I. MANDATE

1. Following the request of the Commission to Sectoral Committees of the UNECE to conduct biennial evaluations of their respective sub-programme performance for the 2008-2009 biennium, the Inland Transport Committee (ITC) endorsed at its seventieth session in February 2008 for each cluster of its activities an expected accomplishment, together with related indicators of achievement and measurement methodologies. In 2010, the Committee would be invited to review the draft accomplishment accounts prepared for each expected accomplishment by the secretariat, discuss the lessons learned and take programmatic measures, if appropriate.

2. One of the ITC biennial performance indicators for the cluster ‘Rail Transport’ is the “level of satisfaction as expressed in surveys” of participants in SC.2 sessions (Bureau Informal document No. 11, 2008, p. 5). Responses to the questionnaire attached will provide a valuable input to the estimation of the performance indicator mentioned above.
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QUESTIONNAIRE

In our efforts to keep improving this event, we would appreciate your views. Please complete the following short questionnaire and return it to the secretariat.

Name ................................................................................

Country ...........................................................................

Position/Institution........................................................................

1. Did the meeting meet your expectations? ..............................................................

2. If you answered "no", please elaborate...................... ......................................................
.................................................................................................................................

3. Do you have any comments on the meeting’s agenda in terms of its
   ☐ Content: .........................................................................................................................
   ☐ Format: .........................................................................................................................
   ☐ Other aspects: ............................................................................................................... 

4. Overall, do you think that the Meeting documents were:
   ☐ relevant
   ☐ relevant but too technical
   ☐ relevant but too general
   ☐ not at all relevant.

5. Do you think that enough time was given for (please answer yes or no):
   a) the presentations
   b) the discussions
If you answered "no", please elaborate
........................................................................................................................................

6. Do you think that the duration of the session should be:
   ☐ Reduced,  
   ☐ Increased  
   ☐ Kept as is

7. Do you think that the Meeting’s agenda should be:
   ☐ Shortened to exclude some of the current topics  
   ☐ Extended to include new topics  
   ☐ Kept as is

8. Did you find the Meeting well organized?
   ☐ Yes  
   ☐ No

   If you answered "no", please elaborate........................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................

9. What could be improved in the future meetings?.................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................

10. Any other comments?.................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................