Article 10, paragraph 2, of the Convention requires the Parties, at their meetings, to keep under continuous review the implementation of the Convention on the basis of regular reporting by the Parties. Through decision I/8, the Meeting of the Parties established a reporting mechanism whereby each Party is requested to submit a report to each meeting of the Parties on the legislative, regulatory and other measures taken to implement the Convention, and their practical implementation, according to a reporting format annexed to the decision. For each meeting, the secretariat is requested to prepare a synthesis report summarizing the progress made and identifying any significant trends, challenges and solutions. The reporting mechanism was further developed through decision II/10, which addressed, inter alia, the issue of how to prepare the second and subsequent reports.

1 The present document was submitted at the above date due to resource constraints.
I. PROCESS BY WHICH THE REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED

1. This report has been prepared by the focal point, in liaison with interested departments within the Commission. It has been made available to the public for comments from 7 December 2007 to 4 February 2008. Comments were submitted by WWF UK after the closure of the commenting period but were nevertheless taken into account. The Commission adopted this report on 7 May 2008 and authorised Mr M.P. Carl, Director-General of the Directorate-General for the Environment, to submit it to the secretariat of the Convention.

II. PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES RELEVANT FOR UNDERSTANDING THE REPORT

2. According to Article 300(7) of the Treaty establishing the European Community (“EC Treaty”), international agreements concluded by the European Community are binding on the institutions of the Community and on Member States. In accordance with the European Court of Justice’s case-law, those agreements prevail over provisions of secondary Community legislation. The primacy of international agreements concluded by the Community over provisions of secondary Community legislation also means that such provisions must, so far as is possible, be interpreted and applied in a manner that is consistent with those agreements.

3. In addition, according also to settled case-law, a provision in an agreement concluded by the Community with non-member countries must be regarded as being directly applicable when, regard being had to its wording and the purpose and nature of the agreement itself, the provision contains a clear and precise obligation which is not subject, in its implementation or effects, to the adoption of any subsequent measure. Such provisions constitute rules of Community law directly applicable in the internal legal order of the Member States, which can be relied on by individuals before national courts against public authorities. There is no case-law yet of the Court of Justice of the European Communities or of the Court of First Instance (hereinafter: “Community judicature”) on the direct effect of any of the provisions of the Aarhus Convention.

4. Account should also be taken of article 19, paragraph 5, of the Convention, which requires organizations such as the European Community to declare the extent of their competence with respect to the matters governed by the Convention. This report should be read bearing in mind the declaration of competence deposited by the Community pursuant to article 19, paragraph 5, together with its instrument of ratification.

5. The Community has adopted secondary legislation with a view to implementing the Convention with respect to Community institutions and bodies (in the form of a Regulation) and with respect to Member States’ authorities (in the form of Directives) (see below).

---

III. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE GENERAL PROVISIONS IN PARAGRAPHS 2, 3, 4, 7 AND 8 OF ARTICLE 3

Article 3, paragraph 2

6. Article 1(2) of Regulation No 1367/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 September 2006 on the application of the provisions of the Convention to Community institutions and bodies" provides that Community institutions and bodies shall endeavour to assist and provide guidance to the public with regard to access to information, participation in decision-making and access to justice in environmental matters. Article 6(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents provides that institutions shall provide information and assistance to citizens on how and where applications for access to documents can be made. As far as access to environmental information within the Member States is concerned, Article 3(5) of Directive 2003/4 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2003 on public access to environmental information and repealing Council Directive 90/313/EEC is also directly relevant (see below).

Article 3, paragraph 3

7. Member States bear the prime responsibility in education matters; the Commission’s activities related to environmental education are limited to developing a range of communication tools, where young people is one of the main target groups. (See, for instance, the Environment for Young Europeans website: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/youth/index_en.html). One can also mention that financial support may be awarded to environmental non governmental organizations (NGOs) by the Commission (see below) whose work programmes involve policy-relevant activities relating to environmental education. The Commission also financially supports information and communication actions, including awareness-raising campaigns under Regulation (EC) No 614/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 May 2007 concerning the Financial Instrument for the Environment (LIFE+). Raising the environmental awareness of the public is at the core of the communications strategy of the Commission's environment directorate. (See, for instance, events such as Green Week and the European Mobility Week: www.mobilityweek-europe.org/index.php?lang=en).

Article 3, paragraph 4

8. The law relating to legal persons, including NGOs, lies primarily within Member States’ competence. That said, the Commission has been financially promoting for the last several years environmental NGOs. (see Decision No. 466/2002/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 1 March 2002 laying down a Community action programme promoting NGOs

---

primarily active in the field of environmental protection\(^7\); see also LIFE+ Regulation mentioned above).

**Article 3, paragraph 7**

9. Commission representatives try, in most negotiations on rules of procedure of decision-making bodies under multilateral environmental agreements, to allow for the participation of a wide circle of interested parties. The Civil Society Dialogue (CSD) initiative is also noteworthy in the field of international trade. The CSD was set up in 1998 and is open to European Union (EU) not-for-profit organizations and participants from such organizations in Candidate Countries\(^8\) as well as to their affiliates in developing countries, where such participation can enhance the dialogue. Participants should register their organizations with the Commission's department for international trade (DG Trade) via a dedicated website. Since the launch of the dialogue, the number of participating organizations has increased substantially, to approximately 800 registered organizations. From 2002 to 2006, approximately 350 of these organizations regularly attended meetings. A Contact Group was established in 2000 as a mechanism by which to help structure and organise the dialogue. The Contact Group functions as a facilitator and sounding board for DG Trade. Contact Group members are selected by their constituencies; DG Trade does not intervene in this selection. There are currently 14 Contact Group members. The Contact Group members are also part of the EU delegation at World Trade Organization (WTO) Ministerial Meetings as advisers to the Commission.

**Article 3, paragraph 8**

10. According to settled case-law of the European Court of Justice, the European Community is based on the rule of law. Any decisions made by Community institutions or bodies whose main purpose would be to penalise, persecute or harass a person on the sole ground that (s)he would have exercised rights bestowed to him or her by the Convention – which, upon its ratification, has become an integral part of the Community legal order – would constitute a misuse of powers and therefore be illegal. In addition, any official or other agent of any Community institutions and bodies who would so behave would expose him or herself to disciplinary proceedings. The European Commission understands that similar principles apply within the EU Member States.

