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1. Delegations (appointed members)

Governmental Parties
EU/EEVC: D. Cesari, C. Masson (INRETS)
Germany: B. Lorenz, O. Zander (BASt)
NHTSA/Transport Canada: unknown
Korea: J.-W. Lee (KATRI) and Y.-H. Youn (Korea Univ. of Tech.-Education)
J-MLIT: H. Inomata (JASIC-GENEVA), A. Konosu (JARI/Chairperson)

Industrial Parties (related to car product)
ACEA: O. Ries, S. O. Siems (Volkswagen), T. Kinsky (GM-Europe),
     R. Fleischhacker, W. Rentschler (Porsche), B. Trommler (Volvo)
JAMA: M. Tanahashi, I. Imaizumi (Honda R&D)
Alliance: unknown
KAMA: unknown
CLEPA: unknown

Independent Parties
UTAC: F. Minne
TUV: W. Liebers

Dummy Product Makers
FTSS: W. Onvlee (FTSS-Europe)
JASTI: T. Inoue
2. Tasks

Task 1: **Evaluation and Modification** of the usability, repeatability, reproducibility, and durability of Flex-PLI as a tool for GTR/PS legform test. And shows the comparison results of all the above issue between the TRL-LFI and Flex-PLI.

- Usability
- Repeatability (component level and assembly level)
- Reproducibility (component level and assembly level)
- Durability (at least until threshold level durability is needed)
- Comparison between TRL-LFI and Flex-PLI for all above issue

Task 2: **Review for the Injury Risk Function**

Task 3: **Technical Feasibility**

- Can develop a car which complies the new threshold/requirement
- Evaluation of vehicle design and Evaluation of design process

Task 4: **Evaluation of Protection Level** provided by the Flex-PLI and the new threshold values
3. Activities

1st Flex-TEG MT (OICA office, Paris, 5-6 Sep. 2005)
- Confirmation of TOR for this working group
- Introduction for the Flex-PLI type G (Flex-G)
- Test results for the Flex-G (component tests, car tests)
- Discussion on issues of Flex-PLI
- Confirmation of future action plan
  -> More test results are required for detail discussions

2nd Flex-TEG MT (BASt, Bergisch, 22 Nov. 2005)
- Test results for the Flex-G (repeatability tests, reproducibility tests, car tests)
- Tour for the Flex-G testing (at BASt/BGS)
- Discussion
- Confirmation of future action plan
  -> Usability, Repeatability, Reproducibility of Flex-G is good.
  -> However, Bending limit of the knee joint should be modified.
# 4. Schedule

## Schedule for Flex-TEG Activities (22 Nov. 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flex-TEG Meeting</th>
<th>Development (Modification)</th>
<th>Production of Modified Impactor</th>
<th>Evaluation as a Regulatory Test Tool</th>
<th>Usability</th>
<th>Repeatability</th>
<th>Reproducibility</th>
<th>Durability</th>
<th>Comparison for above issues</th>
<th>Review for the Injury Thresholds</th>
<th>Technical Feasibility Study</th>
<th>Evaluation of Protection Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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