Planning, implementation, follow-up and review of the Sustainable Development Goals

Regional survey by UNECE and the Regional UN Development Group for Europe and Central Asia

Geneva and New York, 2 December 2015
Purpose

At the UN Sustainable Development Summit in New York (25-27 September 2015), the Heads of State and Government adopted the 2030 Agenda, including the ambitious and far-reaching 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Entering into force on 1 January 2016, they will guide sustainable development efforts in all countries in the 15-year period until 2030.

In this context, this survey is sent to the Governments of 56 UNECE member States\(^1\) to collect inputs on three crucial topics:

- The plans and approaches of governments to integrate the SDGs and targets in their national strategies and to implement them in their countries.
- The plans of governments to build and conduct monitoring and review at the national level for the SDGs and targets.
- The expectations of governments towards the regional UN system in view of SDG implementation and follow-up.

The survey is jointly conducted by UNECE and the Regional UN Development Group for Europe and Central Asia. The information received will be summarized and shared by their secretariats. It will serve to share experiences and to provide a practical overview of the first steps on the path to achieving the SDGs. Once the results are available, it is further envisaged to organize dialogues with and among member States in Geneva and New York in early 2016 to discuss and take forward the results.

\(^1\) The 56 UNECE member States are listed as follows. They include 17 countries in which the Regional UNDG (R-UNDG) works (marked with *). In addition, the R-UNDG is active in Kosovo (under UN Security Council resolution 1244). Albania*, Andorra, Armenia*, Austria, Azerbaijan*, Belarus*, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina*, Bulgaria, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia*, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Kazakhstan*, Kyrgyzstan*, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Republic of Moldova*, Monaco, Montenegro*, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, San Marino, Serbia*, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Tajikistan*, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia*, Turkey*, Turkmenistan*, Ukraine*, United Kingdom, United States and Uzbekistan*. 
Questionnaire

Please complete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY:</th>
<th>Austria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AUTHORITY: | Federal Chancellery of Austria  
Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs |
| NAME OF FOCAL POINT: | Norbert Feldhofer  
Sylvia Meier-Kajbic |
| FUNCTION: | Director  
Director |
| TELEPHONE: | +43-1-53115-204320  
+43-0501150-4523 |
| E-MAIL: | Norbert.feldhofer@bka.gv.at  
sylvia.meier-kajbic@bmeia.gv.at |
| REMARKS:* | |

Please return the completed questionnaire by MONDAY, 15 FEBRUARY 2016 to:

UNECE, Sustainable Development and Gender Unit (SDGU)
E-Mail: sdgu@unece.org

The electronic version of the questionnaire is available at:
www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/sustainable-development/Regional_SDG_survey_final.docx

*The completed questionnaires will be posted on the websites of UNECE and the Regional UN Development Group for Europe and Central Asia (ECA R-UNDG). Please indicate under “Remarks” above if you prefer your reply not to be posted.

For questions or assistance, please contact:
Mr. Michael KUNZ, UNECE secretariat, Geneva
(michael.kunz@unece.org; +41-22 917 24 45)

Ms. Liudmila BARCARI, R-UNDG secretariat, New York
(liudmila.barcari@undp.org; +1-212 906 5440)

Note: At the current stage and shortly after the adoption of the SDGs, there may be no consolidated government position yet on many issues raised in the questionnaire. You are therefore kindly
Planning is a key step to embark on the path of SDG implementation. This includes the formulation of national (sustainable) development strategies and the integration of the SDGs in policy measures.

**Question 1:**
What are the overall plans of your Government to translate the SDGs and targets into action and measures at the national and subnational level and integrate them in national strategies and other policy interventions? In addition, will new planning tools or processes be developed in support of the SDGs or will existing structures be used?

Austria has launched SDG implementation with a Decision of the Austrian Council of Ministers of January 12, 2016, based upon a national stocktaking exercise. In line with the universal, integrated and interrelated nature of the 2030 Agenda, the Decision of the Council of Ministers emphasizes a mainstreaming approach: setting the focus on aligning regular national policy frameworks on sectoral levels with the SDGs (like an SDG lens). A working group chaired by the Federal Chancellery and the Ministry of Foreign affairs will be established – with particular involvement of the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection, the Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management and the Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy as well as all the other Ministries which are affected by the implementation of the 2030 Agenda – to provide guidance on the drafting of national monitoring reports according to the reporting requirements and to initiate a priority setting process for the respective reporting period.

**Prioritization**

One distinctive feature of the SDGs is their universality, i.e. the entire SDG agenda should be implemented by all countries of the world. Nevertheless, particular topics and targets will be of higher priority than others given a country’s specific circumstances.

**Question 2:**
What is your Government’s approach to identify SDGs and targets that have priority for your particular country context?

As mentioned before, the established working group will coordinate a priority setting process for the respective reporting period. Details of this process will be developed
within the working group. However, this process should be based upon the results of the mainstreaming approach and the forthcoming indicator framework of the 2030 Agenda.

**Adaptation**

When devising national and local policies, experience suggests that global goals and targets may be adapted to national circumstances and that objectives, targets and indicators are developed and chosen that are in addition or complementary to the global agenda.

**Question 3:**
How does your Government foresee to transform global SDGs and targets into local objectives, targets and indicators (“localization” or “nationalization”)?

The Decision of the Austrian Council of Ministers of January 12th 2016 emphasizes a mainstreaming approach: setting the focus on aligning regular national policy frameworks on sectoral levels with the SDGs (like an SDG lens). The respective ministries will involve within their strategy and programming processes all relevant stakeholders on national, regional and local level as well as social partners, civil society and academia.

