

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods

Joint Meeting of the RID Safety Committee and the
Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods

(Geneva, 13-23 September 2005)

WORK PROGRAMME

**Relationship between the strategic objectives of the UNECE Inland Transport Committee
and the programme of work**

The secretariat reproduces hereafter the strategic objectives of the UNECE Inland Transport Committee adopted at its 66th (2004) and 67th sessions (2005). The ITC requested its subsidiary bodies to modify their programme of work accordingly. The element of the ITC programme of work concerning the Joint Meeting is 02.7 (c) (see also TRANS/WP.15/2005/15). The following issues have been identified by WP.15 as relevant to the work of the Joint Meeting:

- the development of Europe-Asia transport links;
- the use of telematics and intelligent transport systems (vehicles, infrastructure)
- European integration;
- Transport security;
- Globalization of the world economy and implications for transport.

The Joint Meeting is invited to take account of the request by the Inland Transport Committee when considering its work programme.

Attachment (English, French, Russian)

- (1) Report of the ITC on its 6th session, ECE/TRANS/162, para.133-134;
- (2) Strategic objectives of the ITC (TRANS/2004/18)
- (3) Issues to be added to programmes of work (ECE/TRANS/162, annex)

Extract from the report of the UNECE Inland Transport Committee at its 67th session
(ECE/TRANS/162, paras. 133-134)

Relationship between the Strategic Objectives and the Programme of Work of the Committee

Documentation: TRANS/2005/10; Informal Document concerning the revised version of TRANS/2005/10 on “Strategic Objectives of the Committee”.

133. At its sixty-sixth session, the Committee had adopted the draft Strategic Objectives of the Committee as prepared by its Bureau (TRANS/2004/2, annex 3, Appendix 1). It had also taken note of a supplementary paper (Appendix 2), which indicated certain potential major areas of future work (annex 3, Appendix 1, paragraph 11) and had requested its subsidiary bodies to review the table in the supplementary paper and identify issues which might be added to their respective programmes of work (ECE/TRANS/156, para. 14).

134. The Committee **approved** the revised table (TRANS/2005/10) as reproduced in the annex to this report, which reflects the results of discussions by its subsidiary bodies on the strategic objectives and the programme of work and **requested** its subsidiary bodies to modify their programmes of work accordingly.

**UNITED
NATIONS**

E



**Economic and Social
Council**

Distr.
GENERAL

TRANS/2004/18
13 April 2004

ENGLISH
Original: ENGLISH, FRENCH
and RUSSIAN

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

(Sixty-sixth session, 17-19 February 2004
agenda item 4)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES OF THE COMMITTEE

Note : At its sixty-sixth session (17-19 February 2004), the Inland Transport Committee adopted the "Strategic Objectives of the Committee" as prepared by its Bureau (ECE/TRANS/156, para. 13).

The Strategic Objectives are being circulated in the present document with the aim of making them available for information and perusal to a wider audience, including also the various subsidiary bodies of the Committee.

* * *

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES OF THE COMMITTEE

1. General

1. The terms of reference of the Inland Transport Committee (ITC) of the UNECE are established in document ECE/TRANS/97 and were defined at its fifty-fifth session (1993), following major geopolitical changes in Europe. It should be recalled that the UNECE now totals 55 member States, of which 15 are members of the EU, 2 are members of the European Economic Area, 12 are candidates to join the EU, 2 are associated to the EU and 2 others have a special status with the EU. Moreover, half of its members could be considered as countries with transition economies. It therefore appears that the ITC is the only forum where common norms can be applied to both sets of countries, those part of the EU sphere as well as the others.
2. In the field of land transport, the ITC plays a crucial role since it fulfils a need not met by other international organizations: the development of a set of regulations for all land transport at the pan-European level with the participation of all its members. Such a regulatory framework includes Agreements and Conventions which serve as the basis for the national jurisdictions of member States. These legal instruments aim at establishing efficient and coherent transport infrastructure networks, facilitating border-crossing as well as harmonizing safety and environmental rules, technical standards and traffic rules.
3. The ITC has already achieved substantial tangible results: the E-road network, the railway, inland waterway and combined transport networks, the extension of these networks to the Caucasus and Central Asia, Regulations concerning the Construction of Vehicles, the creation of the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations, the regulation of Road Traffic and Road Transport, as well as of the Transport of Dangerous Goods, the TIR Convention, etc. In addition, the ITC elaborates Recommendations and Resolutions and facilitates exchanges of best practices.
4. As a subsidiary body of the United Nations, the ITC plays a determining role internationally.
5. Owing to the wide range of its terms of reference, the ITC has always had to establish priorities. In the past, on the basis of decision O (45) of the Commission, the ITC had determined the following priority areas: construction of vehicles, transport of dangerous goods, road safety, transport infrastructure and combined transport. The Committee completed this list by adding customs questions relating to transport. More recently, further to recommendations in the 1997 UNECE Plan of Action, the Committee refined the formulation of its Programme of Work by establishing priorities for each work element.

