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IMPLEMENTATION REPORT SUBMITTED BY THE CZECH REPUBLIC

Article 10, paragraph 2, of the Convention requires the Parties, at their meetings, to keep under continuous review the implementation of the Convention on the basis of regular reporting by the Parties. Through decision I/8, the Meeting of the Parties established a reporting mechanism whereby each Party is requested to submit a report to each meeting of the Parties on the legislative, regulatory and other measures taken to implement the Convention, and their practical implementation, according to a reporting format annexed to the decision. For each meeting, the secretariat is requested to prepare a synthesis report summarizing the progress made and identifying any significant trends, challenges and solutions. The reporting mechanism was further developed through decision II/10, which addressed, inter alia, the issue of how to prepare the second and subsequent reports.

* The present document was submitted on the above date due to resources constraints.
I. PROCESS BY WHICH THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED

1. The report has been elaborated by the Ministry of the Environment, in cooperation with the Green Circle, an umbrella organization of environmental non-governmental organizations (NGOs). The source materials were obtained from relevant sectors, sector organizations of the Ministry of the Environment and regional authorities. The NGOs have taken an active part in both the preparation of the report’s source materials (inter alia, by means of roundtables) and circulation of the report drafts for comments (through Green Circle).

II. PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES RELEVANT FOR UNDERSTANDING THE REPORT

2. The coordination body for implementation of the Convention is the Ministry of the Environment, which cooperates closely with the other sectors as well as environmental NGOs to evaluate the status of the Convention implementation. To fulfil the obligations of the Convention successfully, activities of not only the central State administration bodies, but also those of local and regional authorities (14), municipal and regional courts, and the Supreme Administrative Court are of critical importance.

3. The Convention is being implemented through national laws. The system of legislation is described in the respective articles of the report.

4. According to interpretation of the Supreme Administrative Court, the provisions of the Convention are not applicable directly.

III. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE GENERAL PROVISIONS IN PARAGRAPHS 2, 3, 4, 7 AND 8 OF ARTICLE 3

5. There are several laws in the Czech legal order that concern the provisions of article 3. We hereby give a basic summary of these laws.

6. Concerning paragraph 2, it is possible to refer to article (§) 4 of the Act No. 500/2004 Coll. (Collection of the Acts) on Administrative Procedure (public administration is service to the public, so there is an obligation to behave politely and be helpful), also e.g. to § 15 of the Act No. 100/2001 Coll. on Environmental Impacts Assessment (preliminary negotiations).


8. Concerning paragraph 4, see § 23 paragraph 9 of the Act No. 100/2001 Coll. on Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) or § 70 of the Act No. 114/1992 Coll. on Nature and Landscape Protection.

9. Concerning paragraph 7, basic principles of the Convention such as public access to information, public participation in decision-making and access to legal protection, are transposed through a number of laws (see the Act on the right for information on the
environment, the Act on environmental impacts assessment, the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection, the Act on Administrative Procedure, the Building Act, etc.).

10. Concerning paragraph 8, generally speaking, “freedom of speech and the right for information” are among the basic constitutional rights (see Art. 17 of the Charter of Fundamentals Rights and Freedoms). This is also related to the right of expression and to inadmissibility of censorship, etc. These rights can only be limited by an Act, and only for reasons provided for in the Act (see art. 17, para. 4, of the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms). This also concerns the right to establish associations and to assemble (see art. 19 and 20, of the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms).

Article 3, paragraph 2

11. State administration officers are usually trained, in the course of their introductory training, regarding the public access to environmental information (Act No. 123/1998 Coll. on the Right for Information on the Environment and Act No. 106/1999 Coll. on Free Access to Information). Requirements of the Convention are reflected in the Czech Republic’s legal order in a number of laws, in other words, a number of laws contain provisions that fulfil the Convention’s requirements (the Act on Administrative Procedure, the Building Act, the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure, the Act on Environmental Impact Assessment, the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection etc.). Officials are educated to get acquainted with the regulations, both in their introductory training and their further education.

Article 3, paragraph 3

12. In its Resolution No. 1048/2000, the Government adopted the National Programme of Environmental Education, which is complemented with action plans every three years. The Ministry of the Agriculture has worked out a follow-up document concerning environmental education in this sector. Within an ongoing curricula reform, environmental education has become a cross-sectional topic in framework educational programmes for primary and secondary schools, and the schools are therefore obliged to deal with the topic. In the Czech Republic, the National Strategy in Education for Sustainable Development has not yet been adopted, although the country undertook to implement it under the “United Nations Decade” agenda in 2005 in Vilnius, where the ministers of environment and education from the countries belonging to the UNECE adopted the Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development.

Article 3, paragraph 4

13. Within a tender organized by the Ministry of the Environment to support projects submitted by NGOs, every year a group of programmes focus on public involvement in decision-making in the environmental area and sustainable development, at the regional level, and a group of programmes focusing on environmental education. The NGOs activities are also supported by the sectors of agriculture and education, as well as by regional and municipal authorities.

14. Establishment and activities of civic associations are regulated by the Act on Citizens Assembly. Civic associations that work in nature and landscape protection have an opportunity
to get involved in respective administrative procedures, educational programmes or circulations of bills under preparation by the Ministry of the Environment for comments.

**Article 3, paragraph 7**

15. The Czech Republic applies the principles of the Convention within the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), where the country was the first party to invite NGOs to preparation and final negotiations of the Environment Performance Review (EPR). It has also ratified the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention), etc. The Czech Republic has suggested that the meetings of the OECD Committee for Environmental Policy on the ministerial level be open to all interest groups, including NGOs. There are no standard binding rules to regulate obligatory participation of NGO representatives in government delegations to international forums that have environmental impacts. So it is up to the ministry or any other State administration body to decide whether the NGOs should be invited to take part or not.

