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Structure of the presentation

- Key trends and challenges in social and affordable housing in the UNECE (UNECE Social Housing Study, 2014).
- Principles of housing affordability.
- Housing finance instruments and institutions in countries with mature social housing sector.
1. Increased housing need
2. Limited funding and finance
3. Lack of housing supply and choice
4. Raising aspirations for housing quality, health standards and energy efficiency
The housing need has diversified.

- No income
- Low income
- Vulnerable/special groups
- Aging Population
- Key workers
- Middle income
- Young adolescents
- Middle Class Families

LIVING WITH PARENTS:
- 72%
- 13%
- 16%
This map shows the percentage of 18-29-year-olds who were living with their parents in 2011, and the change, in percentage points, since 2007.
At least **100 million low and middle-income people in the UNECE region are housing cost overburdened**. They spend more than 40% of their disposable income on housing. High housing costs for low-income households leave limited resources for other basic needs, such as food, health, clothing and transportation. This means that lack of affordable housing makes other human rights increasingly unaffordable.
Housing is considered affordable when the households do not spend more than 30% of their disposable income on housing.

However, the definition variously include or omit utility bills and expenditure for energy.

The global financial crisis has changed the context in which the housing system operate.
Housing cost overburden rate in the EU 27 as a percentage of population, by poverty status
Principles of housing affordability

European Commission considers that the households are housing cost overburdened when they spend more than 40% of their disposable income on housing. The Harvard University considers families who spend more than 50% severely overburdened.

There are over 100 million people in the UNECE region who are housing cost overburdened.
Principles of housing affordability

- The definitions are based on the assumption that housing finance (mortgages) are main the route to home ownership and are accessible to the majority of the population.
- West: Access to mortgage finance is more restricted (interest rates 3-4%). Middle income excluded from homeownership.
- East: Access to mortgages is limited and expensive (interest rates 7%-22%). Homeownership unaffordable to the majority.
Utility bills affordability benchmarks (% of household income/exp.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Electricity</th>
<th>Heating</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHO (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA Energy (2003)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBRD (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Government</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Government</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EBRD (Fankhauser and Tepic, 2005).
Utility bills costs have become increasingly significant part of households’ expenditures across the UNECE region. Devoting a large proportion of the households’ income to utility bills combined with high mortgage interest rates will seriously hamper the ability of first-time buyers to afford and sustain housing once the privatisation of socialist public housing is over. Future housing policies should take into account the utility tariff reforms vis-à-vis housing affordability.

**Principles of housing affordability**

Utility bills costs have become increasingly significant part of households’ expenditures across the UNECE region. Devoting a large proportion of the households’ income to utility bills combined with high mortgage interest rates will seriously hamper the ability of first-time buyers to afford and sustain housing once the privatisation of socialist public housing is over. Future housing policies should take into account the utility tariff reforms vis-à-vis housing affordability.
There is a need for social as well as affordable housing supply in order to meet the housing needs of diverse groups of population and respond to the housing market dynamics. (EU Parliament, 2013; UN Human Rights, 2013; OECD, 2014).
Social and Affordable Housing Subsidies

Demand Subsidies
also called
Subject Subsidies
‘Housing allowance’

Supply Subsidies
also called
Object Subsidies
‘Brick & Mortar’ Subsidies
Social and affordable housing supply is based on the commercial property cash-flow where certain items are subsidised.
Development cost reduction

1. Supported Housing Providers
2. Grants
3. Discounted Land Price
4. Public Loans
5. Tax Reductions

Outlay (Currency)

Pre-contract period  Building contract period  Disposal Period
Development cost reduction

1. Supported Housing Providers
2. Grants
3. Discounted Land Price
4. Public Loans
5. Tax Reductions

Pre-contract period | Building contract period | Disposal Period

Outlay (Currency)