6. Current or future important changes such as European integration, new technologies, the growth in trade and the new expectations of society pose new challenges for the Committee that make it necessary to reconsider its priorities and its strategy.

7. A transport policy should serve economic and social development. It should therefore take three types of consideration into account:

- Accessibility and fluidity;
- Safety and security;
- Respect for the environment and health.

8. Out of these three types of goals, the first (accessibility and fluidity) emerges both as the most “traditional”, but also perhaps as the most neglected. The many problems of network capacity (congestion of traffic and bottlenecks, interoperability, under-utilization of rail and inland waterway networks, management of flows, etc.) are of key importance.

9. It is therefore indispensable that the ITC pays special attention to addressing these problems and to solving them.

10. A strategic vision also entails paying attention to new problems as they arise, to new technical or technological options and to the trends that will shape transport operations in the future, as well as to the identification of possible solutions applicable in member countries.

11. As an example, several issues may be noted that could become major problems for the future, or may change the course of our work today:

- The development of Europe-Asia transport links;
- The use of telematics and intelligent transport systems (vehicles, infrastructure);
- Population ageing;
- European integration;
- The emergence of cleaner propulsion technologies, taking into account new energy sources;
- Impact of electronic trade on transport;
- Transport security;
- Charging for infrastructure usage;
- Globalization (in French: mondialisation) of the world economy and implications for transport.

In response to these challenges, the Committee could focus, for example, on the following missions:

- Creation, improvement and unification of international transport legislation and also monitoring its implementation;
- Development of road infrastructure, in particular east-west and north-south transport corridors;
- Possibility of increased facilitation of transport operations;
- Possibility of elimination of existing barriers to cross-border transport (visa problems, customs, fees, etc.).

Such actions would then be defined in a strategic plan, taking into account priorities and means available.

12. The ITC will take these questions into consideration in its strategy to the extent that they fall within its competence and it can contribute added value to activities carried out in other international fora.

2. Resources and Methods

13. The Inland Transport Committee has permanent and ad hoc groups. The secretariat services are provided by the Transport Division. The secretariat, whose number of staff is limited, must manage the whole of the activities of the ITC and of its subsidiary bodies as well as the Agreements and the Conventions.

14. Taking into account the urgency and magnitude of the problems and their technical complexity, the work of the Committee and its subsidiary bodies requires:

- a larger capacity of relevant services to ensure the material support for meetings (documentation, meeting rooms, interpretation, translation);
- greater availability of delegates;
- a strengthening of human resources in the Transport Division, including maintaining the two Regional Advisers;
- the adaptation of the budgetary framework of the UNECE and its member countries.

15. These aspects require also that some thought should be given to the methods of work, the efficiency and priorities on the one hand, and possibilities of finding new resources on the other.

16. As far as methods of work are concerned, it is suggested that:

- It is desirable to adopt a report at the end of each meeting. However, if that is not possible, a list of decisions should be agreed upon instead, particularly when dealing with legal issues;
- Reports could be shorter, and concentrate more on essential matters;

- Discussions could be limited to decisions and conclusions concerning the subjects dealt with;
- The late distribution of documents in all working languages should be avoided at all costs since it often leads to postponement of consideration or decision-making because it makes it impossible for the delegates to prepare for the meeting;
- Recourse to groups of experts preparing a subject and meeting informally would make it possible to progress more rapidly; only their report would be considered and adopted in plenary;
- Some questions could be adopted as an “A item” - i.e. without a presentation or discussion - during meetings of Working Parties or the ITC.

