

## **REPORT**

on the editorial meeting of AHEG  
(London, 08. 07. 2003)

1. The Ad hoc Expert Group (AHEG) presented the new version of Reg.66. to GRSG – as an informal document – on its 84<sup>th</sup> meeting. After a brief survey GRSG asked the secretariat to produce an official document about this draft for the October meeting and also asked AHEG to make all the necessary and possible corrections in the document.
2. U.K. invited the small editorial AHEG group into London, the meeting was held in the Department for Transport. Participants:  
    Mr. M. Burch (U.K.)  
    Mr. J. Kownacki (Poland)  
    Dr. M. Matolesy (Hungary)  
Prof. F. Aparicio (Spain) and Mr. M. Becker (Germany) also indicated their interest to attend the meeting, but finally they could not come. They were in close e-mail connection with the group. Czech Republic and Spain sent written comments, corrections to help the work of the editorial group.
3. The editorid group undertook the following work:
  - to use the same unified terminology, definitions and wording in the whole document (main text and its Annexes)
  - to use clear sentences, statements for the easy intelligibility
  - to correct typing errors, editorial mistakes
  - to harmonise the figures and the text, to correct the figures
  - to use the standardised format, proposed by ISO on the 128<sup>th</sup> meeting of WP29 (2002, November)The group did not have the authorisation to make any change in the issues discussed and agreed earlier by the AHEG, or which are conceptional new issues. Some these kind of questions were raised during the meeting, these are listed below, in para.5.
4. The AHEG left the following works to GRSG and the secretariat:
  - in para 4.4.1. of the main text, the footnote should be fixed listing countries and their distinguishing numbers. (Another solution could be – may be a better one – to put this list into an Annex)
  - formulation of para.10. (Transitional provisions)
  - the final format of Annex 1. (Communication Form) AHEG produced the content of this Annex, but the standard format is needed.
  - Annex 2. (Arrangement of approval mark) is needed
  - AHEG calls the attention of GRSG (and WP29) to think about the front page (first page) of the regulation on the basis of the ISO proposal.

5. During the London meeting the following conceptual new issues were raised and transferred to GRSG for further consideration:
  - In para. 3.2. the documentation required for the approval is needed in three copies. This means paper documentation. It should be allowed to present the documentation in electronic format (e-doc) but of course this needs certain security considerations, too.
  - There is no clear definition about the CG position of articulated buses and as a consequence, there is no usable method for its measurement.
  - In the light of this problem, it should be reconsidered whether the rollover test with complete articulated bus (two rigid part as a combination) should be allowed if there is a significant difference between the CG height of the two rigid sections.
  - In Annex 6., 7. and 8. it should state in written form that these approval tests may not be used for articulated buses as a combination.
  
6. It was agreed that the whole work will be finished by Mr. Burch between 25-20 of July and sent to Geneva and to the editorial group members. The chairman will write a brief report about the meeting and send it to Geneva as an informal document for the next GRSG meeting and also to the editorial group members, until September.

05.08.2003.

dr. Matolcsy Mátyás  
chairman of AHEG