Holding costs
Construction costs and interest
# Social and affordable housing subsidies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discount Mechanism</th>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>Provided by</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Discount points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development cost reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Variety of actors providing social and affordable housing depending on the country (eg. local authorities, housing associations, cooperatives, private developers etc.).</td>
<td>Developers profit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support provided by Government (different tiers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The option depends on the land ownership and other local factors. This option is not readily available in densely populated areas.</td>
<td>Site Purchase, Interest on site costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounted land price</td>
<td></td>
<td>Government (different tiers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td>Government (different tiers)</td>
<td>Public sector (ei. government) grants for new building and refurbishment are being cut across the board as a part of the austerity measures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Social and affordable housing finance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Mechanism</th>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>Provided by</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Discount points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public loans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Government (different tiers)</td>
<td>Traditionally the primary financial strategy for S&amp;A housing programmes. Currently being cut.</td>
<td>Construction cost and interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revolving Funds and Equity Loans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The repaid loans are reinvested in new development. At the moment, loan repayment is challenging.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest rate subsidies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Government (different tiers)</td>
<td>Being cut. They can be costly to government over time, especially in time of raising interest rates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protected circuits of savings for S&amp;A housing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Government (different tiers)</td>
<td>Sustained in some countries, while others have dismantled them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Social and affordable housing subsidies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discount Mechanism</th>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>Provided by</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweating excising assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Instead of using government funds, the supported housing providers are increasingly being asked to use their own assets. This option is possible only for mature well established S&amp;A providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of own reserves and surpluses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supported Housing Providers</td>
<td>The supported housing providers are resorting to selling part of the equity to their tenants, or selling properties all together in order to subsidise new ones or renew the existing ones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment from selling equity or selling properties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supported Housing Providers</td>
<td>Developing and selling properties for market price is used increasingly to subsidise provision of social and affordable housing (by supported housing providers).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provision of commercial properties (cross subsidy)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(eg. local authorities, housing associations, cooperatives, non-profit org., private developers)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Construction cost and interest.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Mechanism</th>
<th>Provided by</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Discount points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private loans</strong></td>
<td>Private banks, private investors</td>
<td>Increasingly play a role in financing S&amp;A housing. Limited access and high cost of finance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond finance</strong></td>
<td>Private investors</td>
<td>Increasingly play a role in financing S&amp;A housing. Generally cheaper than loans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government secured private investment or state guarantees.</strong></td>
<td>Government (different tiers) to access private funding.</td>
<td>Used to reduce cost of finance and secure private investment in social and affordable housing (see Lawson, 2013).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loans provided by development banks</strong></td>
<td>EIB, EBRD, CEB</td>
<td>The international development banks are increasingly called to fund the social housing projects. While the number of project has increased in the past decade the provision is still quite limited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. As the result of the Global Financial Crisis and recession housing sector is going through reassessment in the majority in the UNECE countries.

2. The future policies will have to address increased and diversified housing need while operating in the context of reduced funds and limited access to finance.

3. In order to provide value for money integration of the efforts of different disciplines, sectors, and national agencies is vital.

4. Mix of policies and their system-level coherence for cost effectiveness.
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1. Affordable housing policy, while financially much reduced, is remarkably fluid and subject to innovations and novelty in the countries with mature housing stock.

2. There is a shift from state /public sector funded subsidies to private loans and investments, bond finance and government guarantees, resulting in shallow subsidies and affordable rather than social housing (Gibb et al., 2013).

3. There is an increased interest in ‘self-financing’. This may represent a transition from sustainable growth in housing supply towards stagnation (Driant & Li, 2012) and underprovision.
4. Policy makers are increasingly interested in management of various income streams, blending different subsidies creatively and encouragement of competition among supported housing providers.

5. As the result of restructuring and innovation new actors are joining the process of social and affordable housing provision in number of countries (for example private developers, private banks, private investment institutions).

6. The actors no longer include only national agents but cross border and international agencies (eg. EU banks, investment funds). In some cases new structures (eg. aggregator bonds, market intermediaries) are needed.
1. There is a strong case for arguing that governments should be prepared to increase borrowing to finance social and affordable housing (Gibb et al., 2013, EU Parliament, 2013). However, increased reliance on private finance may increase the price of housing and social rents in long term.

1. There are challenges in regards to new governance arrangements. There is a need to take into account and examine long term dependency on private finance and investment in social and affordable housing, need to clearly define the accountability, roles and responsibilities.

2. Most importantly, in long term fundamental market failures such as the land and credit markets will need addressing, and funding programmes for social housing prioritised, if raising need for housing is to be met (Gibb. et al., 2013).

3. In the countries in transition there is a growth in the National Housing Agencies this is a positive development that could secure cheaper finance in the markets where credit is expensive and hard to attain.