

PROPOSAL FOR DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION No. 17
(Acceleration Test Devices)

Note: The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from Japan in order to allow the use of acceleration test devices. This document supersedes TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2002/15, distributed during the 32rd session of GRSP.

PROPOSAL

Annex 9

Insert a new paragraph 3.2., to read:

"3.2. Equivalent method

The test may be performed by some other method than that of deceleration of a sled, provided that such method complies with the requirement concerning the range of variation of speed described in paragraph 3.1."

JUSTIFICATION

Paragraph 6.9. specifies that a test method other than those specified in paragraphs 6.2., 6.3., 6.4. and Annex 6 is allowed if its equivalence is proved. However, such alternatives are not allowed for the test specified in Annex 9.

Japan believes that similar provision should be specified for the test specified in Annex 9. ECE Regulation No. 94 allows some other method than that of deceleration of a trolley, provided that such method complies with the requirement concerning the range of variation of speed. So, Japan proposes to add similar sentence in this Regulation.