16. Nevertheless, the Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic adopted an internal regulation in December 2007 which deals with NGO participation in delegations led by the Ministry of the Environment in international meetings.

**Article 3, paragraph 8**

17. Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Convention is reflected, inter alia, in article 3, paragraph 3 and article 4, paragraph 1 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms, which is a part of the Constitution of the Czech Republic. In the Czech Republic, there are no cases when people claiming their rights would be penalized or sanctioned in any other way. There could be cases when a person is not enabled to claim his or her rights, but penalties or sanctions by the State administration are excluded.

**IV. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 3**

18. The NGOs have experience with some obstacles to practical fulfilment of the Convention. It is possible to give examples of insufficient practical skills of public administration as to public involvement, insufficient education of judges in environmental protection, generally weak public participation in decision-making about environmental issues, and mutual conflicts in the media between public administration and some environmental organizations in connection with solutions to administrative disputes in the area of environmental protection.

19. Another problem is related to not reflecting the Convention in the area of administration of cultural and architectural monuments (see the Convention in its art. 2 para. 3 (c)), as the Act on the Care for Historical Monuments does not deal with providing information, public participation or access to legal protection at all.
V. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE GENERAL PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 3

20. No information was provided under this heading.

VI. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 3


VII. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON ACCESS TO ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION IN ARTICLE 4

22. Implementation of article 4 is guaranteed primarily by the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. Access to information can only be limited in cases provided for in Acts, e.g. because of protection of confidential information (Act No. 412/2005 Coll.), personal data (see Act No. 101/2000 Coll.), intellectual property (see Act No. 527/1990 Coll.), etc.

Article 4, paragraph 1

23. Access to information is regulated in the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. on the Right for Information on the Environment, as amended. Although the Act implements directly the requirements of this article, in practice information is often asked for on the basis of the Act No. 106/1999 Coll. on Free Access to Information, and the obligated organizations do provide it on the basis of this Act. This is why it is important to mention this legal regulation and its application consequences as well.

24. Updating of databases and information is regulated in § 10 (a) of the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. Making copies of documents is dealt with in § 10 of this Act.

Article 4, paragraph 1 (a)

25. In these terms, the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. is fully compatible because, according to § 2 (c), any natural or legal person that asked for information is considered an applicant.

Article 4, paragraph 1 (b)

26. Paragraph 6 of the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. (way and form of making information accessible) stipulates that an applicant can suggest in his or her application a form or way in which information should be made accessible. If the applicant does not specify the form or way according to paragraph 1, or if such a form or way cannot be used for serious reasons, a form or way of making the information accessible is chosen that corresponds to the application’s purpose and the user’s optimum utilization. In the case of doubt, the forms and ways used are generally those which have been used by the applicant submitting the application. If the organization
makes the information accessible (partly or fully) in a form other than what is required, it must justify its procedure.

**Article 4, paragraph 2**

27. Deadlines for making information accessible are regulated in § 7 paragraph 1 of the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. The provisions are in full accordance with the requirements of the Convention. It is also possible to point out § 9, paragraph 3, of the same Act in which so-called fictive decision is regulated in the cases when information is not provided or no decision is issued. It is possible to appeal against the fictive decision in accordance with the Act on Administration Procedure.

**Article 4, paragraphs 3 and 4**

28. Limitations of access to information are specified in paragraph 8 of Act No. 123/1998 Coll. It is impossible to refuse to provide information if it concerns emissions discharged into the environment. There is no restrictive interpretation provided for in the Act. The organizations obliged to provide information do not have to justify in their refusal justification how they dealt with “the public interest test”.

29. “The public interest test” is not contained in the Czech law (§ 8 para. 9 concerns emissions only), and even in the case of “softening” the limitation of the right for information related to emissions (§ 8 para. 9), the Czech law does not cover all cases according to article 4, paragraph 4, of the Convention.

**Article 4, paragraph 5**

30. Here Czech law quotes the Convention’s text almost word for word (§ 4 of the Act No. 123/1998, Coll.). However, its fulfilment in practices is complicated due to lack of the public servants’ practical experience with the Convention application as well as with the Act and the process of providing information. There is also a problem with insufficient staff capacity.

**Article 4, paragraph 6**

31. The Convention’s requirement for sorting and making the information accessible is contained in the provision of § 8, paragraph 6, of the Act No. 123/1998 Coll.

**Article 4, paragraph 7**

32. The Convention’s requirement for the refusals to meet certain deadlines is contained in § 9 of the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. (in combination with provisions of the Act on Administrative Procedure that define requirements for the content and form of a decision). The Czech legal order covers failure to act within the legal deadline and fiction of a decision to refuse provision of information.
Article 4, paragraph 8

33. The Convention’s requirement for reasonable fees has been fulfilled. NGOs’ experience shows that there are problems in the cases when an applicant requires information that he or she takes for information on the environment, and the authority or organization provides him or her with it, but the information is provided according to the Act No. 106/1999 Coll. with the provision that it does not concern the environment. The authority is therefore entitled to require reimbursement for the costs related to looking up and providing the information – as opposed to the Act No. 123/1998 Coll., which provides for free provision of information. The applicant has the right to lodge a complaint against the amount of the fee for providing the information according to § 16 (a) of the Act No. 106/1999 Coll.