**IV. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 3**

11. No information was provided under this heading.

---


\(^8\) Candidate country status is conferred by the European Council on the basis of an opinion from the European Commission, drawn up following an application for membership by the country concerned (see the Glossary on the Europa portal: [http://europa.eu/scadplus/glossary/applicant_countries_en.htm](http://europa.eu/scadplus/glossary/applicant_countries_en.htm)).
V. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE GENERAL PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 3.

12. No information was provided under this heading.

VI. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 3

Aarhus Convention page of the European Commission:
http://ec.europa.eu/environment/aarhus/index.htm,

VII. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON ACCESS TO ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION IN ARTICLE 4

14. As already mentioned, the Community has adopted secondary legislation with a view to implementing the Convention with respect to Community institutions and bodies (in the form of a Regulation) and with respect to Member States’ authorities (in the form of Directives).

As far as access to information held by Community institutions and bodies is concerned

Relevant definitions

15. The definitions of “environmental information”, “Community institution or body” (which corresponds to “public authority” at Community level) and “public” can be found under points (d), (c) and (b) respectively of Article 2(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006.

The non-discrimination principle set out in Article 3, paragraph 9

16. In addition to Article 12 of the EC Treaty, the reaffirmation of the principle of non-discrimination can be found in Article 3 of Regulation No 1367/2006.

Article 4, paragraph 1

Article 4, paragraph 1 (a)

17. The right of any person to have access to environmental information without having to state an interest is embedded in Article 3 of Regulation No 1367/2006, which itself refers to Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents\(^9\), which will apply, as supplemented and complemented by Regulation 1367/2006, to any request for

access to environmental information held by Community institutions and bodies. (For instance, for the purpose of Regulation No 1367/2006, the word “institution” in Regulation No 1049/2001 must be read as “Community institution or body”.) Reference will thus also be made to Regulation No 1049/2001 in this report.

**Article 4, paragraph 1 (b)**

18. Article 10 of Regulation No 1049/2001 sets out the rules to be followed in terms of the format in which the documents containing the requested information will be made available to the applicant.

**Article 4, paragraph 2**

19. Articles 7 and 8 of Regulation No 1049/2001 specify the time limits to be complied with by Community institutions and bodies.

**Article 4, paragraphs 3 and 4**

20. The exceptions on the ground of which Community institutions and bodies may refuse to provide the requested information are set out in Article 4 of Regulation No 1049/2001 and Article 6(2) of Regulation No 1367/2006. Article 4 of Regulation No 1049/2001 is to be read in conjunction with Article 6(1) of Regulation No 1367/2006.

21. The “public interest” test is embedded in Article 6(1) of Regulation No 1367/2006.

**Article 4, paragraph 5**

22. Article 7 of Regulation No 1367/2006 specifies the action to be taken by the Community institution or body concerned when it does not hold the requested information.

**Article 4, paragraph 6**

23. Article 4(6) of Regulation No 1049/2001 (to which Article 3 of Regulation No 1367/2006 refers) addresses the issue of partial access.

**Article 4, paragraph 7**

24. Article 8 of Regulation No 1049/2001 (to which Article 3 of Regulation No 1367/2006 refers) specifies the action to be taken by the Community institution or body concerned in terms of time-limits and other requirements concerning refusals.

**Article 4, paragraph 8**

25. Article 10(1) of Regulation No 1049/2001 (to which Article 3 of Regulation No 1367/2006 refers) governs the issue of charges.

**As far as access to information held by Member States' authorities is concerned**
Relevant definitions


The non-discrimination principle set out in Article 3, paragraph 9

27. Article 12 of the EC Treaty prohibits any discrimination on grounds of nationality. The European Court of Justice considers this provision to be a specific expression of the general principle of equality which applies across the board in Community law. According to settled case-law, the rules regarding equality of treatment between nationals and non-nationals forbid not only overt discrimination by reason of nationality, but also all covert forms of discrimination which, by the application of other distinguishing criteria, lead to the same result. It is also to be noted that, according to settled case-law of the European Court of Justice, Member States are bound by general principles of Community law, such as that of non-discrimination, when they implement Community legislation.

Article 4, paragraph 1

Article 4, paragraph 1 (a)

28. The right to any person to have access to environmental information without having to state an interest is embedded in Article 3(1) of Directive 2003/4.

Article 4, paragraph 1 (b)

29. Article 3(4), first subparagraph, of Directive 2003/4 ensures that where an applicant requests a public authority to make environmental information available in a specific form or format (including in the form of copies), the public authority shall make it so available (subject to the qualifications set out in points (a) and (b) of the same provision).

Article 4, paragraph 2

30. Article 3(2) of Directive 2003/4 specifies the timelimits to be complied with by public authorities.

Article 4, paragraphs 3 and 4

31. Article 4 of Directive 2003/4 sets out the exceptions on the ground of which public authorities may refuse to provide the requested information.


\(^{10}\) See footnote 3.
Article 4, paragraph 5

33. Article 4(1) (a) of Directive 2003/4 specifies the action to be taken by the public authority concerned when it does not hold the requested information.

Article 4, paragraph 6

34. Article 4(4) of Directive 2003/4 addresses the issue of partial access.

Article 4, paragraph 7

35. Article 4(5) of Directive 2003/4 specifies the action to be taken by the public authority concerned in terms of timelimits and other requirements concerning refusals.

Article 4, paragraph 8


VIII. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 4

37. No information was provided under this heading.

IX. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 4

40. Regulation No 1367/2006 became of application on 28 June 2007. Before then, access to environmental information was ensured through Regulation No 1049/2001 on public access to documents only. The Commission has adopted several reports on the application of Regulation No 1049/2001 (available at the website mentioned below), which contain statistical information. By way of example, the Report from the Commission on the application in 2004 of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents\(^1\) – which is the most recent available – mentions that “[t]he breakdown by area of interest remained more or less the same as in previous years. Competition, customs, indirect taxation, the internal market and the environment continue to rouse most interest, accounting for approximately 40 per cent of requests”\(^2\). It also appears from that report that the two main grounds for refusing access are those related to the protection of the purpose of investigations (these mostly involved requests for access to letters of formal notice, reasoned opinions or other documents relating to infringement procedures\(^3\) which had not yet been closed or documents relating to investigations

\(^2\) Ibid., p. 4.
\(^3\) Under the EC Treaty (Article 226 et seq.), the Commission of the European Communities is responsible for ensuring that Community law is correctly applied. Consequently, where a Member State fails to comply with Community law, the Commission has the power to initiate an action for non-compliance to try to bring the
concerning competition policy) and the protection of the Commission’s decision-making process.