It is also necessary:

- to pay more attention to priorities;
- to determine clear and precise terms of references of bodies, which should be limited in time;
- to abandon, even temporarily, some of the topics on which no progress can be made, either because of lack of political agreement or because of lack of interest or because of lack of necessary facilities;
- to establish more often ad hoc groups for specific topics rather than addressing subsidiary bodies.

17. The efficiency of the Inland Transport Committee would certainly improve as a result of better coordination and more intensive cooperation:

- Internally (UNECE), for cross-sectoral questions or problems common to several Working Parties;
- Externally, with other international organizations (EU, ECMT, ...) or NGOs (technical questions) and the Coordinating Department of CIS countries;
- Intensification of work of UNECE in countries in Central and Eastern Europe as well as in CIS through the establishment of a system of information and analytical, consultative and training centers on key issues;
- Developing cooperation between UNECE and International Financial Institutions with a view to ensuring support for major projects including, in particular, investment projects in transition economies.

18. Coordination and cooperation with ECMT, UNESCAP and the Coordinating transport meeting of CIS countries seem particularly necessary, in order to:

- Define roles better with a view to making them complementary;
- Share the same strategic vision;
- Take into account the work and decisions of ECMT;
- Convene joint meetings at the levels of the Bureau and of the Working Parties;
- Carry out joint activities.

19. Where resources are concerned, new directions should be explored:

- To prompt more often member States to make experts temporarily available to the UNECE Transport Division;
- To explore the possibility of allocating the proceeds of the sale of publications and documents to ITC activities;
- To develop partnerships in order to organize some specific activities and help in the preparation of technical documents.

20. Lastly, it seems desirable for the role of the Inland Transport Committee that the importance of its work and its achievements be better known.

3. Measures to be taken

21. The Committee should build on its existing strengths in areas of norms and standard setting and follow better the implementation and monitoring of them.

22. The list of “major problems for the future” - cf. paragraph 11 - should encourage the Inland Transport Committee to develop a real strategy for action.

23. With this objective in mind, the Working Parties will consider new emerging trends and issues in their work whenever possible. However, in some instances, they may not be in a position to include some of these problems, in their work: either they fall outside their respective fields of competence or concern areas which go well beyond them, or else the working methods employed are such that concern is only with today’s problems.

24. The Bureau will dedicate part of its time to elaborating a strategy basing itself on the work of its subsidiary bodies and the expertise of the respective Chairpersons.

25. It would seem to be indispensable first of all to organize a consultation with ECMT, the relevant services of the European Commission and the coordinating transport meeting of the CIS countries so as to define a common strategic vision, to distribute the roles to avoid any duplication, and to ensure the necessary exchanges of information.

26. The strategic objectives of the Committee should be updated by the Committee when necessary.

Annex

**Relationship between the Strategic Objectives and
the Programme of Work of the Committee**

Note : At its sixty-sixth session, the Committee had adopted the Strategic Objectives of the Committee as prepared by its Bureau (TRANS/2004/2, annex 3, Appendix 1; TRANS/2004/18). It had also taken note of a supplementary paper (TRANS/2004/2, Annex 3, Appendix 2; TRANS/2004/19), which indicated certain potential major areas of future work (TRANS/2004/2, annex 3, Appendix 1, paragraph 11; TRANS/2004/18, para. 11) and had requested its subsidiary bodies to review the table in the supplementary paper and identify issues which might be added to their respective programmes of work (ECE/TRANS/156, para. 14).

The list of issues referred to in para. 11 of the Strategic Objectives (TRANS/2004/18), which have been taken into account by the subsidiary bodies when reviewing the table are :

1. The development of Europe-Asia transport links;
2. The use of telematics and intelligent transport systems (vehicles, infrastructure);
3. Population ageing;
4. European integration;
5. The emergence of cleaner propulsion technologies, taking into account new energy sources;
6. Impact of electronic trade on transport;
7. Transport security;
8. Charging for infrastructure usage;
9. Globalization of the world economy and implications for transport.

The revised table reproduced hereafter reflects the results of discussions by the respective subsidiary bodies on the strategic objectives and the programme of work.