VIII. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 4

34. Two modes of providing information can be distinguished – namely, general provision of information on the basis of the right for information (according to the Act No. 123/1998 Coll.) and inspection of documents as implementation of the procedural right (according to the Act on Administrative Procedure and the Building Act). In resolving concrete practical cases, the relationship between these two modes raises questions. Nevertheless, judicial decision-making practice is being formed. In practice, there is not always a clear interpretation concerning whether the information required could be made accessible on the basis of the Act on the right for information on the environment (which everybody has) or if the information could be refused on the basis of the Building Act, under which only a participant in the respective procedure has the right for information (this concerns the case of denying the right to inspect the documents).

35. Another practical problem is related with a questionable interpretation of what is information on the environment and what is not. This concerns the cases when, within one request, someone asks for different pieces of information, some of which concern the environment and others not. The obligated organization should then apply two kinds of procedures separately (according to the Act on the right for information on the environment the Act on free access to information). This also results in two different deadlines for providing the information as well as different remedial measures, different requirements for covering the costs of providing the information, and differing judicial protection.

36. NGOs’ experience shows that difficulties with implementation of article 4 are caused by insufficient legal protection and the possibility of gaining quick and efficient remedy in the case of information refusal. Judicial review is often slow and inefficient. The average trial time of a case is 450 days (15 months), the proportion of decisions rendered by courts of appeal is about 30 per cent and very often there is no final decision but only a return of the case back to the court of lower instance to issue the final decision. If a court finally agrees with the plaintiff, it is often too late – the information is out-of-date.
IX. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 4

37. Statistical records are kept for proceedings in accordance with the Act No. 106/1999 Coll. on Free Access to Information. The Act No. 123/1998 Coll. does not contain a similar mechanism. Practical experience is monitored by NGOs – e.g. Green Circle, and Environmental Legal Service – and records may also be kept by the single authorities.

38. Other statistics of database systems are given in the answer to the next article.

X. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 4


XI. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON THE COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION IN ARTICLE 5

40. The provision concerning collection and dissemination of environmental information in accordance with Article 5 is implemented through the Act No. 123/1998 Coll. Specifically, this concerns the provisions § 10 (a) (making information accessible actively) and § 12 (report on the state of the environment).

Article 5, paragraph 1

Article 5, paragraph 1 (a)

41. A report on the state of the environment in the Czech Republic and respective reports on the state of the environment in the single regions are published annually. Once a year, the Statistical Yearbook on the Environment is issued. Source data are updated continuously. Structure of the reports is modified every year and sets of indicators are complemented. Recently, summaries of the largest polluters from the Integrated Pollution Register have also been published. The Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTRs) has not yet been ratified in the Czech Republic. Nevertheless, the Integrated Pollution Register, which fulfils its requirements, works on the basis of the respective Act. The ratification process will be finished following the adoption of the Act on the integrated register of environmental pollution and integrated system of reporting duties in the environmental area and on an amendment to some acts (the Government approved the bill on 22 August 2007). There are also different databases and information systems operated by other organizations – the Ministry of the Environment, the Czech Environmental Information Agency (CENIA), the Czech Geological Survey (GEOFOND), the Nature and Landscape Conservancy Agency of the Czech Republic, the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute etc. In 2007, the Ministry of the Health Care worked out strategic noise maps of the Czech Republic in accordance with the Directive No. 2002/49. Information on the results of strategic noise mapping is available from both the Ministry’s information office and the Ministry’s information server.
42. Information on the environment is also provided by local authorities and municipalities in a form of yearbooks or Web presentations. The Internet is increasingly used, e.g. to answer the questions most frequently asked by citizens.

Article 5, paragraph 1 (b)

43. Information supply is ensured by the Czech Statistical Office, the agencies established by the Ministry of the Environment (e.g. Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, Czech Environmental Inspectorate, National Environmental Fund), and agencies founded by the ministries of Agriculture, Health Care, the Interior, Transport, Industry and Trade, as well as other central authorities such as the State Office for Nuclear Safety, National Health Institute, etc.

Article 5, paragraph 1 (c)

44. Dissemination of information on the environment in urgent cases is regulated in the Act No. 239/2000 Coll. on the Integrated Rescue System and Amendments to Some Acts, as amended (information system for preventive and rescue measures in the area of mobile sources of danger – http://cep.mdr.cz/dok2/DokPub/dok.asp) and the Act No. 240/2000 Coll. on Crisis Management and Amendments to Some Acts (the Crisis Act), as amended by the Act No. 320/2002 Coll. To warn citizens in time, municipalities use e.g. SMS messages, broadcasting of regional electronic media, and other means (e.g. the public administration website: http://portal.gov.cz).

Article 5, paragraph 2

45. All relevant information is published via the Internet, including e.g. information systems in the areas of:

- Environmental impact assessment EIA (www.env.cz/AIS/web.nsf/pages/systemy_EIA)
- Integrated prevention and pollution control (IPPC) (www.ippc.cz)
- Integrated Pollution Register (www.irz.cz) and others.

46. A website focusing on information on the environment has been opened (http://portal.cenia.cz).

47. To help users orientat themselves, the document “The guide to public library and information services of organizations within the Ministry of the Environment and co-operating organizations” was worked out and published (www.env.cz/_c125670400839a8e.nsf/0/288b210498e8344fc12571250059328d).

48. Providing of information on organic agriculture and bio-products is ensured mainly through the website of the Ministry of the Agriculture (www.mze.cz). Every year, a national report on organic agriculture in the Czech Republic is issued, and it is also available in English (www.organic-europe.net).
Article 5, paragraph 3

49. Based on amended legislative rules of the Government, bills under preparation have been published on the public administration website since November 2007. As far as sectoral or regional plans, policies and other relevant documents are concerned, the publishing practice differs among the various institutions and there is no binding regulation in this area. This is why the public gets acquainted with many strategic documents only through the strategic environmental assessment (SEA) process. Some laws (e.g. the Building Act, the Act on Environmental Impact Assessment, the Public Health Act) provide for a duty to publish information in a way that enables remote access – this concerns e.g. proposals in area planning.