41. Concerning the implementation of Directive 2003/4, Article 9(1) thereof provides that Member States must report on the experience gained in the application of the Directive by 14 February 2009 and that they must communicate the report to the Commission not later than 14 August 2009. The Commission has prepared a guidance document setting out the manner in which it wishes the Member States to report. This guidance document is available on the Europa webpage on the Convention (see below).

X. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 4


XI. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON THE COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION IN ARTICLE 5

Article 5, paragraph 1

Article 5, paragraphs 1 (a) and (b)

41. Regarding article 5, paragraphs 1 (a) and (b) the following points can be usefully made.

42. One of the tasks of the European Environment Agency (EEA) set up by Council Regulation (EEC) No 1210/90 of 7 May 1990 on the establishment of the European Environment Agency and the European Environment Information and Observation Network, as amended, is to provide the Community and the Member States with the objective information necessary for framing and implementing sound and effective environmental policies.

43. The Commission (DG Environment, Eurostat and the Joint Research Centre) and the EEA cooperate on the establishment of WISE (Water Information System for Europe), available at http://water.europa.eu, which is a Web-based tool for Member States to report under their implementation requirements for in the first instance the Water Framework Directive (Directive 2000/60/EC). The system is gradually being extended to incorporate reporting of the Bathing Water Directive (2006/7/EC), the Urban Wastewater Directive (91/271/EEC), the Nitrates Directive (91/676/EEC) and the Drinking Water Directive (98/83/EC). In the longer term, it is also foreseen that the new Floods Directive (2007/60/EC) and the Marine Strategy Directive (in the course of adoption) will use this reporting tool. WISE serves the dual purpose of being a

infringement to an end and, where necessary, may refer the case to the European Court of Justice. (See also: http://ec.europa.eu/community_law/infringements/infringements_en.htm).

reporting tool for national administrations to the Commission, and to inform the public of environmental information via a public interface.

44. One should also mention that the Commission in collaboration with the EEA and Member States is in the process of developing a Shared Environmental Information System (SEIS) in Europe, in order to ensure timely availability and sharing of the information needed to develop and implement environmental policy while reducing as far as possible the administrative burden on Member States and Community institutions/bodies associated with reporting and monitoring. One of the main objectives of SEIS is to deliver an integrated (but distributed) and shared European “system of systems” for management, use, dissemination and reporting of better environmental data and information, and provision of e-Government services to support policy making and empower the citizens. A Commission Communication on SEIS was adopted on 1 February 2008. (See [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/seis/index.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/seis/index.htm).)

45. Regulation (EC) No 2150/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2002 on waste statistics should also be noted, whose objective of this Regulation is to establish a framework for the production of Community statistics on the generation, recovery and disposal of waste.

46. Pursuant to Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority (hereinafter EFSA) and laying down procedures in the matter of food law, one of the tasks of EFSA is to provide the Community with the best possible scientific opinions on issues having a direct or indirect impact on food and feed safety, taking account of animal health and welfare, plant health and the environment. (See also Article 33, setting up a network coordinated by ESFA for collecting and analysing scientific data in the fields covered by its mission.)

47. Concerning the monitoring of emissions into the environment, reference can be made to the E-PRTR Regulation mentioned below with respect to article 5, paragraph 9, of the Convention.

48. In parallel to the above-mentioned activities and initiatives which aim at ensuring that Community institutions possess the information and data necessary to develop and implement a sound environmental policy, Community environmental legislation may require economic operators to report to national competent authorities. For instance, Article 14 second indent of the IPPC Directive (96/61 – see below for full references) provides that “Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that: […] the operator regularly informs the competent authority of the results of the monitoring of releases and without delay of any incident or accident significantly affecting the environment”.

Article 5, paragraph 1 (c)

---

18 E-PRTR= European Pollutant release and transfer register.
49. See Article 7(4) of Directive 2003/4. No similar provision is to be found in Regulation No. 1367/2006 since it is felt that Member States authorities are best placed to act in relation to the public concerned in case of emergencies. This does not mean, however, that the Community may not contribute to achieving that objective, when such Community action is justified in the light of the subsidiarity principle. See, for instance, Decision No 2119/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 September 1998 setting up a network for the epidemiological surveillance and control of communicable diseases in the Community. See also Article 10 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, stating that where there are reasonable grounds to suspect that a food or a feed may present a risk for human or animal health, public authorities take the appropriate steps to inform the public.

Article 5, paragraph 2

50. See Article 3(5) of Directive 2004/3 and Article 1(2) of Regulation No 1367/2006. See also Article 11 of Regulation No 1049/2001 on the setting up of an electronically accessible public register of documents by the European Parliament, Council and Commission. (These registers may be accessed via: http://europa.eu/documents/registers/index_en.htm). Finally, see Article 38(1) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 requiring EFSA to operate with a high level of transparency, making public without delay scientific opinions as well as the agendas and minutes of meetings and other key documents (these documents may be accessed via http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa_locale-1178620753812_home.htm).

Article 5, paragraph 3

51. Article 7(1) and (2) of Directive 2004/3 and Article 4 of Regulation No 1367/2006 deal with electronic databases and the environmental information to be made available and disseminated through these by Member States’ authorities and Community institutions and bodies, respectively.

Article 5, paragraph 4

52. Article 7(3) of Directive 2003/4 and Article 4(4) of Regulation No 1367/2006 concern the publication of reports on the state of the environment to be published by Member States’ authorities and Community institutions and bodies respectively.