BODY	ISSUES								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
WP.5 02.1	CA: ^{i/} (f)			CA: ^{i/} (j)(a)					CA: ^{i/} (a)
SC.1 02.2.1 02.2.2	1 (a)	(a)				(g)	1 (b)	(c)	
WP.1 02.3		(b)	(b)						
WP.29 02.4.1 02.4.2		(a)			(a) all elements		(a)		
SC.2 02.5.1 02.5.2	I(g)		I(e)	I(a) (c)					
SC.3 ^{ii/} 02.6.1 02.6.2	(a)			(a) (c)(f)	(a)(b)(d)(f)(n)	(n)			
WP.15 ^{iii/} 02.7	(a)(c)	(a)(b)(c)		(a)(b)(c)			(a)(b)(c)		(a)(b)(c)
T&E&H 02.8									
WP.24 ^{iv/} 02.9	(b)(h)	(d)(e)(f)	(f)					(d)	(c)
WP.30 ^{v/} 02.10	(h)	(f)(g)		(f)(e)		(f)(g)	(a)(f)(g)		(b)(c)
WP.11 02.11				(g)	(e)				
WP.6 02.12.1 02.12.2			(a)(ii)				(a)(ii)	(b)	

^{1/} CA : Continuing Activities

^{1/} The Working Party on Inland Water Transport (SC.3), at its forty-eighth session, provisionally agreed to add to its programme of work a specific reference to “transport security” and to amend the description of the programme activities accordingly when considering the programme of work for the period 2006 – 2010 in 2005. This would allow them to make a reference to the strategic objectives of the Committee in column 7 of the table (TRANS/SC.3/163, para.5).

^{1/} 81. The Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods (WP.15) took note of documents TRANS/2004/18 and TRANS/2004/19 concerning the Committee’s strategic objectives, in particular the request to identify the issues that could be added to its programme of work on the basis of the table reproduced in document TRANS/2004/19 (TRANS/WP.15/181, paras. 81-85).

82. The Working Party stressed that its priority in its work had always been, and continued to be, to ensure the safety of the carriage of dangerous goods. In considering questions of safety, the Working Party had always found itself involved in a subsidiary discussion on security issues, since security concerns sometimes went along with safety concerns and sometimes opposed them. The Working Party’s work also had a direct effect on transport facilitation on account of the standardization of the rules concerning the three modes for inland transport of dangerous goods, in a geographical context which already went beyond the UNECE region, and in keeping with the rules relating to air and sea transport.

83. In considering the table in document TRANS/2004/19, the Working Party was of the opinion that several of the subjects mentioned were already topical issues in its programme of work:

a) Development of transport links between Europe and Asia: ADR facilitated the international transport of dangerous goods and several Central Asian countries (Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan) or countries which had common borders with Asian countries (Russian Federation) were already Contracting Parties. In addition, the Asian countries of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) had already expressed an interest in ADR or had already included its provisions in their national legislation (e.g. Thailand);

b) Use of telematics and smart transport systems: This should make it possible to improve both safety (vehicle safety, detection of leaks) and security (follow-up of vehicles and containers) in the future;

- c) European integration: Since the European Union had decided to implement Annexes A and B of ADR in domestic traffic and since ADR governed international transport with neighbouring countries, work on ADR was important for European integration and should be reinforced with a view to the harmonization of local conditions of carriage;
- d) Transport security: Provisions had already been included in Chapter 1.10 of ADR and would be updated as appropriate;
- e) Globalization of the economy: ADR was regularly updated on the basis of the United Nations Model Regulations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods so as to bring it into line with the rules applicable to the different transport modes worldwide.

84. The Working Party considered that an addition should accordingly be made to the table in document TRANS/2004/19 by including “(a), (b), (c)” in columns 2, 4, 7 and 9 and “(a)” and “(c)” in column 1.

85. The Working Party considered that it was unnecessary to change the wording of (a), (b) or (c) or activity 02.7 of the programme of work, except that the words “throughout Europe” should be deleted in paragraphs (a) and (c) since ADR and RID already had several non-European Contracting Parties (Central Asia, North Africa, Middle East).

^{1/} The Working Party on Intermodal Transport and Logistics (WP.24) took note of the strategic objectives adopted by the Inland Transport Committee (ITC) (TRANS/2004/18). Reviewing document TRANS/2004/19, the Working Party felt that its programme of work, adopted at its fortieth session (TRANS/WP.24/101) and subsequently approved by the ITC in February 2004 (ECE/TRANS/156/Add.1), was in line with the strategic objectives of the ITC. It decided, however, to add to this programme specific references to “transport safety and security” as well as to “globalization of the world economy” and to amend the description of its programme activities accordingly when considering the programme of work for the period 2006 to 2010 in autumn 2005 (TRANS/WP.24/105, para. 4).