**Governance and budgeting**

The SDGs are widely seen as an integrated agenda that encourages holistic policy-making and cross-sectoral cooperation. As such, they may have an impact on institutional and governance structures as well as on processes for resource allocation.

**Question 4:**
Does your Government envisage any changes in the budgeting processes and governance structures due to the SDGs, and which institution in your Government will oversee SDG implementation in your country?

Currently, changes to the budgeting process are not yet considered.

**Stakeholder involvement**
SDG implementation will require forging partnerships and collaboration between a range of actors. This will also have a bearing on the work of governments with other stakeholders, including civil society, the private sector and academia.

**Question 5:**
How does your Government envisage to strengthen existing and build new partnerships with other stakeholders for the purpose of SDG implementation?

Numerous national policy frameworks (e.g. the national poverty reduction goal defined within the EU-2020 framework) rely on well-established multi-stakeholder advisory groups (e.g. Austrian Platform for the implementation of the EU 2020 poverty reduction goal). These existing multi-stakeholder groups will be utilized in mainstreaming the SDGs in their respective national policy framework.

**UN role in planning and implementation**

As recognized in inter-governmental fora, the UN will play a critical role in support of SDG planning and implementation. Some key UN functions and services include providing fora for policy dialogue and exchange; international legal instruments, norms, regulations and standards; policy advice and expertise; capacity-building and technical cooperation. Based on the feedback from its member States, the UN system at the regional level will work towards strengthening and improving regional UN structures and processes and inter-agency cooperation to provide the most ‘fit for purpose’ SDG-related services.

**Question 6:**
What are the expectations and needs of your Government regarding the role of the UN system in the region\(^2\) in assisting with SDG planning and implementation? Please highlight possible areas of improvement as well as any suggestions you may have.

UNECE could be a useful platform for exchanging best practices and lessons learned in regard to SDG planning and implementation. Specialized agencies could provide technical expertise. Joint country programing should facilitate policy coherence.

**Data and Monitoring**

While the development of statistical indicators for the SDGs is ongoing, there are many calls for a “data revolution” to ensure that high-quality data will be available to monitor

---

\(^2\) UNECE, R-UNDG, regional or subregional offices or units of UN entities, specialized agencies, funds and programmes, UN Country Teams active in the region.
progress under the SDGs. This may involve more and better data, disaggregated data, new data sources, and building and strengthening statistical capacities on the ground.

**Question 7:**
How does your Government envisage to address the need for data, to strengthen statistical capacities and to monitor SDG progress?

| We await the results of the UN Statistical Commission in March 2016, before setting any action in this regard. Statistics Austria participates in this group. |

**Assessment of progress**

Based on a solid data foundation, it will be crucial to identify the reasons for SDG progress and shortcomings as well as to derive appropriate policy interventions and corrections.

**Question 8:**
How does your Government envisage to identify the drivers of satisfactory or unsatisfactory SDG progress and to draw the related policy conclusions?

| We await the results of the UN Statistical Commission in March 2016, before setting any action in this regard. Any further conclusions will be considered after analyzing the data set. |

**Reporting**

National reports are recognized as important cornerstones in the future SDG follow-up process. Typically, they will be government-led and involve a range of other stakeholders. The format and content of national reports is expected to depend on data availability and other constraints. Sharing national reports for discussion and mutual learning could be beneficial for all stakeholders, including at the subregional and regional levels.

**Question 9:**
What is the current (even preliminary) thinking of your Government regarding possible modalities for reporting on SDG progress at the national level in your country, what are the constraints, and what should be the channels used to share these national reports internationally?
The critical milestones towards a coherent, efficient and inclusive reporting system are still under consideration at UN and EU level. This question will be considered after having all relevant information available.

**UN role in follow-up and review**

In addition to providing a possible regional platform (see question 11), the role of the UN in SDG follow-up and review could include statistical support, synthesis of national reports, preparation of thematic reports and other services.

**Question 10:**
*What are the expectations and needs of your Government regarding the role and services of the UN system in the region in SDG follow-up and review?*

The HLPF will need to make best use of the regional preparatory processes and existing international review processes, with a view to avoiding duplication and additional costs. The UN regional economic commissions (RECs) could play a coordinating role, and also provide technical assistance, in close collaboration with other regional organisations and processes.

The EU has considerable expertise and existing monitoring systems in place which could contribute to follow-up and reviews at the regional level, taking into account that of the UNECE.

**Regional platform**

The 2030 Agenda highlights the opportunities of follow-up and review at the regional level for peer learning, including through voluntary reviews, sharing of best practices and discussion on shared targets. Regional reviews should draw on national-level reviews and build on existing review mechanisms. Member States are encouraged to identify the most suitable regional forum in which to engage, supported by the Regional Commissions. Earlier, the General Assembly had invited the Regional Commissions to hold annual meetings in preparation of the High-level Political Forum (HLPF).

**Question 11:**

---

3 See outcome document of UN Sustainable Development Summit "Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development", paras 80 and 81.
How could a regional platform for follow-up and review be designed that integrates national experiences and existing review mechanisms and channels the findings to the global level in a coherent manner?

In line with the general spirit of the process leading to the 2030 Agenda, Regional Platform should not only be a forum for exchanges of national best practices and lessons learned, but should also give a platform to Civil Society to contribute with its views.

Duplications with efforts of other regional platforms, such as the EU, should be avoided.