



**Economic and Social
Council**

Distr.
GENERAL

ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRPE/2009/11
27 March 2009

Original: ENGLISH
ENGLISH AND FRENCH ONLY

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations

Working Party on Pollution and Energy

Fifty-eighth session

Geneva, 9-12 June 2009

Item 2(b) of the provisional agenda

REGULATION No. 49 (Emissions of C.I. and P.I. (NG and LPG) engines)

Worldwide harmonized Heavy Duty Certification procedure (WHDC)

Report on the development of Amendment 1 to the global technical regulation No. 4 concerning: Test procedure for compression-ignition (C.I.) engines and positive ignition (P.I.) engines fuelled with natural gas (NG) or liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) with regard to the emission of pollutants

Submitted by the Secretary of the working group on WHDC¹

The text reproduced below was prepared by the Secretary of the WHDC working group, subsidiary to the Working Party on Pollution and Energy (GRPE). It covers the work program requested by WP.29/AC 3 in order to resolve the options contained in gtr No. 4 and to align the provisions of the gtr with those of the draft gtr on exhaust emissions test protocol for Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM).

¹ In accordance with the programme of work of the Inland Transport Committee for 2006-2010 (ECE/TRANS/166/Add.1, programme activity 02.4), the World Forum will develop, harmonize and update Regulations in order to enhance performance of vehicles. The present document is submitted in conformity with that mandate.

I. OBJECTIVE

1. The objective of the proposal is to amend the harmonized global technical regulation (gtr) No. 4 covering the Worldwide harmonized Heavy Duty Certification procedure (WHDC) which is the type-approval or certification procedure for heavy duty engines regarding its exhaust emissions. The basis will be the test procedure developed by the WHDC working group, subsidiary to GRPE.
2. Gtr No. 4 contains five options which can be selected by the Contracting Parties. This prevents a truly global application of the gtr. The United States of America, Canada and the European Community representatives although giving full support to the establishment of the gtr, expressed their concerns for the presence of options in the gtr. Therefore, the Executive Committee of the 1998 Agreement (WP.29/AC.3) requested, at its 140th session (14-17 November 2006), WHDC to resume its work in order to find a solution for the elimination of the options.
3. Furthermore, the representative of the United States of America added that the WHDC preamble specifically calls for review and possible revision of gtr No. 4 in the light of the completed procedures that result from the elaboration of the gtr on the exhaust emissions test protocol for NRMM.
4. In addition, India and China submitted some comments before the session of the World Forum (WP.29). However, those comments could not be discussed due to the short notice. The expert from India introduced the comment as informal document No. GRPE-53-08, proposing to amend in gtr No. 4 the definition of "high speed n_{hi} " in order to avoid difficulties in applying the test cycle for gas engines.

II. TIMETABLE AND EVALUATION OF OPTIONS

5. The following options needed to be considered:
 - (a) engine power determination
 - (b) reference fuel
 - (c) hot soak period (5 or 20 minutes)
 - (d) cold start weighting (10 per cent or 14 per cent)
 - (e) Particulate Matter (PM) filter material (polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coated glass fiber or PTFE membrane) and size (47 mm or 70 mm)
6. In order to find solutions, WHDC agreed on the following timetable:

| Action | Date | Duration | Location | Purpose |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|----------------------------|
| 20 th WHDC meeting | 06.06.07 | 0.5 days | Geneva | Agreement of principles |
| 21 st WHDC meeting | October 2007 | 2 days | San Francisco | Definition of work program |
| 22 nd WHDC meeting | January 2008 | 0.5 days | Geneva | Agreement of work program |
| 23 rd WHDC meeting | April 2008 | 2 days | Tokyo | Review of work progress |
| 24 th WHDC meeting | June 2008 | 0.5 days | Geneva | Review of work progress |
| 25 th WHDC meeting | October 2008 | 2 days | Beijing | Drafting of gtr |
| 26 th WHDC meeting | January 2009 | 0.5 days | Geneva | Submission of draft gtr |
| 27 th WHDC meeting | March 2009 | 3 days | Budapest | Finalization of draft gtr |
| 28 th WHDC meeting | June 2009 | 0.5 days | Geneva | Adoption by GRPE |

Option 1: Engine power

7. No specific options are given in gtr No. 4, but the Contracting Parties can use their respective power standards/regulations. In principle, net power or gross power may be used:

- (i) Net power (e.g. UNECE Regulation No. 85)
- (ii) Gross power (e.g. United States of America without specifying the method)

8. Brake specific emissions, as generally used for engine tests in the heavy duty testing environment, are expressed in grams per engine work delivered (g/kWh). This means that the emission level depends on the engine work (power) in the denominator. Since net power takes more engine auxiliaries into account, and is therefore lower than gross power, the emission level will be higher. However, the difference between gross and net power in the respective regulations is usually small.

