

PROPOSED CORRIGENDUM TO UNECE REGULATION No. 16
SAFETY BELTS

Transmitted by the expert from OICA

A. PROPOSAL

Paragraph 2.38., amend to read:

" "Child restraint fixture" (CRF) means ... whose dimensions are given from Figure 1 to Figure 7 in the previous mentioned ..."

Paragraph 8.3.5., amend to read:

"In order to inform ... of Regulation 14. The first ISOFIX position ... of Annex 17; **the second ISOFIX position** shall allow at least ... of the vehicle."

Paragraph 15.1.3., add at the end:

"However, existing approvals of the vehicle categories which are not affected by Supplement 15 to the 04 series of amendments to this Regulation shall remain valid and Contracting Parties applying this Regulation shall continue to accept them."

Insert a new paragraph 15.2.4., to read:

"15.2.4. As from the official date of entry into force of Supplement 14 to the 04 series of amendments, no Contracting Party applying this Regulation shall refuse to grant ECE approvals under this Regulation as modified by Supplement 14 to the 04 series of amendments."

Paragraphs 15.2.4. to 15.2.8., renumber as 15.2.5. to 15.2.9.

Paragraph 15.2.5. (old numbering), amend to read:

"Upon expiration of a period of 36 months ... referred to in paragraph **15.2.4** above, the Contracting Parties ..."

Paragraph 15.2.6. (old numbering, see R16/Revision 5), amend to read:

"Upon the expiration of a period of 60 months ... referred to in paragraph **15.2.4** above, the Contracting Parties ..."

Annex 17, paragraph 1.1., item (c), amend to read:

"Provide a list of ~~ISOFIX~~ child restraint systems ..."

B. JUSTIFICATION

Paragraph 2.38.

Slight editorial correction, aligning with the actual number of figures in Annex 17, Appendix 2.

Paragraph 8.3.5.

Corrigendum 3 to Supplement 15 to R16.04 was adopted by WP29 in June 2005 on the basis of TRANS/WP29/2005/35, which however wrongly transposed informal document GRSP-36-6, adopted by GRSP-36. This error leads to a wording which is not understandable.

Paragraph 15.1.3.

Supplement 15 to R16.04 introduced the ISOFIX installation requirements for M1 category vehicles. Other vehicle categories however are not affected and care should be taken to avoid the need to re-approve those vehicle categories.

Such situation is specifically foreseen in the recently adopted "General Guidelines for UNECE Regulatory Procedures and Transitional Provisions in UNECE Regulations" (TRANS/WP29/1044, item III.2.1.2).

Paragraph 15.2.4. (new)

The original text of paragraph (formerly numbered) 15.3.1 of Supplement 14 to R16.04 should have been kept in order to define the application dates of this Supplement 14 which need to be the same as for Supplement 16, namely 16 July 2006 for type approval and 16 July 2008 for registrations.

Since Supplement 16 amended paragraph 15.3.1 of Supplement 14 (instead of keeping the existing 15.3.1 of Supplement 14 unchanged), this in effect causes a delay of the application of Supplement 14 from 16 July 2006 to 12 August 2007 for approvals and from 16 July 2008 to 12 August 2009 for registrations.

Clearly, this was not the intention and the original text of paragraph 15.3.1 of Supplement 14 needs to be re-introduced, albeit with a new numbering.

Paragraphs 15.2.4. to 15.2.8.

Re-numbering of paragraphs, subsequent to the introduction of a new paragraph 15.2.4.

Paragraphs 15.2.5. and 15.2.6.

The current paragraph 15.2.5 refers to paragraph 15.3.1 which does not exist but which in fact was the original text in Supplement 14.

Annex 17, paragraph 1.1 (c)

This paragraph in effect deals with non-ISOFIX child restraint system. The ISOFIX ones are dealt with in the next item (d).

- - - - -