Article 5, paragraph 4

50. Every year, reports on the state of the environment in the Czech Republic are discussed by the Government and the Parliament and published. Respective reports are also published on the level of regions and large towns.

Article 5, paragraph 5

51. Generally binding pieces of legislation and ratified international treaties are published in the Collection of Laws/Collection of International Treaties. Environmental laws and political documents are also published on the website of the Ministry of the Environment. In addition, since 2007 laws that have been prepared and published on the Internet when circulation of the bill for comments from different sectors is launched, and the public is challenged to send their comments. Then the laws are published in the *Official Journal of the Ministry of the Environment*, and information on political and conceptual documents is also available in the Ministry’s *Newsletter*. The Ministry of the Environment also has a system for distributing information materials and other publications through its regional distribution centres.

Article 5, paragraph 6

52. The Integrated Pollution Register has been put in operation and the polluters have a legal obligation to notify of pollutants they discharge into the environment (Act No. 76/2002 Coll. on Integrated Prevention and Pollution Control). Companies and firms whose products have been granted the certificate of “Environment-Friendly Product” and which have introduced an environmental management or audit system can enjoy competitive advantages, and they usually inform the general public about their activities through available information sources. Government support for environmental labelling and environmental management and auditing is based predominantly on green public orders from the State administration agencies. The Act No. 137/2006 Coll. on Public Orders enables the application of environmental criteria to those orders in which the requirement for an environmental management/audit system or environmental characteristics of products can be raised. This procedure has been recommended to all State administration bodies by Government Resolution No. 720/2000, which concerns support for the sale and usage of environment-friendly products.
Article 5, paragraph 7

53. Information and facts that are important for formulating environmental policy are provided to the public especially through the Statistical Yearbook on the Environment and the Report on the State of the Environment in the Czech Republic (at present, the Ministry of the Environment is progressively abandoning distribution of printed versions, as materials are available in electronic form on the website of the Ministry of the Environment and CENIA, and distribution on CD-ROM is increasingly preferred).

54. Systematic publishing of materials concerning public negotiations, debates and hearings about issues covered by the Convention has not yet been established. Information on these negotiations and debates are published ad hoc, e.g. through the website www.ucastverejnosti.cz, supported by the Ministry of the Environment.

Article 5, paragraph 8

55. Provision of information on environment-friendly products is ensured by the website www.ekoznacka.cz, or through www.eco-label.com in the case of the European eco-label. The public is informed about these products, inter alia, at the key public events related to the environmental area (fairs, granting of the prizes by the Minister of Environment, etc.). Dissemination of the information is also carried out within educational programmes at environmental education centres subsidized by the Ministry of the Environment, through a specialized programme of CENIA for primary schools etc. (see also art. 5, para. 6, above).

Article 5, paragraph 9

56. The Integrated Pollution Register has been established in the Czech Republic on the basis of national legislation and ratification of the Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTRs) is under preparation (see art. 5, para. 6, above).

XII. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 5.

57. An environmental information system with a necessary overlap with other sectors (e.g. agriculture or health care) is not sufficiently interconnected and unified.

58. Environmental information can be made accessible not only through publicly available lists and registers but also via environmental information centres, environmental advisory offices or other contact points for the public. Unfortunately, there is a lack of such places; existing centres are not distributed evenly within the Czech Republic and the Ministry of the Environment itself does not have a public information centre of good quality.

59. There are also problems e.g. with publishing Czech translations of the European Union (EU) environmental legislation. The materials are published very late and so far the complete European Commission (EC) legislation has not yet been translated.
XIII. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 5

60. A CENIA twining project has been finished which was supposed to contribute to a quality information flow among those who collect, process and use information on the environment. CENIA has been reorganized. Nonetheless, integration of all existing environmental information systems into a single one has not yet been successful, neither has putting into operation of a functioning unified environmental information system.

61. Environmental education in the regions has been strengthened. Support from the ESF (Operational Programme in Human Resources Development) and the Norwegian financial mechanism (European Environmental Agency (EEA) financial mechanism – a block grant for NGOs) were used to extend the network of environmental education centres and the network of environmental advisory offices. However, an adequate substitute for the years 2007–2013 has not yet been found.

62. NGOs’ experience shows that, concerning the requirement for active dissemination of comprehensible information by the State administration bodies, there is a problem with insufficient “user-friendly” publishing of non-technical summaries, which are a part of EIA and SEA documentation.

63. Everyday practice is complicated by unclear publishing of information on electronic official boards (this concerns chartered towns especially), but the legal requirement for publishing the information on the electronic board is respected.

64. So far, official journals and newsletters issued by municipalities have not been utilized enough to publish information on the environment, projects under preparation, EIA processes, and public debates and negotiations.

65. The Office of the Government publishes the government meetings, agendas and the resolutions on its website. The documents negotiated by the Government are not published, but the public can ask for them on the basis of the Act No. 106/1999 Coll. on Free Access to Information.

