of</b>	<b>Qualification Tests –</b>
<p>9.2.10 Bonfire (B.9)(590 °C) - To be carried out on the container with the PRD or on the hydrogen storage system. ✓ all</p> <p>9.2.11 Penetration (B.10) AC: No rupture ✓ all</p> <p>9.2.7 Hydrostatic burst pressure (B.6) (minimum burst pressure requirements and stress ratios requirements have to be met) ✓ all</p>	<p>13. Bonfire (430 °C) ✓ (3,4)</p> <p>N/E</p> <p>10. Hydrostatic burst ✓ (3,4)</p>	<p>4.2.4 Bonfire (590 °C) - To be carried out on the container with the PRD ✓ all</p> <p>4.2.5 Penetration AC: No rupture ✓ all</p> <p>4.2.1 Burst ✓ all</p>	<p>5.2.2.3.1 Engulfing fire (bonfire) (500 °C) – To be carried out on the system.</p> <p>5.2.2.3.2 Penetration AC: No rupture</p> <p>5.2.2.3.3 New vessel burst pressure (minimum burst pressure requirements have to be met)</p>	<p>5.2.2.3.1 Engulfing fire (bonfire) (Temperature N/S) – To be carried out on the system.</p> <p>5.2.2.3.2 Penetration AC: No rupture</p> <p>5.2.2.3.3 Ultimate burst pressure minimum burst pressure requirements have to be met)</p>	<p>The bonfire test is included in all documents. It should be included in the GTR text.</p> <p>Except for the Japanese documents, all papers require a penetration test. It should be included in the GTR text. All documents require that the container be subjected to a hydrostatic burst pressure test. It should be included in the GTR</p>	<p>The bonfire test should be included in the GTR text.</p> <p>Penetration is no necessity.</p> <p>hydrostatic burst pressure test should be included in the GTR</p>
<b>Sampling (Batch) tests</b>	<b>Sampling (Batch) tests</b>	<b>Sampling (Batch) tests</b>	<b>Sampling (Batch) tests</b>	<b>Sampling (Batch) tests</b>	<b>Sampling (Batch) tests</b>	<b>Sampling (Batch) tests</b>
<p>10.2.2 Hydrostatic burst pressure AC: 90 % of average burst pressure ✓ all</p>	<p>27. Hydrostatic burst AC: 2,25 x the maximum filling pressure ✓ (3,4)</p>	<p>3.9.1.1 Burst test (Meet the burst pressure ratio)</p>	<p>5.2.3.2 a. (90 % of average burst pressure</p>	<p>N/S</p>	<p>Except for OICA, all the papers require the container be subjected to sampling (batch) tests. Batch tests are specified when the manufacturing process is a special process (process, the results of which cannot be entirely verified by a non-destructive test of the product such as welding, painting, etc) These tests are required to make sure that the manufacturing process is maintained under control and that the containers that are produced have not deviated from the design that has been approved as part of the qualification (type) tests. The ISO, Japanese, the EC and the SAE papers all require that the following tests be performed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Burst test</li> <li>• Pressure cycling at ambient temperature</li> <li>• Material tests</li> </ul> <p>The test procedures and acceptance</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Burst test</li> <li>• Pressure cycling at ambient temperature</li> <li>• Material tests</li> </ul> <p>They should be included in the text of the GTR.</p>

JASIC comment for ISO table comparison of H Tank standards

ISO 15869	JARI S001	EC Regulation 79/2009 Annex 4, Appendix 2	SAE J2579	OICA proposal	Rationale for the recommendations proposed for the GTR	JASIC comment
10.2.3 Periodic ambient temperature pressure cycling Tanks shall not leak or rupture before reaching the number of filling cycles (11250 or 5500 cycles). 10.2.2 Material tests	✓ all 26. Ambient cycling (3,4)	✓ all 3.9.1.1 Ambient temperature pressure cycling Tanks shall not leak or rupture before reaching three times the number of filling cycles (15000 or 3000 cycles)	✓ all 5.2.3.2 b. Pressure cycle AC: Containers shall not leak or rupture before the number of durability test cycles specified in 5.2.2 (11250 or 5500 cycles) and shall show that the pressure cycle life is within 25 % of the design qualified pressure cycle life. 5.2.3.2 c. Material tests	N/S N/S		
10.2.2 Material tests	✓ all 21. Tensile test (3,4)	✓ all 10.2.2 Material tests	✓ all 5.2.3.2 c. Material tests	N/S		
<b>Routine (Production) tests</b>	<b>Routine (Production) tests</b>	<b>Routine (Production) tests</b>	<b>Routine (Production) tests</b>	<b>Routine (Production) tests</b>	<b>Routine (Production) tests</b>	<b>Routine (Production) tests</b>
10.1 a) to g) Dimensional inspection, NDE of metallic fuel tanks and liners, examination of welded liners, inspection of plastic liners and hardness tests of metallic fuel tanks and liners 10.1.h) Hydraulic test 10.1 i) Leak test	✓ all 22. External appearance, 23. NDE (3,4)	✓ all 3.10 Dimensional inspection, NDE of metallic fuel tanks and liners, examination of welded liners, inspection of plastic liners and hardness tests of metallic fuel tanks and liners	✓ all 5.2.3.1.c. to e. Dimensional inspection, NDE, examination of welded liners, and hardness tests of metallic fuel tanks and liners	N/S	Except for OICA, all the papers require the container be subjected to the routine (production) tests. The ISO, Japanese, the EC and the SAE papers all require that the same tests be performed. The test procedures and acceptance criteria are very similar. They should be included in the text of the GTR.	Production test should be included in the text of the GTR.
10.1.h) Hydraulic test	✓ all 24. Expansion measurement test (3,4)	✓ all 3.10 Hydraulic test	✓ all 5.2.3.1.b. Proof pressure	N/S		
10.1 i) Leak test	✓ (4) 25. Leak test	✓ (4) 3.10 Leak test	✓ (4 & 3 welded metal liner only) 5.2.3.1.a. Leak test	N/S		