

Legislative framework of Convention and National Legislation

Aarhus convention

- Article 6 para. 10
- 10. Each Party shall ensure that, when a public authority reconsiders or updates the operating conditions for an activity referred to in paragraph 1, the provisions of paragraphs 2 to 9 of this article are applied mutatis mutandis, and where appropriate.
- Annex I para. 22
- Any change to or extension of activities, where such a change or extension in itself meets the criteria/thresholds set out in this annex, shall be subject to article 6, paragraph 1 (a) of this Convention. Any other change or extension of activities shall be subject to article 6, paragraph 1 (b) of this Convention.

National Legislative Framework

- The Law on Environmental Impact Assessment and Expertise, (adopted 21.06.2014)
- Article 4 para. 1 point 7 gives the definition of the planned activity
- planned activity: a study, production, construction, exploitation, reconstruction, extension, technical and technological reequipment, reprofiling, conservation, relocation, liquidation, closure, which have possible impact on the environment

Government Decision Laying Down the Procedure of Public Notifications and Discussions N1325 (adopted 19.11.2014) regulates public participation in environmental impact assessment and expertise.

 The procedure of public participation in environmental impact assessment and expertise for Changes and extensions are the same as for planned activities

Advantages of current internal legislative framework

- Very wide definition, under which falls practically any reconstruction, extension, technical and technological re-equipment, reprofiling.
- Such a broad definition, when deciding whether the extension or change is subject to EIA, won't lead to narrow interpretations by state authorities or Courts.

Disadvantages

 Absence of mechanism to decide preliminary, which kind of extensions or changes have impact on environment and which do not. As a result, almost all changes and extensions fall under EIA procedure.

Enforcement mechanism

- Article 21 para. 2 of the Law on Environmental Impact Assessment and Expertise defines.
- The positive expertise conclusion is repealed by the State Authority, if:
- 1) The activity, which has passed expertise procedure, is being carried out in non-compliance with project documents (extensions and changes are included),
- 2) changes, without notifying State Authority, have been made in project documents (for environmental impact assessment) or in fundamental documents (for strategic environmental impact assessment), which have passed expertise procedure.

Responsibility

- The Code of Administrative Offences defines penalty for:
- 1) activities, which are carried out without expertise conclusion,
- 2) not submitting the project documents to EIA, after being fined
- (Second point is subject to improvement. For example, constructions with area more than 1500m² are subject to EIA, in this case the sense of environmental impact assessment after construction is arguable.)

And what after fining?

 Finally, Environmental State Inspectorate is eligible to ban the activity, which has not passed environmental impact assessment and expertise procedure.

Further Improvement

- A draft Law amending the Law on EIA and expertise is being elaborated.
- All problems, obstacles deriving from application of the Law (including the regulatory framework of extensions and changes) will be studied and regulated.
- The conclusions of Compliance Committee will also be discussed and taken into consideration.
- The draft Law will also be discussed with the public concerned.

THANK YOU