XIV. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 5

66. [Links to various websites related to environmental information and policies]
XV. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN DECISIONS ON SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES IN ARTICLE 6

Article 6, paragraph 1

67. Article 6 covers public involvement in decision-making about specific activities that may potentially have significant environmental impacts, e.g. deciding about proposed location of buildings, construction and operation of large plants or approving different products for entry into the market. The basic type of decision-making is the administrative procedure that is conducted on the basis of the Act on Administrative Procedure (Act No. 500/2004 Coll.). The EIA process is a process that is not concluded with a binding and enforceable decision. On the basis of Annex 1 to the Act on EIA, activities/projects of category I must be assessed while activities and projects of category II are only subject to a so-called investigation procedure to determine whether the respective activity or project will be assessed further or not. The point of the EIA process is to obtain an objective and expert’s view, which forms the basis for further permission procedures. Within the EIA process, the public has the right to get acquainted with the respective project (the documents have been published) and there is also a possibility for the public to give opinions during a public negotiation. Within the investigation procedure, the public has the opportunity to make comments. Specific types of decision-making processes involve locating and permission of different building projects, granting of integrated permissions for certain industrial activities, and deciding on the basis of the Water, Nuclear and Mining Acts.

68. The Czech law differentiates between “consultative” and “full” participation in decision-making about concrete activities, with the possibility of appeal against the decision and of contesting the decision by means of an action in court. The latter possibility is in full accordance with the requirements for effective participation as provided for in article 6, paragraph 2. of the Convention.

69. Consultative participation applies to any natural or legal person without any other limitations. It consists in the possibility for the public to submit written (or oral, if there is a public negotiation) comments. The consultative participation applies:

(a) In planning procedures or in procedures to issue a regulation plan (Act No. 183/2006 Coll., the Building Act);
(b) In EIA processes (Act No. 100/2001 Coll.);
(c) During discussions about safety programmes and emergency plans according to the Act No. 59/2006 Coll. on Prevention of Serious Accidents Caused by Selected Hazardous Chemicals or Chemical Preparations;
(d) In procedures concerning permits for different forms of dealing with genetically modified organisms (GMOs), according to the new Act No. 78/2004 Coll. on Dealing with GMOs and Genetic Products;
(e) In accordance with § 90 paragraph 2 of the Act No. 114/1992 Coll. on Nature and Landscape Protection, as amended, the provisions of § 70 of this Act (i.e. participation of the citizens, which corresponds with the Convention’s objectives) do not apply to territories that serve for national defence. However, consultative participation of the public is possible, i.e. submitting comments within the EIA and SEA processes.
70. **Full participation** compromises NGOs (and not the public concerned generally), and is possible:

   (a) In procedures to which § 70 of the Act No. 114/1992 Coll. on Nature and Landscape Protection applies (a civic association or its organizational unit the main mission of which is (according its statute) nature and landscape protection). This compromises planning procedures as well. However, in the building procedure, there are no specific terms for NGO participation provided for in the respective Act (on the basis of § 70 of the Act No. 114/1992 Coll.);

   (b) On the basis of § 23, paragraph 9, of the Act No. 100/2001 Coll. on Environmental Impact Assessment, as amended (“locally relevant unit of a civic association or publically beneficial organization whose activities focus on protection of public interests protected according to special legal regulations”);

   (c) In a procedure to grant an integrated permission in accordance with the Act No. 76/2002 Coll. on integrated prevention (“civic associations, publically beneficial organizations, employees, associations or economic chambers whose main activities involve promotion and protection of interests related to a certain profession or public interests according to special laws”);

   (d) In administrative procedures conducted in accordance with the Act No. 254/2001 Coll. on Water (a civic association whose mission (in accordance with its statute) is the protection of the environment).

71. **Full participation of other subjects** (e.g. individuals, municipalities, “unorganized public”) is generally regulated by provisions of § 27 of the Act on Administrative Procedure. This means that the participant is everybody who has submitted an application or, in the case the procedure has been launched by virtue of office, those to whom the decision is to create, change or cancel a right or obligation, or to declare that they have or do not have the right or obligation, or those who claim all this until the opposite is proved. The Act also provides that the persons concerned whose rights or obligations could be affected directly by the decision are also participants in the procedure. The participant is also everybody who is given this position by a special law (§ 27, para. 3, of the Act on Administrative Procedure). This provision is important particularly for NGOs – they become participants in a procedure especially on the basis of special laws, e.g. in accordance with § 70 of the Act No. 114/1992 (for others see above). In procedures in accordance with the Building Act (planning and building procedures often fulfil the definition of “environmental decision-making”), the terms of participation are determined according to this Act exclusively and the Act on Administrative Procedure do not apply in this case.

72. In procedures to delimit a mining area and to grant a permission for mining activities, the group of participants includes (in simple terms) the investor, owners of the affected properties, the municipality and those who are stipulated as such in special laws – in general, § 70 of the Act No. 114/1992 Coll. on Nature and Landscape Protection, or § 23 (9) of the Act No. 100/2001 Coll. on Environmental Impact Assessment, as amended.

73. **Full participation** applies as well other procedures, – e.g. a procedure to install a nuclear device including radioactive waste deposits. According to the Nuclear Act or the Public Health Protection Act (there is an exception for operators of plants with above-limit noise), only the applicant (i.e. investor or operator of the plant) is the participant in the procedure.
Article 6, paragraph 2

74. In the Czech legal order, the public concerned is not defined. For most environmental decision-making, the public is informed through official boards. NGOs would be glad if the public (NGOs) were addressed directly to get involved actively in procedures.

Article 6, paragraph 3

75. Deadlines determined for participation in administrative procedures are acceptable; information is published on official boards, and only the eight-day deadline (i.e. within 8 days from putting the announcement up) for civic associations to apply for involvement in a procedure can be considered problematic.

Article 6, paragraph 4

76. Public participation in decision-making in the initial phases is ensured by the EIA process. Because of the fact that this process is separated from the actual decision-making, NGOs have some doubts about their effective participation.

Article 6, paragraph 5

77. So far, no measures to encourage possible participants to identify the public concerned, to get involved in the discussion, and to provide information concerning the objectives of their application before they apply for a permit have been adopted.