Article 5, paragraph 5

53. Article 7(2) of Directive 2004/3 and Article 4 of Regulation No 1367/2006 deal with the environmental information to be disseminated by Member States’ authorities and Community institutions and bodies respectively.

Article 5, paragraph 6

19 “In areas which do not fall within its exclusive competence, the Community shall take action, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity, only if and in so far as the objectives of the proposed action cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale or effects of the proposed action, be better achieved by the Community” (Article 5, second subparagraph, of the EC Treaty).

55. It is to be noted that the Eco-label Regulation provides for the consultation of stakeholders when establishing eco-label criteria for product groups (see, in particular, Article 15 of the Regulation).

**Article 5, paragraph 7**

56. The Commission publishes Impact Assessments - documents containing assessment of the significant economic, environmental and social impacts of potential policy options - alongside proposals for policies and legislation of significant importance (see, for instance, the Thematic Strategies adopted by the Commission pursuant to the sixth Community Environment Action Programme\(^{23}\)). In preparation of these Impact Assessments, the Commission often contracts technical studies and conducts consultation of stakeholders and interested parties; those consultations are even frequently open to all members of the public. The above-mentioned expert studies are usually made available as supporting materials for the public consultation and the Impact Assessments. (See: [http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/cia_2007_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/governance/impact/cia_2007_en.htm), and [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/consultations_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/consultations_en.htm) or [http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/index_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/index_en.htm)). Relevant information, albeit possibly in a succinct form, on the Impact Assessment and public consultation carried out should be available in the explanatory memorandum attached to the legislative proposal adopted by the Commission. It should be stressed that Impact Assessments are not limited to legislative proposals; important policy-making documents, such as White Papers, may be subject to an Impact Assessment.

57. One can refer, as far as explanatory material on the Commission's dealings with the public in matters covered by the Convention are concerned, to the reports on the application of Regulation No 1049/2001 (available at: [http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/access_documents/index_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/access_documents/index_en.htm)), the annual reports on better lawmaking (available at: [http://ec.europa.eu/governance/better_regulation/reports_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/governance/better_regulation/reports_en.htm)), which include a section on public consultation undertaken by the Commission, and the annual reports on monitoring the application of Community law (available at: [http://ec.europa.eu/community_law/eulaw/index_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/community_law/eulaw/index_en.htm), with various other documents), which include comments on how Community environmental law is being applied by Member States and enforced by the Commission (see also the Annual Surveys on the Implementation and Enforcement of Community Environmental Law available at: [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/law/implementation.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/law/implementation.htm)).

---

58. As far as providing information on the performance of public functions relating to the environment, relevant data and information can be found in the annual Environment Policy Review (EPR), which highlights the main developments in environment policy at Community and Member States level over the last year, as well as recent findings and environmental trends and indicates the main issues to come up over the next year. (EPRs are available at: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/policyreview.htm.)

**Article 5, paragraph 8**

59. In addition to the Eco-label Regulation already mentioned with respect to article 5, paragraph 6, of the Convention, the European Community has adopted several legislative acts aiming at ensuring, in a mandatory manner, that producers make available to consumers information concerning the environmental performances of their products:


60. One can also refer to the European Energy Star Programme, which is a voluntary energy labelling programme for office equipment. The Energy Star logo helps consumers identify office equipment products that save them money and help protect the environment by saving energy. (See Regulation (EC) No 106/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 January 2008 on a Community energy-efficiency labelling programme for office equipment (recast version); see also http://www.eu-energystar.org/.)

**Article 5, paragraph 9**


---

28 OJ L 32, 4.2.2006, p. 54.
XII. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 5

62. No information was provided under this heading.

XIII. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 5

63. No information was provided under this heading.

XIV. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 5

64. See the links provided in the relevant sections above.

XV. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN DECISIONS ON SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES IN ARTICLE 6

65. It should first be noted that, to the best of the Commission's reckoning, European Community institutions and bodies do not adopt decisions to permit proposed activities listed in annex I to the Convention; the Community has therefore focused its implementation of article 6 of the Convention within its Member States.

66. The relevant definitions of article 2 of the Convention are to be found in Articles 3(1) and 4(1)(b) of Directive 2003/35/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 May 2003 providing for public participation in respect of the drawing up of certain plans and programmes relating to the environment and amending with regard to public participation and access to justice Council Directives 85/337/EEC and 96/61/EC.

67. As to the implementation of the non-discrimination principle set out in article 3, paragraph 9, of the Convention, reference is made to the observations outlined above with respect to the implementation of Article 4 of the Convention.

Article 6, paragraph 1

Article 6, paragraph 1 (a)


30 Environmental Impact Assessment.

Article 6, paragraph 1 (b)

69. The European Community institutions has adopted legislation – the above-mentioned EIA Directive – to make subject to an EIA projects which have, or are likely to have, significant effects on the environment. The many categories of projects listed in the EIA Directive which do not fall under entries 1 to 19 of annex I to the Convention (see, for instance, infrastructure projects, as well as tourism and leisure related projects, listed under points 10 and 12 respectively of Annex II to the EIA Directive), are covered under entry 20 of that Annex since the EIA Directive always requires that public participation takes place when an environmental impact assessment is carried out. In the light of the above, no additional measures have been taken by the Community with respect to article 6, paragraph 1 (b), of the Convention.

Article 6, paragraph 2

70. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 3(4) of Directive 2003/35, which amends Article 6 of the EIA Directive (see, in particular new Article 6(2) and (3) thereof). See also Article 4(3) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new Article 15(1) of the IPPC Directive in conjunction with new Annex V thereto, its point 1 in particular).

Article 6, paragraph 3

71. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 3(4) of Directive 2003/35, which amends Article 6 of the EIA Directive (see, in particular new Article 6(2), (3) and (6) thereof). See also Article 4(3) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new Article 15(1) of the IPPC Directive in conjunction with new Annex V thereto, its points 2 and 5 in particular).

Article 6, paragraph 4

72. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 3(4) of Directive 2003/35, which amends Article 6 of the EIA Directive (see, in particular new Article 6(4) thereof). See also Article 4(3) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new Article 15(1) of the IPPC Directive).

\textsuperscript{32} OJ L 73, 14.3.1997, p. 5.