Option 2: Reference fuel

9. No specific options are given in gtr No. 4, but the Contracting Parties can use their respective reference fuels. It is strongly recommended to use one of the three reference fuels listed in Annex 2:

- (i) EU reference fuel
- (ii) USA reference fuel
- (iii) Japanese reference fuel

10. Fuel parameters have a significant influence on emissions. The most important source is fuel sulphur, but there are a couple of other fuel parameters that influence emissions and fuel consumption of an engine. Contrary to the sulphur influence, their magnitude is less predictable and unambiguous, but there is always a general trend that is valid for all engines. The most important of these parameters are cetane number, density, poly-aromatic content, total aromatics content and distillation characteristics. The following table shows the characteristics of the three recommended reference fuels and an average (artificial) reference fuel that complies with the specifications of the three reference fuels and that might be used for single engine testing, or by other Contracting Parties.

| Fuel Specification | USA | EU | Japan | Compromise |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|
| Cetane number [-] | 40 - 50 | 52 - 54 | 53 - 57 | 45 - 55 |
| Density [kg/m ³] | 840 - 865 | 833 - 837 | 824 - 840 | 835 - 845 |
| 50 % BP [°C] | 243 - 282 | min 245 | 225 - 295 | 243 - 295 |
| FBP [°C] | 321 - 366 | max 370 | max 370 | 321 - 366 |
| Viscosity [mm ² /s] | 2.0 – 3.2 | 2.3 - 3.3 | 3.0 - 4.5 | 2.0 - 4.0 |
| Sulfur [ppm] | 7 - 15 | max 10 | max 50 (10) | max 15 |
| Aromatics [%] | min. 10 | - | max 25 | 10 - 25 |
| PAH [%] | - | 2.0 - 6.0 | max 5.0 | 2.0 - 6.0 |
| Lubricity [μm] | - | max 400 | - | - |

Option 3: Hot soak period

11. The gtr contains two options for the hot soak period to be selected by the Contracting Parties:

- (i) 5 ± 1 minutes
- (ii) 20 ± 1 minutes

12. The hot soak period is defined as the time between the end of the cold start cycle (engine shut-off) and the beginning of the hot start cycle (engine re-start). Whereas in the past the hot soak period did not have a significant influence on engines without aftertreatment devices, the behaviour of exhaust aftertreatment systems, increasingly used due to more stringent emissions limit values, might be influenced by the length of the hot soak period. Therefore, the United States of America did not agree to another soak time than the 20 minutes currently applied in their regulation. The European Union (EU) opted for the five minutes soak time in the amendment to UNECE Regulation No. 49 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2006/124) adopted by WP.29.

Option 4: Cold start weighting factor

13. The gtr contains two options for the cold start weighting factor to be selected by the Contracting Parties:

- (i) 14 per cent
- (ii) 10 per cent

14. The United States (US) regulations require a cold start weighting factor of 14 per cent based on US in-use data. The EU opted for the 10 per cent weighting factor in the amendment to UNECE Regulation No. 49 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2006/124) adopted by WP.29. Proportion of cold start data from other Contracting Parties had not yet been reported. Due to the limited time of the WP.29 mandate, it was not possible to conduct a specific study on real world cold start portion of heavy duty vehicles. Therefore, WHDC members were asked to submit available data on cold start proportion under real world driving conditions for further analysis.

Option 5: PM filter material and size

15. The gtr contains two options for the filter material to be selected by the Contracting Parties:

- (i) PTFE coated glass fiber filter
- (ii) PTFE membrane filter

and two options for the filter size to be selected by the Contracting Parties:

- (iii) 47 mm
- (iv) 70 mm

16. These options are especially critical, since different combinations are possible. For the time being, the United States of America and Japan have selected the combination PTFE membrane/47 mm in their regulations, while the EU opted for the combination PTFE coated glass fiber/70 mm in the amendment to UNECE Regulation No. 49 (document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2006/124) adopted by WP.29. In general, the PTFE membrane filter is less sensitive to artefact formation on the filter surface, but more difficult to handle. The filter size itself is not considered having an influence on PM mass, but for the 47 mm filter a more accurate balance is commercially available.

Alignment with the gtr on NRMM

17. Parallel to the development of this gtr, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed a new emissions measurement regulation covering all internal combustion engines. This regulation is referred to as Part 1065. Part 1065 includes neither limit values nor test cycles, but focuses solely on the emissions measurement procedures. On the other hand, the scope of a gtr is usually limited to a certain category of engines. Therefore, the general structure of this gtr is different from that of Part 1065.

18. The NRMM gtr will likely include new requirements from EPA Part 1065 that partly deviate from the requirements in gtr No. 4. For the sake of harmonization, it is desirable that the technical requirements for on-highway and non-road engines are largely identical. Alignment seems to be possible due to the parallel process of the amendment of this gtr and the further development of the gtr on NRMM. Since change of the structure of gtr No. 4 would require a high drafting workload without improving the technical requirements or the use of the gtr, it was agreed that the focus of the alignment should rather concentrate on the technical requirements than on the different structures.

III. ENGINE POWER

19. It was agreed to delete reference to power regulations from the gtr. Based on an evaluation by Technischer Überwachungs-Verein Nord (TÜV Nord) that test results with and without fan only show slight differences in brake specific emissions of between 1.2 and 3.5 per cent, it was further agreed to run emissions tests without fan, as with UNECE Regulation No. 96 for emissions from non-road engines.