Article 6, paragraph 6

78. On the basis of the Building Act and the Act on Administrative Procedure, competent authorities provide to the public concerned all information related to decision-making mentioned in Article 6, of the Convention and that is available at the time the procedure with public participation is conducted. In practice, there could be a problem with interpretation of § 168, paragraph 2, of the Building Act.

Article 6, paragraph 7

79. Especially public negotiations (EIA) and public oral negotiations (planning procedures) can be considered as measures that ensure that procedures with public participation will enable the public to make comments, to submit information, analyses or views that the public finds relevant for the activity proposed.

Article 6, paragraphs 8 and 9

80. The Act on Administrative Procedure, the Building Act and also the Public Health Act provide that, during decision-making, public participation output is obligatorily taken into account, and the public is informed about the decisions through the official board. Only the applicants receive a written decision.
Article 6, paragraph 10

81. If an authority reconsiders or updates operational conditions for an activity mentioned in paragraph 1, provisions of the paragraphs 2 to 9 are applied and, if necessary, respective changes are made. In the Czech legal order, this provision especially concerns a change of an issued decision, in a procedure in which participants in the original procedure may participate.

Article 6, paragraph 11

82. The Act No. 78/2004 Coll. on Dealing with GMOs and Genetic Products, enables the public to take part in decision-making about permits concerning GMO discharge into the environment. On 6 December 2007, the Czech Republic signed the document on the acceptance of the amendment to the Convention.

XVI. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 6

83. NGOs’ practical experience shows that there are some obstacles to implementation of article 6, of the Convention. The fact that the public concerned is not defined in the Czech legislation can be considered a certain drawback, which results in the group of participants being smaller than the Convention provides for (see the participants in a planning procedure). That is why especially NGOs take part in the procedure (on the basis of component laws – see below). However, in the case of judicial proceedings, substantive rights for a favourable environment are usually withdrawn from the NGOs according to article 9, paragraph 2, of the Convention. A possible review of the decision is therefore conducted in procedural terms particularly.

84. There are also drawbacks related to providing timely information to the public by the authorities, to defining the public concerned by the authorities and to direct addressing the public. Only the eight-day deadline (i.e. within 8 days from posting the announcement) for civic associations to apply for involvement in a procedure can be considered problematic. The public concerned takes a great interest in the results of decision-making, so it can be supposed to take part in consultations or subsequent permission procedures. The fact that the public concerned is not addressed directly results in a generally smaller participation of the public in practice. The public administration bodies do not sufficiently use their periodicals to inform about projects under preparation and about possibilities for citizens to express their views at public negotiations. The NGOs think that to publish the information on the website (electronic official board) only is not sufficient at present. So far, the public is not sufficiently acquainted with the fact that information is delivered only by its publishing on the official board.

85. Since the beginning of 2006, public administration bodies began applying the new Act on Administrative Procedure. Within a new, more detailed regulation of the administrative procedure, the Act also regulates some proceedings in more detail. In terms of rights embodied in the Convention, namely the public’s right to take part in decision-making and the right for judicial protection, the institute of binding positions can be considered important. Before 2006, binding positions were issued without a possibility to change or revise them later. A binding position is issued by an administration body in a certain preliminary case and it serves for a different body as grounds for the final decision in the case when the legislator decided to use this
institute instead of making a chain of several administrative decisions. The proceeding related to
issuing of the binding position is not announced to the public concerned. Binding positions
cannot be contested separately by means of remedial measures before the subsequent
administrative procedure is launched. In the subsequent procedure, if sufficient legal possibilities
are maintained, the binding positions that are the grounds for the decision in this procedure can
be reviewed. Already before the decision is issued, a change or cancellation of the binding
position can be initiated. The basic form of review by a superior administrative body, i.e. an
appeal, is thus enabled, but only against the decision that was made on the basis of the binding
position. Within the appeal, the binding position can be contested and its change or cancellation
can be required. The binding position can therefore be contested or cancelled but in practice, this
happens only in later phases of decision-making. In practice, the NGOs face a problem with
authorities that do not inform them and the public concerned about issuing binding positions,
although they have a duty to do so according to § 70 of the Act No. 114/1992 Coll. Binding
positions concerning the environment are issued especially in processes that precede a location
or building permit, while the use of this procedural form in given procedures has been
introduced, e.g. by the Building Act or the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection.

86. In terms of implementation of the Convention, a uniform regulation of public participation
in procedures that have impact on the environment is missing, which in practice, enables
different interpretations of which Act should be used to conduct a procedure, and therefore
public participation can be made impossible in practice. For instance, the Forest Act and the Air
Protection Act do not deal with public participation at all.

87. The Act on Administrative Procedure regulates participation in administrative procedures
generally. In environmental decision-making, a special legal regulation is often used. This
special regulation often regulates participation in a comprehensive way, so it is not possible to
apply provisions of the Act on Administrative Procedure. In this way, the group of participants is
becoming smaller.

88. Changes in regulation of public participation effective during the years 2005/6:
Act No. 500/2004 Coll. – Act on Administrative Procedure. The new Act on Administrative
Procedure has been in effect since 1 January 2006. Its positive feature is that there are basic
principles defined in the introductory provisions which correspond to the Convention. The Act
on Administrative Procedure also represents a modern and comprehensive legal regulation of
procedural execution of public administration which, as opposed to the previous regulation,
increases the level of protection of rights for the persons concerned. The change related to
possible review of a binding position has already been dealt with. A provision concerning
delivery in a procedure with a large number of participants could be potentially risky, as it
provides that in procedures with a large number of participants, delivery is carried out in a form
of a public notice and the document does not have to be delivered directly to the procedure
participants. This measure reduces the paperwork involved in the procedure, but it puts higher
demands on the participants.

