PROPOSAL FOR A DRAFT CORRIGENDUM 
TO SUPPLEMENT 2 TO REGULATION No. 113 
(Headlamps emitting a symmetrical passing beam)

Transmitted by the expert from the International Motorcycle Manufacturers Association 
(IMMA)

Note: The text reproduced below was prepared by IMMA proposing a correction to document TRANS/WP.29/2003/34. It is based on the document without a symbol (informal document No. 7 by IMMA), distributed during the one-hundred-and-thirtieth WP.29 session. WP.29 agreed to refer the proposal to GRE (see WP.29 report TRANS/WP.29/926, para. 5).

__________________

Note: This document is distributed to the Experts on Lighting and Light-Signalling only.
A. PROPOSAL

Paragraph 6.3.2.2., correct to read:

"6.3.2.2. The point of intersection (HV) of lines H-H and V-V shall be situated within the isolux 90 per cent of maximum illumination;

the luminous centre of the driving beam shall be situated not more than 0.6° above or below the line H-H;

the maximum value (EM) shall not be less than 32 lux;

Starting from point HV, horizontally to the right and left, the illumination shall be not less than 12 lux for Class B and C headlamp, and 24 lux for Class D headlamp to a distance of 1125 mm and not less than 3 lux for Class B and C headlamp, and 6 lux for Class D headlamp to a distance of 2250 mm.

In the case of a Class C and D headlamp, the intensities shall conform to the tables A or B in annex 3. Table A applies in the case where a primary driving beam is being produced with a single light source. Table B applies in the case where the driving beam is being produced by a secondary driving beam headlamp operated with a harmonized passing beam headlamp."

* * *

B. JUSTIFICATION

The content of these lines is contained in the earlier paragraph 6.3.2.1, either as duplicate text or in the technical content, and therefore it is redundant in this paragraph. The text was inadvertently included in the final draft, for which IMMA apologizes, and should be deleted.

_________