REGULATIONS Nos. 13 AND 13-H (Braking)

Alignment of Regulation No. 13 with 13-H

Proposal for draft amendments to Regulation No. 13
(Braking)

Submitted by the expert from the United Kingdom */

The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from the United Kingdom to insert into Regulation No. 13 a simple physical test to ensure that trailer brakes will contribute sufficient force and that it remains stable even when subjected to side forces. It is based on informal document No. GRRF-63-31, distributed at the sixty-third session of the Working Party on Brakes and Running Gear (GRRF). The modifications to the existing text of the Regulation are marked in bold characters or as strikethrough.

*/ In accordance with the programme of work of the Inland Transport Committee for 2006-2010 (ECE/TRANS/166/Add.1, programme activity 02.4), the World Forum will develop, harmonize and update Regulations in order to enhance performance of vehicles. The present document is submitted in conformity with that mandate.
A. PROPOSAL

Annex 12

Insert a new paragraph 2.6., to read:

"2.6. Symbols valid for simulated gradient parking brake force differential

2.6.1. \(x\): Width of track

2.6.2. \(y\): Distance from the tow hitch to centreline of the axle, or the centreline of the axles if two are fitted, or the centreline of the middle axle if three are fitted.

2.6.3. \(F_{tb}\): Minimum total braking force to hold trailer on 18 per cent gradient.

2.6.4. \(F_{app}\): Minimum application force at tow hitch which has to be resisted by trailer brake."

Insert new paragraphs 8. to 8.4.1., to read:

"8. Simulated gradient parking brake force differential.

8.1. The trailer shall be tested in its fully laden condition on a level surface.

8.1.1. Position the trailer on a level surface and apply the parking brake with a force not exceeding that specified in Annex 4, paragraph 3.2.1.

8.2. Prevent one of the wheels from rotating to ensure that the brakes on only one side of the trailer are forced to operate in the reverse direction (see figure 1 below).

\[\text{Figure 1. The test layout, showing prevention of wheel rotation and application of side load}\]

Following this test, the parking brake should be released and the brakes re-settled prior to repeating the test on the wheel(s) on the other side of the axle(s) with the test layout requirements reversed.

8.2.1. Any resistance from the jockey wheel shall be removed as far as is practicable and the minimum load as calculated in paragraph 8.4. is applied at 90° to the tow-hitch
in the direction opposite from the side of the trailer to where the wheel(s) are prevented from rotating.

8.3. The load is increased until the trailer starts to rotate or the minimum force is reached. The method of applying the load to the tow hitch must ensure that there is no reduction in the gradient simulation force, even when the trailer begins to move. The limited movement typically 50 mm associated with the operation of the auto-reverse mechanism is ignored in this respect.

8.4. Calculation of the minimum required gradient force

8.4.1. Calculate the minimum gradient force \( F_{\text{app}} \) required at the tow hitch to guarantee adequate performance from each brake.

Figure 2 below shows dimension \( x \) (the trailer track) and dimension \( y \) (the distance from the tow-hitch to the axle centre line).

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2. Calculation of relationship between applied side load and brake force

The minimum total brake force \( F_{\text{tb}} \) (in Newton) to hold the trailer on an 18 per cent slope is defined by the following equation.

\[
F_{\text{tb}} = 0.18 \cdot 9.81 \cdot G\]

where:

- \( m \) is the mass in kg.

For the purposes of this test, it is assumed that 50 per cent of this force should be provided by each brake. The minimum applied force \( (F_{\text{app}}) \) that has to be resisted by the trailer brake is defined by the following formula:

\[
F_{\text{app}} = \frac{x \cdot F_{\text{tb}}}{2 \cdot y}
\]

Paragraphs 8. to 10. (former), renumber as paragraphs 9. to 11.
Annex 12, Appendix 1, add a new figure 9, to read:

"Figure 9: Parking brake gradient check
(See paragraph 2., 2.6. and 8. of this annex)

\[ F_{tb} = 0.18 \cdot 9.81 \cdot G_a \]
\[ F_{app} = \frac{x \cdot F_{tb}}{2 \cdot y} \]

Annex 12, Appendix 4

Add a new paragraphs 5.8.7. and 5.8.8., to read:

"5.8.7. Minimum calculated parking brake force to hold on trailer 18 per cent gradient
\[ F_{tb} = 0.18 \cdot 9.81 \cdot G_a \]

5.8.8. Minimum calculated force applied at tow hitch to confirm simulated 18 per cent gradient performance of individual parking brakes
\[ F_{app} = \frac{x \cdot F_{tb}}{2 \cdot y} \]

Amend paragraph 6 to read:

"6. The inertia braking system described above complies/does not comply 1/ with the requirements of paragraphs 3, 4, to 9, 10. of this annex.

Signature .......................... Date .........................."

B. JUSTIFICATION

The general requirements of Annex 12 to Regulation No. 13, specifically paragraph 3.5. states that auto-reverse devices should not adversely affect the parking brake performance when facing up a gradient. However, the current approval test for an inertia braking system does not completely assess the parking brake system to ensure that the brakes on each side are capable of providing their appropriate share of the braking force required to hold the trailer on an 18 per cent gradient.

The design of the auto-reverse mechanism includes a small hump in the profile of the shoe web. This feature is designed to prevent unnecessary operation of the auto-reverse function during normal forward driving (such as when shunt occurs between the vehicle and trailer). This means
that there is a small threshold to overcome to initially invoke the mechanism. Once the hump is passed, there is a reduction in the level of force required to maintain the auto-reverse function, however providing both brakes go into auto-reverse mode together the brake force available is sufficient to hold the trailer on the 18 per cent gradient.

Problems arise when the geometry of the compensator permits only one brake to go into auto-reverse mode leaving the other brake to contribute little or no braking effort. The resultant cause is that the trailer can pivot around the one locked wheel. This proposed simple physical test will ensure that both each brake will contribute sufficient force to ensure that it remains stable even when subjected to side forces.