Article 6, paragraph 5

73. No specific measures have to be taken in that respect.

Article 6, paragraph 6

74. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 3(4) of Directive 2003/35, which amends Article 6 of the EIA Directive (see, in particular new Article 6(3) thereof). See also Article 4(3) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new Article 15(1) of the IPPC Directive in conjunction with new Annex V thereto, its point 2 in particular).

Article 6, paragraphs 6 (a) to (f)

75. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 5(1) and (3) of the EIA Directive in conjunction with Annex IV thereto. See also Article 6(1) of the IPPC Directive.

Article 6, paragraph 7

76. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 3(4) of Directive 2003/35, which amends Article 6 of the EIA Directive (see, in particular new Article 6(4) and (5) thereof). See also Article 4(3) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new Article 15(1) of the IPPC Directive in conjunction with new Annex V thereto, its points 3 and 5 in particular).

Article 6, paragraph 8

77. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 8 of the EIA Directive. See also Article 4(3) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new Article 15(1) of the IPPC Directive in conjunction with new Annex V thereto, its point 4 in particular).

Article 6, paragraph 9

78. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 3(6) of Directive 2003/35, which amends Article 9(1) of the EIA Directive. See also Article 4(3)(b) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new Article 15(5) of the IPPC Directive in conjunction with new Annex V thereto, its point 4 in particular).

Article 6, paragraph 10

79. The relevant provisions are to be found in Article 4(1) and (2) of the EIA Directive in conjunction with Annex I, point 22, and Annex II, point 13, first indent thereto respectively. In essence, the criterion applied is in final instance whether the planned change to the project is likely of having significant effects on the environment; projects listed in Annex I are deemed to have per se such effects, while it is incumbent on the Member States to make sure that projects listed in Annex II which may have in concreto such effects are subject to the impact assessment procedure. Are also of direct relevance Article 4(1)(a) and (3)(a) of Directive 2003/35 with respect to the IPPC Directive (new second subparagraph added to Article 2, point 10(b), and new Article 15(1), second and third indents of the IPPC Directive in conjunction with new Annex V.
thereto). In both cases, public participation depends on whether there is a new decision-making procedure, which will be the case when the change in the activity, in particular in terms of operating conditions as far as the IPPC Directive is concerned, would be such that they would or could lead to significant effects on the environment, it being understood that meeting certain thresholds would automatically trigger a new procedure since the likely effects are then deemed to be significant per se.

Article 6, paragraph 11


81. Article 9 of Directive 2001/18/EC provides that Member States shall consult the public and, where appropriate, groups on the proposed deliberate release of GMOs into the environment for any other purpose than for placing on the market. In doing so, Member States shall lay down arrangements for this consultation, including a reasonable time-period, to give the public or groups the opportunity to express an opinion. Member States are also to make available to the public information on all intentional releases of GMOs into the environment in their territory, and the Commission shall make available to the public the information contained in the system of exchange of information established within the Community.

82. In cases of notifications for placing on the market of GMOs as or in products, Article 24 of Directive 2001/18/EC provides that the Commission makes available to the public the summary dossier that is to accompany notifications for placing on the market of GMOs or a combination of GMOs as or in products. It also requires the Commission to make available the assessment report issued by the competent authority of the Member State which received the notification. Article 25 of the Directive specifies which information may or may not be considered as confidential.

83. According to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) shall make a summary of the application for authorisation of placing on the market of a GM food available to the public. Similarly, when delivering its opinion, the Authority shall make it public, after deletion of any information identified as confidential. The public may make comments to the Commission within 30 days from such publication. A similar procedure

---

applies in case of modification, suspension and revocation of authorizations.\textsuperscript{38} Similar provisions also exist with regard to the authorization of genetically modified feed.\textsuperscript{39} Authorized genetically modified food and feed is entered into a public register.\textsuperscript{40} Article 30 of the Regulation specifies which information may or may not be considered as confidential.

84. As regards the right for public access to documents, Article 29 of the Regulation provides that the application for authorisation, supplementary information from the applicant, opinions from the competent Authorities, monitoring reports and information from the authorisation holder shall be made accessible to the public in accordance with the principles of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents. See also the comments made above with respect to article 4 of the Convention.

85. The Community has decided on 18 December 2006 to ratify the GMO Amendment (see: Council Decision 2006/957/EC of 18 December 2006 on the conclusion, on behalf of the European Community, of an amendment to the Convention\textsuperscript{41}); the Community deposited its instrument of ratification on 1 February 2008.

\textbf{XVI. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 6}

86. No information was provided under this heading.

\textbf{XVII. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 6}

87. The Commission does not have at present information on the practical application of Articles 3 and 4 of Directive 2003/35 since the authorities delivering development consents under Directive 85/337 as amended and IPPC permits under Directive 96/61 are national and/or sub-national authorities. Article 5 of Directive 2003/35 provides, however, that, by 25 June 2009, the Commission shall send a report on the application and effectiveness of the Directive to the European Parliament and to the Council; this report should take into account the experience acquired in the application of the Directive in the Member States.

\textbf{XVIII. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 6}

88. No information was provided under this heading.

\textsuperscript{38} Article 10(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003.
\textsuperscript{39} Articles 17(2)(b)(ii), 18(7) and 22(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003.
\textsuperscript{40} Article 28 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003.
\textsuperscript{41} OJ L 386, 29.12.2006, p. 46.
XIX. PRACTICAL AND/OR OTHER PROVISIONS MADE FOR THE PUBLIC TO PARTICIPATE DURING THE PREPARATION OF PLANS AND PROGRAMMES RELATING TO THE ENVIRONMENT PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 7

89. Public participation concerning plans and programmes relating to the environment prepared and adopted by Member States’ authorities is ensured through the implementation and application of the following legislation:

(a) Article 2 of Directive 2003/35 (already mentioned above) in conjunction with Annex I thereto;
42; 
43, and its Article 14 on public information and consultation in particular concerning the production, review and updating of river basin management plans
44;
45, and its article 9 lays down the requirement to coordinate public involvement with the procedures of Article 14 of Directive 2000/60/EC, and Article 10 lays down the requirement to make available assessments, maps and plans required under the Directive to the public, and the requirement to actively encourage the involvement of interested parties in the production, reviewing and updating of the flood risk management plans.

