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Aims of the presentation

1) Test the importance of a combination of income and wealth for measures of inequality and poverty

2) Test the importance of housing wealth and of different age groups regarding measures of inequality and poverty
The importance of wealth

• It has been omitted in the analysis on household finances for a long time
• Rising interest of the media following the publication of Piketty’s book *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*

• Different functions (Orr, 2003):
  – Utility: Income source and material resources
  – Security
  – Social status
  – Political power and social influence
  – Occupational opportunities

• Highly unequal resource (more than income)
• Imperfect estimation of poverty rates (especially for the elderly)
Switzerland, median wealth per person, 2016, USD

Source: James Davies, Rodrigo Lluberas and Anthony Shorrocks, Credit Suisse Global Wealth Databook 2016
The importance of housing wealth

Lifecycle model for housing wealth: Köppe, S., & Searle, B. (2016): AMUT framework

- Acquiring (with mortgage)
- Managing (repaying mortgage)
- Using (in old age)
- Transferring (give to children)

- The largest share of total net worth (52.5%)
- The share of owner-occupiers is linked to wealth accumulation and wealth inequality (e.g. Dietz & Haurin, 2003; Kaas et al., 2015).
Wealth data in Europe

International without Switzerland:
- Europe: Household Finance and Consumption Survey (HFCS)
- Luxembourg Wealth Study (LIS-LWS)
- World Wealth & Income Database

International with Switzerland:
- Credit Suisse Global Wealth Report based on tax records
- ISSP 2009 (categorical)
- SHARE

Apart from Swiss SHARE, there are:
- Cantonal and federal tax records
- the Household Budget Survey (HBS) since 1998
- the Swiss Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (CH-SILC) in 2011 and in 2015
QUESTION: In your opinion, what would be the value of your real estate assets (houses and land) **including your main property and mortgages**? If possible, please refer to its current market value, otherwise to the taxable value, the insurance value or the purchase price.

- Can you indicate the total value of your mortgages?

**CMV**: men, active, high-educated, urban, large houses

**Purchase price**: young, women, inactive, low-educated, rural, small houses
External coherence

“Consistency with external sources of information, such as national accounts or tax records”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNA for real estate assets 2014</th>
<th>1,820,517,000,000</th>
<th>PNA for net worth 2014</th>
<th>3,339,725,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SILC 2015</td>
<td>1,761,000,000,000</td>
<td>SILC 2015</td>
<td>2,061,000,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNA for real estate assets 2014 (mean)</td>
<td>220,999</td>
<td>PNA for net worth 2014 (mean)</td>
<td>405,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILC 2015 (mean)</td>
<td>217,212</td>
<td>SILC 2015 (mean)</td>
<td>356,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wealth inequality

- In 2011, the richest 10% of the Swiss population owned 75% of total wealth and that the richest 1% owned 40% of total wealth (Foellmi & Martinez 2016).

- Gini index in 2000: 0.8, 0.85 in 2008…until now based only on tax records:

  ![Bar chart showing wealth inequality for various countries]

  Source: James Davies et al. 2009
Poverty approaches

Fig. 1 Illustration of poverty lines. a Unidimensional poverty index. b Two-dimensional poverty index. Source: Brandolini et al. (2010, pp. 270, 272) Kuypers & Marx, 2016
Formulas

a) Unidimensional poverty index

\[ AY_t = Y_t + \left[ \frac{\rho}{1 - (1 + \rho)^{-n}} \right] NW_{t-1} \]

\[ n = T \quad \text{for unmarried,} \]

\[ T_1 + (T - T_1)b \quad \text{for married} \]

b) Two-dimensional poverty index

*Asset poverty*: \( NW_{t-1} < \zeta Z_t \)

*Income poverty*: \( Y_t < Z - r_t NW_{t-1} \)
Poverty_income & wealth

- Income and housing or other wealth (a)
- Income and wealth (a)
- Income and wealth (b)

Age groups:
- 25-39
- 40-49
- 50-64
- 65+

FORS
GINI: 0.8 for wealth, 0.29 for disposable income, 0.36 for a combination of the two
Gini by age groups

- Other wealth
- Net worth
- Hous. Wealth
- Income&net worth
- Disposable income

Age groups:
- 25-39
- 40-49
- 50-64
- 65+
Conclusions_inequality&poverty

• Young adults are more wealth deprived, whereas older adults are more income poor. Combining the two, and independently from the method, the most vulnerable households are young adults.

• Inequality of net worth does not increase linearly with age, but it does when it is combined with income and adjusted for life expectancy: inequality of economic wellbeing increases with age.

• Housing wealth contributes to 52.5% of the inequality of the unidimensional composite index of income and net worth. Houses have a big impact on the poverty rate of older population groups. Other wealth sources are also important.
Future developments

• Inclusion of pension entitlements (big change for the young)

• Exclusion of a share of primary home wealth (big change for the elderly)
  – Home ownership increases the money available for non-housing consumption by reducing housing expenditure, but homes might not be easily sold and their current value might be far from their original purchase price.

• For annualised income: reflection on life expectancy and equivalence scales
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