



**UNIFEM**  
**United Nations**  
**Development**  
**Fund for Women**

Working paper no.23

17 October 2004

UNECE Work Session on Gender Statistics

Organized in cooperation with UNDP-UNFPA-  
WHO

(Geneva, 18-20 October 2004)

# UNECE Gender Statistics Database

from a user's point of view

Presentation by Eva Fodor

# Description of the project

- “The Story Behind the Numbers: Women and Employment in Central and Eastern Europe and the CIS” (UNIFEM)
- **Goal:** to evaluate conflicting claims in the academic and policy literature on women’s position in the labor markets post 1989.
- **Method:** assessing women’s employment chances in 17 countries using UNECE database.

# Major findings

Two questions are conflated:

- Are women doing worse than before 1990?

Yes, most women

- Are women doing worse relative to men?

Not necessarily, but the numbers don't tell the whole story

# UNECE dataset- pros

- Includes countries from outside the EU
- A wide variety of indicators going back to 1990
- Efforts to include gender specific variables, such as time use, access to top level positions, etc.
- Available free, online, access very easy and straightforward

Overall: wonderful for research, teaching, or policy making!!

# Problems, suggestions

Comparison with state socialist period impossible, practically no data for 1990. What is there may be misleading.

## Activity rates (15-64 year age group, UNECE database)

	1990		1995	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
PL*	75.3	62.3	70.6	59.3
BL**	68.9	60.8	67.1	59.6
EE	83.7	72.4	79.3	66.3
HU***	83.8	75.9	67.9	50.3

\*Data for Poland 1990 refers to 1992

\*\* Data for Bulgaria refers to 1993

\*\*\* Data for Hungary is from Hungarian Statistical Yearbook, selected yrs

# Problems, suggestions

Focus specifically on countries from which data are usually difficult to obtain.

(For example, Serbia, Macedonia, Albania, many CIS countries.)

# Problems, suggestions

Areas I found sorely missing:

- informal work, unpaid work, immigrant work
- More on division of labor, time use
- Poverty
- ethnic breakdowns
- information about “inactives”
- political positions and women

# Problems, suggestions

There are discrepancies – some larger, some smaller- in different databases. It is unclear what explains these but this is disconcerting for user.

## Activity Rates (15-64 year old age group) in 2000, by three agencies

	UNECE		EF		WB 1		WB 2	
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
EE	76.0	65.3	75.2	65.4	86	72	76.1	67.9
PL	69.2	58.5	63.4	48.8	81	73	n.d.	59.2
HU	66.8	52.2	67.8	52.4	79	67	68.8	49.6

**UNECE** database

**WB 1:** Activity rates from World Bank report: Gender in Transition 2003.

“Labor Market data from GenderStats”, 1999 data.

**WB 2:** Labor Force participation rates from World Bank report: Gender in Transition 2003

“Labor Market data from labor force surveys”, 1999 data.

**EF:** Final Report 2003, data collected by each country from own labor stats for the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Condition

# Smaller points- what else would be useful?

- Regional (weighted?) averages would be useful- EU, CIS, East European EU, same level of GDP?
- Sectoral dis-aggregation is necessary
- Employment rates in general! (Can't calculate it for 15-64 age group, b/c unemployment rates are for 15+ only)
- Employment rates for different age groups? (For example, for working age defined for each country?)

Nevertheless, it is a...

wonderful resource!

- easy to use
- free
- with loads of info