90. Public participation concerning plans and programmes relating to the environment prepared and adopted by Community institutions and bodies is ensured through the application of Article 9 of Regulation No 1367/2006 (already mentioned above) in conjunction with the relevant definitions set out in Article 2 of that Regulation. In essence, the Regulation requires Community institutions and bodies to provide, through appropriate practical and/or other provisions, early and effective opportunities for the public to participate during the preparation, modification or review of plans or programmes relating to the environment when all options are still open, in particular, where the Commission prepares a proposal for such a plan or programme which is submitted to other Community institutions or bodies for decision, it shall provide for public participation at that preparatory stage.

44 According to Article 14, Member States shall publish and make available for comments from the public: (a) the timetable and work programme for the production of the river basin management plans, including a statement of the consultation measures to be taken at the latest three years before the plan is due, (b) an interim overview of significant water management issues two years before the plan is due, and (c) draft copies of the plans one year before the plan is due. On request access shall be given to background documents and information used for the development of the plans. Member States shall allow for at least six months to comment in writing on those documents in order to allow active involvement and consultation.
XX. OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE PREPARATION OF POLICIES RELATING TO THE ENVIRONMENT PROVIDED PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 7

91. See above the comments made with respect to article 5, paragraph 7, of the Convention concerning Impact Assessments and the associated public consultations. It is to be stressed that public consultations may also be held outside the scope of Impact Assessments. For instance, the adoption of Green Papers is usually not made subject to the preparation of a full-fledged Impact Assessment. Yet, the Commission may hold public consultations in respect of policymaking documents, such as Action Plan (see, for instance, the public consultation on the action plans on sustainable consumption and production and on sustainable industrial policy) or Green Papers (see, for instance, the public consultations held on the Green Paper on better ship dismantling[^46], the Green Paper, “Adapting to climate change in Europe – options for EU action”[^47] and the Green Paper, “Towards a new culture for urban mobility”[^48]). To make the consultation on the Green Paper effective, the Commission usually also releases background information and/or document. (See: [http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/index_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/index_en.htm)). It may even happen that the preparation of a Green Paper has itself been preceded by public consultation (see the Commission Staff Working Document “Public consultation in preparation for the Green Paper on urban mobility”[^49]). Another example of comprehensive involvement of stakeholders in the preparation of Community policy and legislation is given by the European Climate Change Programme (ECCP). The goal of the ECCP is to identify and develop all the necessary elements of an EU strategy to implement the Kyoto Protocol. The development of the first ECCP involved all the relevant groups of stakeholders working together, including representatives from the Commission’s different departments (DGs), the Member States, industry and environmental groups. The second European Climate Change Programme (ECCP II) was launched in October 2005. (See also: [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/climat/eccp.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/climat/eccp.htm).) One can also signal, as example of public consultation on a subject which goes beyond environment policy alone, that the Commission (DG Research and Technological Development – RTD) undertook from 19 July to 21 September 2007 an online public consultation on a Code of Conduct for Responsible Nanosciences and Nanotechnologies Research (see: [http://ec.europa.eu/research/consultations/list_en.html](http://ec.europa.eu/research/consultations/list_en.html)).

92. The Commission adopted in 2002 General principles and minimum standards for consultation of interested parties by the Commission.[^50] Many public consultations carried out by the Commission are Internet-based; “Your Voice in Europe” ([http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/index_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/yourvoice/index_en.htm)) is the European Commission’s single access point to a wide variety of consultations, discussions and other tools which enable the public to play an active role in the European policymaking process.

93. On 3 May 2006, the Commission adopted a Green Paper[^51] in order to drive forward its European Transparency Initiative (ETI).[^52] The objective of the Green Paper was to launch a

broad public consultation on the following key components of the ETI, one of which was giving a feedback on the Commission’s minimum standards for consultation (“consultation standards”). From May to August 2006, the Commission consulted widely and comprehensively, in particular on the basis of an open internet-based consultation. Over 100 contributions on the “minimum standards” chapter of the Green Paper were received, mostly from various business interest groups and NGOs. (Contributions are available at: http://ec.europa.eu/comm/eti/contributions.htm).

94. On that basis, the Commission adopted on 21 March 2007 a Communication on the Follow-up to the Green Paper “European Transparency Initiative”. The Follow-up Communication indicates that a reinforcement of the application of the consultation standards is necessary to raise further the general level of quality of the Commission's consultations. Such a reinforced application will focus in particular on providing better feedback, a more coordinated approach to consultation and the need for ensuring plurality of views and interests expressed in consultations. The Commission will therefore put more emphasis on measures such as:

(a) Training and appropriate awareness raising among staff;
(b) Sharing information and good practices on stakeholder consultation between the Directorates-General;
(c) Reviewing the practical guidelines for stakeholder consultation;
(d) Creating a new standard consultation template to improve the consistency of open public consultations.

95. Once these new measures have been put in place and tested, the Commission intends to submit its consultation policy to an external evaluation.

XXI. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 7

96. No information was provided under this heading.

XXII. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 7

97. The Commission does not have at present information on the practical application of Article 2 of Directive 2003/35. The plans and programmes listed in Annex I to Directive 2003/35 are prepared and adopted by national and/or subnational authorities. Article 5 of Directive 2003/35 provides, however, that, by 25 June 2009, the Commission shall send a report on the application and effectiveness of the Directive to the European Parliament and to the Council; this report should take into account the experience acquired in the application of the Directive in the Member States.

XXIII. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 7


XXIV. EFFORTS MADE TO PROMOTE EFFECTIVE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING THE PREPARATION BY PUBLIC AUTHORITIES OF EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS AND OTHER GENERALLY APPLICABLE LEGALLY BINDING RULES THAT MAY HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 8

99. Insofar as article 8 of the Convention would cover the preparation of legislative acts, reference is made to the comments expressed with respect to article 5, paragraph 7, of the Convention on the Impact Assessment scheme of the Commission.