89. Act No. 183/2006 Coll. – The Building Act. Since 1 January 2007, there has been
substantial change in legal regulation as concerning building placement and permission. The new
legislation is beneficial, because it provides for oral public negotiations within the planning
procedure.
90. Amendment of § 70 of the Act No. 114/1992 Coll. on Nature and Landscape Protection. There is a precondition for NGOs to be able to become participants in administrative procedures in cases that concern nature and landscape protection. NGOs must notify the authorities about their participation in writing and within a certain deadline. However, the time period begins on the day when the administrative body announces the commencement of the respective procedure. Amendment to this provision describes the way of announcing it more concretely, namely as follows: “the day of providing the information on commencement of a procedure is the day on which its written copy was delivered or the first day of its publishing on the official board of the administrative body and, at the same time, in a way that enables remote access”. This entails that civil society associations will have to actively follow the information on the official board or the administrative body’s website themselves because from 1 January 2007 the body does not have to send them the written copy of the information.

XVII. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 6

91. No information was provided under this heading.

XVIII. WEB SITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 6


XIX. PRACTICAL AND/OR OTHER PROVISIONS MADE FOR THE PUBLIC TO PARTICIPATE DURING THE PREPARATION OF PLANS AND PROGRAMMES RELATING TO THE ENVIRONMENT PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 7

93. In the Czech Republic, the public does not always take part in the process of elaborating strategic plans directly, but it has an opportunity to get involved in the EIA process related to these plans. In Czech law, the requirement of the Convention is provided for in the Act No. 100/2001 Coll. on EIA, as amended. The Act defines the territorial self-government units and administrative bodies concerned that are involved in the respective phases of the EIA process. The Czech Republic was also one of the first countries to have ratified the UNECE SEA Protocol to the Espoo Convention.

94. The requirement for public participation in preparation of area plans (on regional and local levels) is fulfilled by the Building Act. The new Building Act became effective on 1 January 2007 and strengthens procedural rights of the public in area planning. Along with the fact that the public (i.e. everybody) has the right to submit comments to the single documents prepared in different phases of area planning, there is also so-called representative of the public. He or she
can raise a so-called objection, which means that the area plan issued must contain an administrative decision about the objection. It is possible to appeal against the administrative decision and, in the case of discontent, to file an action at the administrative court.

95. The public is also involved in creation of action plans to reduce noise levels in the environment that are being elaborated by the Ministry of the Transport and regional authorities. The public takes part in making comments to a draft action plan before it is approved and adopted.

XX. OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE PREPARATION OF POLICIES RELATING TO THE ENVIRONMENT PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 7

96. The public has access to preparation of policies within the environmental impact assessment process, according to the Act No. 100/2001 Coll., as amended. The EIA Act requires that an announcement of a concept be published and that it contains information on the concept to be assessed and on the environmental impacts expected. In the final phases of the EIA process, the Act stipulates the publishing of the draft concept and the documentation of the respective EIAs in the SEA Information System at http://eia.cenia.cz/sea/koncepce/prehled.php. The Act also provides that a public negotiation must be organized. The legal regulation does not prevent the parties presenting the concept and assessing it in terms of environmental impacts to take a proactive approach. However, obligatory involvement of the public is directed rather to the final phases of the concept preparation and EIA.

XXI. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 7

97. In terms of the SEA process and citizens’ participation, the Act No. 100/2001 Coll. is in compliance with the Convention and public participation is possible in each step of the SEA process. Nevertheless, it is possible to state that the public is not involved at the commencement of the process to elaborate the area planning documentation according to the Building Act. From the citizens’ participation point of view, there are not, at present, any drawbacks in the Act. However, NGOs warn about the fact that non-existence of a definition of “the public concerned”, in accordance to article 2, of the Convention, complicates the possibility to address it directly during preparation or assessment of conceptual documents (policies, area plans, etc.).

XXII. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 7

98. Assessment of concepts is characterized by the public’s small interest in raising comments, public negotiations are often very general, and the concepts assessed are extensive.

99. Positive examples from practice include:

(a) Introduction of a central information system for EIA and its active fulfilment;
(b) Publishing of a methodology for the SEA process, with recommendations for citizens’ participation in the process;
(c) Publishing of announcements – active participation of citizens and NGOs in the commenting process;

(d) Publishing of working versions of a concept and SEA working outputs during the concept preparation process (inter alia, SEA of the Operational Programme Prague – Competitiveness, SEA of the National Tourism Policy concept, SEA of the Czech Republic’s National Development Plan for the years 2007–2013);

(e) Use of the media (the Internet, press, radio, etc.) to involve the public in the SEA process e.g. SEA of the Czech Republic’s National Development Plan for the years 2007–2013, and of the Operational Programme Business and Innovation, Transport, Prague – Competitiveness);

(f) Organizing public meetings in the early phases of the SEA process, – beyond the Act (e.g. SEAs of the Czech Republic’s Transport Policy, of the National Tourism Policy concept for 2007–2013, and of the Regional Development Strategy), suitable time and place of public negotiations, very good settlement of comments raised by citizens and publishing of the settlement – in a form of settlement table;

(g) Cooperation of those who present a concept and conduct SEA with working groups involving the professional public (e.g. SEA of the Sustainable Development Strategy for the Region of Ústí nad Labem – not regulated by the respective Act – so it is beyond the Act);

(h) Strengthening of procedural rights (i.e. public participation) in area planning through the institute of a representative of the public has not yet been used in practice;

(i) The approval of the National Energy Concept, including information on the completed EIA process, is available on the website of the Ministry of Industry and Trade (www.mpo.cz/dokument5903.html).

