We would like to raise two brief concerns: First, we would like to express our concern that the language of the draft decision in Decision VI/8k has been changed. We disapprove of such changes to decisions as such. Second, specifically with regard to the language changed, we think the Decision should not be amended to delete reference to the specific concerns of the public which might be affected by the nuclear activities in question. The fact that this may involve a subjective element does not render these concerns unimportant; these concerns remain, are important, and as such merit consideration. We therefore disagree with their exclusion from this Decision.