100. Public participation is not systematically required as far as the preparation of measures of general scope to be adopted by means of a comitology procedure is concerned. The term “comitology” or “committee procedure” refers to the procedures under which the Commission executes its implementing powers conferred to it by the legislative branch (the European Parliament and the Council), with the assistance of comitology committees consisting of Member State representatives. These procedures are described in the so-called Comitology Decision: Council Decision 1999/468/EC of 28 June 1999 laying down the procedures for the exercise of implementing powers conferred on the Commission. Under these procedures, Commission services submit draft implementing measures to the comitology committees which deliver opinions on these draft measures before the Commission adopts them. On comitology, see http://europa.eu/scadplus/glossary/comitology_en.htm, and http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regcomitology/aide.cfm?page=faq&CL=en.

101. There may be cases, however, where public consultations are held during the preparation of a measure of general scope to be adopted by comitology procedure:

(a) Article 5(2) of Directive 2002/95/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 January 2003 on the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment requires, inter alia, that the Commission consult producers of electrical and electronic equipment, recyclers, treatment operators, environmental organizations and employee and consumer associations before adopting any amendments which are necessary in order to adapt the Annex thereto to scientific and technical progress. The comments received must be forwarded to the relevant comitology committee. The Commission must also provide an account of the information it receives.

(b) The Commission has sought the comments of interested parties on certain of the exemptions listed in Annex II to Directive 2000/53/EC of the European Parliament and of the...

---

Council of 18 September 2000 on end-of-life vehicles\textsuperscript{56}, with a view to the possible adaptation to scientific and technical progress of that Annex.

102. In any case, information of the public is ensured by means of the Commission’s Register of Comitology, which also fulfils the role of repository where draft measures to be adopted via a comitology procedure are made available to the public upon their sending to the European Parliament (see \url{http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regcomitology/registre.cfm?CL=en}). Certain draft measures may, however, be of such a nature that they are not uploaded in the Repository, in which case access to such documents is governed by Regulation No 1049/2001 (in conjunction with Regulation No 1367/2006 as far as environmental information is concerned).

103. It may also be that public participation is mandated by the applicable regulatory framework. In the food law area, which is not purely environmental but may have implications on human health and the environment, Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 (mentioned above) states that an open and transparent public consultation, directly or through representative bodies, has to be carried out during the preparation, evaluation and revision of food law, except where the urgency of the matter does not allow it. Commission Decision No. 2004/613/EC of 6 August 2004 concerning the creation of an advisory group on the food chain and animal and plant health\textsuperscript{57} implemented Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 by creating an advisory group bringing together key stakeholders including farmers, the food industry, retailers, consumer organizations and others to advise the European Commission on food safety policy.

**XXV. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 8**

104. No information was provided under this heading.

**XXVI. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 8**

105. No information was provided under this heading.

**XXVII. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 8**

106. See the links provided in the relevant sections above.

\textsuperscript{56} OJ L 269, 21.10.2000, p. 34.

\textsuperscript{57} OJ L 275, 25.8.2004, p. 17.
XXVIII. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN ARTICLE 9

As far as access to justice with respect to action or omission of Community institutions and bodies is concerned

Relevant definitions

107. See Article 2 of Regulation No 1367/2006.

The non-discrimination principle set out in article 3, paragraph 9

108. See the comments made above with respect to the implementation of the non-discrimination principle in the context of article 4 of the Convention (see, in particular, Article 3 of Regulation No 1367/2006).

Article 9, paragraph 1

109. Article 3 of Regulation No 1367/2006 refers to Regulation No 1049/2001, under which the following review procedures are available. In the event of a total or partial refusal, the applicant may, within 15 working days of receiving the institution's reply, make a confirmatory application asking the institution to reconsider its position. The processing of confirmatory applications is governed by Article 8, whose paragraph 3 provides that failure by the institution to reply within the prescribed time limit shall be considered as a negative reply and entitle the applicant to institute court proceedings against the institution and/or make a complaint to the Ombudsman, under the relevant provisions of the EC Treaty (that is, Articles 195 and 230(4), respectively).

Article 9, paragraph 2

110. Article 9, paragraph 2, is applicable since, as already stated, the Commission considers that article 6 of the Convention is not relevant in the absence of any permitting decision taken by a Community institution or body with respect of any of the activities listed in annex I to the Convention.

Article 9, paragraph 3

111. Title IV of Regulation No 1367/2006 (Articles 9 to 12) sets out the conditions in which a Community institution or body may be required to review certain of its actions ("administrative act") or, as the case may be, inaction ("administrative omission") where "environmental law" applies.

---

112. “Environmental law”, “administrative act” and “administrative omission” and “environmental law” are defined in Article 2(1)(f), (g), and (h) respectively of the Aarhus Regulation.\(^59\)

113. Any NGO which meets the criteria set out in Article 11 of Regulation No 1367/2006 is entitled to make a request for internal review to the Community institution or body that has adopted an administrative act under environmental law or, in case of an alleged administrative omission, was obliged to adopt such an act.

114. Such a request must be made in writing and within a time limit not exceeding six weeks after the administrative act was adopted, notified or published, whichever is the latest, or, in the case of an alleged omission, six weeks after the date when the administrative act was required. The request shall state the grounds for the review.

115. The Community institution or body concerned shall consider any such request unless it is clearly unsubstantiated; it shall state its reasons in a written reply as soon as possible, but no later than 12 weeks after receipt of the request. This timescale may be extended to 18 weeks maximum from receipt of the request.

116. Article 11(2) calls upon the Commission to adopt the provisions which are necessary to ensure transparent and consistent application of those criteria. The Commission adopted on 13 December 2007 Decision 2008/50/EC laying down detailed rules for the application of Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Convention as regards requests for the internal review of administrative acts.\(^60\) This Decision specifies the evidence to be provided by NGOs, the calculation of time limits for reply to applications and cooperation between Community institutions and bodies. This Decision applies to all the Community institutions and bodies covered by Title IV of the Regulation.

117. With a view to ensuring the smooth application of Regulation No 1367/2006 by its own departments, the Commission adopted on 30 April 2008 a decision amending its Rules of Procedure as regards detailed rules for the application of Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the application of the provisions of the Aarhus Convention to Community institution and bodies.\(^61\)

118. NGOs whose requests for internal review have been unsuccessful may institute proceedings before the Court of Justice in accordance with the relevant provisions of the EC Treaty (that is, Articles 230 and 232).

