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Paragraph 1 Scope:
We prefer the definition “to commercial vehicles of categories N2 and N3“ but we can also
step back at most to „N1 over 2500 kg, N2 and N3“. Anyhow that wording must be combined with
amendments as follows:

Paragraph 5.2. Vehicles with a separate driver`s cab not exceeding 7 tonnes TPMM shall be
subjected, at the manufacturer’s choice, either to all tests specified in Annex 3 to this Regulation
or only to tests A and B. Vehicles with a driver`s cab integrated with their delivery box shall
be subjected only to test A. One, two ......

Paragraph 5.2.1 Vehicles with a separate driver`s cab exceeding 7 tonnes TPMM shall be
subjected, at the manufacturer’s choice, either to test C specified in Annex 3 to this Regulation
and to tests D and E specified in Annex 6 to this Regulation or only to tests D and E. Vehicles
with a driver`s cab integrated with their delivery box shall be subjected only to test D. One,
two ......

Paragraph „Definitions“, change numbering from „1“ to „2“

Paragraph 5.2.2, insert a new paragraph behind it:
Annex 3, delete paragraphs 4.1.3.1, 3.1.3.2, 3.1.3.3 (compare to 4.2.1 to 4.2.3) and add
numbering before these two text rows:
4.1.4. The distance a.....
4.1.5. The reduced mass m_a....

Annex 3, amend to read:
Amend to read „5. Roof strength“ in place of „1.“
Amend to read „6. Rear-wall strength“ in place of „2“, and „2 kN“ in place of “2 kn“.

Annex 3, Appendix 1, paragraph 1.5, unify the expressions „load, stress“ to read „force“ and
amend „kgf“ to read „Newton“ (3 times).

Annexes 6 and 7 should be deleted

Justification: We reject to open new test procedures only for a part of vehicles sizes. The
proposed two new tests require from the authorized technical services to built two further huge
test facilities (Swedish pendulum, drop weight facility) to the now existing ones. They are similar
to the original ones but agressivities of their effects differ and are mostly uncomparable or at least
(in the case of bonneted cabs) unsure. Why not improve only the parameters of the existing
procedures for A, B tests (see Ann.3, § 4.2.4) instead of change in test equipment? The
proposed way seems to be the most expensive one.

Annex 6, amend „swing-bob“ to read „impactor“ (10 times) to bring the terms used here into
compliance with Annex 3.

Annex 6, paragraph 4.1.2, specify the chains without reference to the national Swedish
Regulation (reference to lower legislative level is not appreciable).

Annex 6, paragraph 4.1.4, bring the value of impact energy into compliance with Annex 3,
paragraph 4.2.4.