20. Therefore, paragraph 6.3. was amended with a general guidance of how to install the

engine for the emissions test, and with provisions on how to treat engine auxiliaries and equipment for the emissions test. Specific equations were introduced for correction of engine power and torque with respect to auxiliaries and equipment that are not installed according to the general guidance. The list of auxiliaries and equipment to be considered for the emissions test was added as Annex 7 to the gtr.

IV. REFERENCE FUEL

21. Several test programs were conducted in the EU (Joint Research Centre - JRC), Japan (National Traffic Safety and Environment Laboratory - NTSEL) and the United States of America (Southwest Research Institute - SwRI) with engines of varying technologies:

- (a) Euro V engine with selective catalytic reduction- SCR (JRC)
- (b) US07 engine with diesel particulate filter - DPF (JRC)
- (c) JP05 engine with NO_x storage reduction - NSR/DPF (NTSEL)
- (d) US07 engine retrofitted to US 10 NO_x level with SCR/DPF (SwRI)

22. A US reference fuel and a EU reference fuel were supplied by the International Organization of Motor Vehicle Manufacturers (OICA) for all test programs. In addition, a EU reference fuel with 5 per cent biodiesel was investigated by JRC.

23. At the present time, test results are only available from the Euro V and JP05 engines. For the JP05 engine, NO_x and HC, emissions were higher with the US fuel compared to the EU fuel, whereas no significant fuel influence was observed for PM and carbon monoxide (CO). Also, the US fuel showed higher CO₂ emissions. The soak times investigated (5, 10, 20 minutes) had no influence on the emissions. For the Euro V engine, NO_x was slightly higher with the US reference fuel, but PM, CO and hydrocarbon (HC) emissions were on the same level. EU reference fuel with biodiesel was slightly lower for PM, CO and HC, but slightly higher on NO_x compared to the EU pure diesel reference fuel. The influence of soak time was negligible, except for NO_x where a minor emissions increase was observed with increasing soak time.

24. The results of the other engines will only be available for the twenty-eighth meeting of WHDC. Therefore, the final decision can only be taken after all test data are available.

25. In general, the group concluded that some influence of fuels on emissions was observed, but it was small enough to allow a single reference fuel in the gtr. However, the United States of America expressed reservation as to the use of an average reference fuel, since it does not cover the whole range of US reference fuel specifications. For the time being, the single reference fuel is provisionally included in Annex 2 of the gtr, but the final decision will be left to the fifty-eighth session of GRPE.

V. HOT SOAK PERIOD AND COLD START WEIGHTING FACTOR

26. On these options, the United States of America expressed concern about backsliding on severity of US 2010 heavy duty emissions regulations, already in place. The United States of America proposed a validation test program with US 2010 and/or Euro VI engine technologies that appear unlikely to be completed in time for adoption by WP.29 in November 2009 due to timing and funding considerations. Therefore, WHDC sought advice of WP.29/AC.3 on the

further procedure. WP.29/AC.3 agreed to exclude these options from the current mandate.

27. In a meeting between industry (Engine Manufacturers Association - EMA, European automobile manufacturer's association - ACEA) and EPA in November 2008, EPA agreed to separate soak time evaluation from general stringency evaluation, which would significantly reduce the testing burden compared to the original EPA proposal. This opened the door for further considering the options on soak time and cold start weighting factor.

28. Industry offered testing of US 2010 and Euro VI prototype engines, which could be supervised by EPA staff. The tests are anticipated to take place in March/April 2009.

29. The amendment to the gtr therefore still includes the two options. Based on the test results, the final decision on soak time and cold start weighting factor will be taken at the fifty-eighth session of GRPE in June 2009.

VI. PM FILTER SPECIFICATION

30. A test program financed by OICA was conducted by TÜV Nord with the following engine technologies:

- (a) Enhanced Environment-friendly vehicle (EEV) engine with SCR/DPF
- (b) Euro V engine with SCR

31. The test results from both engines were very consistent. The average difference between constant volume sampler (CVS) and raw/partial flow dilution (PFD) was in the order of 1.3 per cent for NO_x and 14.9 per cent for PM. The overall variability with the PTFE coated glass fiber filter was 20 per cent, with the best configuration being variant 2 (low dilution, high filter face velocity). No difference between 47 and 70 mm filter diameter was observed. The results with the PTFE membrane filter were slightly lower than PTFE coated glass fiber filter for the EEV engine, and slightly higher for the Euro V engine.

32. Therefore, WHDC agreed to resolve option 5 by deleting the 70 mm filter and by permitting both PTFE coated glass fiber and PTFE membrane filters. This is reflected in the amendment to the gtr (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRPE/2009/10).

VII. ALIGNMENT WITH NRMM GTR

33. As requested, alignment with the draft NRMM gtr with respect to the technical requirements has been largely achieved. This alignment also required considerable modifications to the structure of gtr No.4, especially of section 7 (test procedures). Furthermore, minor corrections and corrigenda will be presented at the fifty-eighth session of GRPE to be included into the final version of the gtr on WHDC for consideration and approval by WP.29/AC.3.