\(^{59}\) It follows from the definitions of "administrative acts" and "administrative omissions" that Title IV of Regulation No 1367/2006 only applies to administrative measures of individual scope, excluding thereby legislative and judicial measures. This is further confirmed by the definition of "Community institution or body" under Article 2(1)(c) of the Regulation which excludes from the scope of application of Title IV Community institutions and bodies acting in a "judicial or legislative capacity".


\(^{61}\) This Decision was still to be published in the Official Journal of the European Union at the time this report was submitted.
119. There is no case-law on the application and interpretation of Title IV of Regulation No 1367/2006 as yet.

**Article 9, paragraph 4**

120. The mechanism of Regulation No 1367/2006 can lead to the review and/or repeal of prior decisions made by Community institutions and bodies; the finding as to whether there is a breach of Community environmental law or not is to be made and communicated in writing to the applicant within 18 weeks maximum, which is a short time frame when compared with most judicial procedures. There is no administrative fee to be paid by the applicant, and there is no requirement that the applicant be represented by a lawyer (even though he may obviously choose to do so, if he so wishes).

**Article 9, paragraph 5:**

121. Article 1(2) of Regulation No 1367/2006 provides that Community institutions and bodies shall endeavour to assist and provide guidance to the public with regard to access to information, participation in decision-making and access to justice in environmental matters.

**As far as access to justice with respect to action or omission of Member States' authorities is concerned:**

**Relevant definitions**

122. See Article 2 of Directive 2003/4 and Articles 3(1) and 4(1) of Directive 2003/35.

**The non-discrimination principle set out in article 3, paragraph 9**

123. See the comments made above with respect to the implementation of article 3, paragraph 9 in the context of article 4 of the Convention.

**Article 9, paragraph 1**

124. See Articles 6(1), (2) and (3) of Directive 2003/4.

**Article 9, paragraph 2**

125. See Articles 3(7) (inserting a new Article 10α in the EIA Directive 85/337 as amended) and 4(4) (inserting a new Article 15α in the IPPC Directive 96/61).

**Article 9, paragraph 3**

126. A proposal for a Directive on access to justice in environmental matters was adopted by the Commission on 24 October 2003. This proposal is pending before the Community legislature. As to the legal situation of the Community until this proposal is adopted, reference is

---

made to the following excerpt from the declaration of competence deposited alongside its instrument of ratification by the Community: “[…] the European Community also declares that the legal instruments in force do not cover fully the implementation of the obligations resulting from article 9, paragraph 3, of the Convention as they relate to administrative and judicial procedures to challenge acts and omissions by private persons and public authorities other than the institutions of the European Community as covered by article 2, paragraph 2(d), of the Convention, and that, consequently, its Member States are responsible for the performance of these obligations at the time of approval of the Convention by the European Community and will remain so unless and until the Community, in the exercise of its powers under the EC Treaty, adopts provisions of Community law covering the implementation of those obligations.” In order to obtain a comprehensive overview of the different measures adopted or in place in the Member States to implement article 9, paragraph 3, of the Convention and related provisions, the Commission contracted a consultant to prepare a study focusing on the measures allowing members of the public to contest actions or omissions by public authorities authorities (the study covers all Member States except Bulgaria and Romania, which had not yet joined the Community when the study was initiated). The findings of the study can be found at the following address: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/aarhus/study_access.htm. The analysis provided in the country reports is based on legislation and case-law available in July 2007. It should be noted that, as mentioned in the reports, “[t]he views expressed [in the report] are those of the consultants alone and do not represent the official views of the European Commission.” The Commission is considering organizing a conference to discuss the findings of the study in 2008. The Commission will consider the outcome of the above-mentioned debate when determining what the most appropriate course of action it should elect in this area.

**Article 9, paragraph 4**

127. No specific measures have been adopted by the Community lawmakers to ensure that the procedures referred to in article 9, paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, provide adequate and effective remedies.

128. See Article 6(1), last sentence, of Directive 2003/4 concerning administrative review procedures on access to information. No specific measures have been adopted by the Community lawmaker with respect to judicial review. See also Articles 3(7) (inserting a new Article 10a in the EIA Directive 85/337 as amended – see, in particular, the fifth subparagraph thereof) and 4(4) (inserting a new Article 15a in the IPPC Directive 96/61 – see, in particular, the fifth subparagraph thereof).

**Article 9, paragraph 5**

129. See Article 4(5) of Directive 2003/4. See also Articles 3(7) (inserting a new Article 10a in the EIA Directive 85/337 as amended, and in particular the last subparagraph thereof) and 4(4) (inserting a new Article 15a in the IPPC Directive 96/61; see in particular the last subparagraph thereof).
XXIX. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 9

130. No information was provided under this heading.

XXX. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 9

131. The following observations can be made concerning the costs of commencing proceedings before the Court of First Instance (CFI) and the Court of Justice of the European Communities (or European Court of Justice - ECJ).

132. Proceedings before the CFI and the ECJ are in principle free of charge, subject to the exceptions provided for in Article 90 of the Rules of Procedure of the CFI (RoP CFI) and Article 72 of the Rules of Procedure of the ECJ (RoP ECJ).

133. The unsuccessful party shall be ordered to pay the costs if they have been applied for in the successful party’s pleadings (see Article 87(2) of the RoP CFI and Article 69(2) RoP ECJ).

134. The following shall be regarded as recoverable costs:

(a) Sums payable to witnesses and experts;
(b) Expenses necessarily incurred by the parties for the purpose of the proceedings, in particular the travel and subsistence expenses and the remuneration of agents, advisers or lawyers (see Article 91 of the RoP CFI and Article 73 of the RoP ECJ).

135. Legal aid is available before the CFI and the ECJ. The relevant provisions are to be found in Articles 94 et seq. of the RoP CFI and Article 76 of the RoP ECJ.

XXXI. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 9


XXXII. CONTRIBUTION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION TO THE PROTECTION OF THE RIGHT OF EVERY PERSON OF PRESENT AND FUTURE GENERATIONS TO LIVE IN AN ENVIRONMENT ADEQUATE TO HIS OR HER HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

137. No information was provided under this heading.

*****