^{1/} The table is currently under study by the Working Party on Customs Questions affecting Transport (WP.30). The indications as reflected at present in the table are those proposed by the Inland Transport Committee (see also TRANS/2004/19).

^{i/} CA : Continuing Activities

^{ii/} The Working Party on Inland Water Transport (SC.3), at its forty-eighth session, provisionally agreed to add to its programme of work a specific reference to “transport security” and to amend the description of the programme activities accordingly when considering the

programme of work for the period 2006 – 2010 in 2005. This would allow them to make a reference to the strategic objectives of the Committee in column 7 of the table (TRANS/SC.3/163, para.5).

iii/ 81. The Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods (WP.15) took note of documents TRANS/2004/18 and TRANS/2004/19 concerning the Committee's strategic objectives, in particular the request to identify the issues that could be added to its programme of work on the basis of the table reproduced in document TRANS/2004/19 (TRANS/WP.15/181, paras. 81-85).

82. The Working Party stressed that its priority in its work had always been, and continued to be, to ensure the safety of the carriage of dangerous goods. In considering questions of safety, the Working Party had always found itself involved in a subsidiary discussion on security issues, since security concerns sometimes went along with safety concerns and sometimes opposed them. The Working Party's work also had a direct effect on transport facilitation on account of the standardization of the rules concerning the three modes for inland transport of dangerous goods, in a geographical context which already went beyond the UNECE region, and in keeping with the rules relating to air and sea transport.

83. In considering the table in document TRANS/2004/19, the Working Party was of the opinion that several of the subjects mentioned were already topical issues in its programme of work:

a) Development of transport links between Europe and Asia: ADR facilitated the international transport of dangerous goods and several Central Asian countries (Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan) or countries which had common borders with Asian countries (Russian Federation) were already Contracting Parties. In addition, the Asian countries of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) had already expressed an interest in ADR or had already included its provisions in their national legislation (e.g. Thailand);

b) Use of telematics and smart transport systems: This should make it possible to improve both safety (vehicle safety, detection of leaks) and security (follow-up of vehicles and containers) in the future;

c) European integration: Since the European Union had decided to implement Annexes A and B of ADR in domestic traffic and since ADR governed international transport with neighbouring countries, work on ADR was important for European integration and should be reinforced with a view to the harmonization of local conditions of carriage;

d) Transport security: Provisions had already been included in Chapter 1.10 of ADR and would be updated as appropriate;

e) Globalization of the economy: ADR was regularly updated on the basis of the United Nations Model Regulations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods so as to bring it into line with the rules applicable to the different transport modes worldwide.

84. The Working Party considered that an addition should accordingly be made to the table in document TRANS/2004/19 by including “(a), (b), (c)” in columns 2, 4, 7 and 9 and “(a)” and “(c)” in column 1.

85. The Working Party considered that it was unnecessary to change the wording of (a), (b) or (c) or activity 02.7 of the programme of work, except that the words “throughout Europe” should be deleted in paragraphs (a) and (c) since ADR and RID already had several non-European Contracting Parties (Central Asia, North Africa, Middle East).

^{iv/} The Working Party on Intermodal Transport and Logistics (WP.24) took note of the strategic objectives adopted by the Inland Transport Committee (ITC) (TRANS/2004/18). Reviewing document TRANS/2004/19, the Working Party felt that its programme of work, adopted at its fortieth session (TRANS/WP.24/101) and subsequently approved by the ITC in February 2004 (ECE/TRANS/156/Add.1), was in line with the strategic objectives of the ITC. It decided, however, to add to this programme specific references to “transport safety and security” as well as to “globalization of the world economy” and to amend the description of its programme activities accordingly when considering the programme of work for the period 2006 to 2010 in autumn 2005 (TRANS/WP.24/105, para. 4).

^{v/} The table is currently under study by the Working Party on Customs Questions affecting Transport (WP.30). The indications as reflected at present in the table are those proposed by the Inland Transport Committee (see also TRANS/2004/19).