100. In terms of practice, future improvement of the following areas could be considered:

(a) Times and places of public negotiations to be convenient for the public concerned;

(b) The form and extent of information-sharing about a public negotiation and possible participation in the negotiation;

(c) Removing the formality of the process of settling comments from the public, and determining responsibility for settlement of the comments;

(d) Encouraging public interest in participation in environmental planning processes;

(e) Make documentation more clearly arranged, and improving non-technical summaries of assessment conclusions;

(f) Increased awareness of the SEA process among both professionals and the general public;

(g) Improving public administration’s knowledge of techniques to involve the public.

101. The above examples of positive practice and challenges for improvement were obtained on the basis of finished or ongoing SEA processes.

XXIII. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 7

XXIV. EFFORTS MADE TO PROMOTE EFFECTIVE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
DURING THE PREPARATION BY PUBLIC AUTHORITIES OF EXECUTIVE
REGULATIONS AND OTHER GENERALLY APPLICABLE LEGALLY BINDING
RULES THAT MAY HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT
PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 8

103. Commenting on laws, regulations and decrees is provided for in the Legislative Rules of
the Government. The Rules determine “mandatory commenting places” (central bodies of State
administration and other institutions), other commenting places (the public) and deadlines for
settlement of the comments. The Rules also determine basic rules for the settlement. Publishing
of laws in accordance with article 5, paragraph 3, and article 8 is ensured through article 2,
paragraph 5, of the Rules. A bill is published on the public administration website that is
accessible to the public. All commenting places, including the public, have a basic deadline
determined for commenting of 15 working days (and/or 20 working ways in the case of Act
draft). The party submitting a bill can extend the deadline. Article 7, paragraph 3 (f) of the Rules
regulates the way of settlement of the public’s comments. Comments of substantial nature that
have not been satisfied must be mentioned in the submission report accompanying the bill,
including reasons why the comments were not accepted. The parties submitting the bill are not
obliged to discuss the comments with the public but they can do so voluntarily (art. 5 para. 8, of
the Rules).

104. Along with the above-mentioned publishing procedure, the Ministry of the Environment
created a special section on its website called “Legislation under Preparation” in July 2007. In
this section, all bills for which the Ministry is responsible are posted, including accompanying
documents and information on the respective phases of the discussion procedure.

105. On the basis of its internal guidelines (no. 3/2001), the Ministry of the Environment also
keeps a list of optional commenting places which include some expert environmental
organizations as well. These commenting places receive Act drafts or decree drafts during
circulations of the drafts for comments, and in the case of their substantial comments, they are
invited to negotiate orally.

XXV. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 8

106. New Legislative Rules of the Government were approved in July 2007. Therefore, there is
not enough experience with their application and it is necessary to monitor possible the formal
approaches of the public administration to comments from the public. The Rules provide that
only substantial comments from the public have to be settled. Nevertheless, the Rules do not say
who decides whether a comment is substantial, but it is possible to suppose that such a decision
is up to those who submit the draft. It is necessary to see that the public is informed why their
comments were not taken into account.

107. Executive orders also include exceptions from the Act No. 114/1992 Coll. on Nature and
Landscape Protection and exceptions from protective terms in national parks, protected
landscape areas, natural monuments, etc. However, exceptions are approved by the Government,
which is not an administrative body. Texts of exceptions that the Government is to negotiate are
not published, the public cannot express its views or to take part in the Government’s meetings and there is no remedial measure against the Government’s decision. Exceptions granted are not published in a well-arranged way so as to would enable remote access.

XXVI. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 8

108. The establishment of a government database of NGOs for possible consultations during preparation of laws, programmes or policies (DATAKO) can be considered positive.

109. Another positive development is related to the fact that the Ministry of the Environment included NGO representatives in optional commenting points with a possibility to discuss comments that had been identified as substantial. With respect to the requirements of the Convention, it would be good to extend this approach to other sectors as well.

XXVII. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 8


XXVIII. LEGISLATIVE, REGULATORY AND OTHER MEASURES IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS ON ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN ARTICLE 9

111. Access to legal protection in environmental cases especially means the possibility to contest administrative acts or neglects of administrative bodies at an independent and impartial body constituted by law. In the Czech Republic, these bodies are only courts (their independence is guaranteed by the Constitution of the Czech Republic), because no special bodies (e.g. special tribunals for the environmental area only) are established under the law. The area of access to legal protection in environmental cases is therefore a part of general regulation of administrative justice that is regulated by the Act No. 150/2002 Coll., the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure. According to the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure, a judicial review presumes either prejudice to rights or infringement of procedural rights in the previous procedure. Because civic associations are not adjudicated the right for favourable environment (see below – the restrictive interpretation of legal standing), they can get to a judicial review only through infringement of procedural rights in the previous procedure. Therefore, a precondition for judicial protection of NGOs is participation in (or at least, the right to be a participant) the previous administrative procedure or the administrative body’s failure to act. For natural persons, entitlement to sue is always examined in each specific case and, similarly to NGOs, it is adjudicated if the plaintiff’s right (including the right for a favourable environment) was affected or his or her procedural rights were violated.
Article 9, paragraph 1

112. Access to justice in the right for information is ensured in terms of a legal framework, anybody has the right to have recourse to a court of law. In practice, there are drawbacks related to long terms: there is no possibility to initiate an accelerated procedure, decisions of courts concern legitimacy of the refusal to provide information, and courts do not order to provide the information (Act No. 123/1998 Coll.).

Article 9, paragraph 2

113. Because of the fact that in the Czech law a definition of the public concerned has not laid down, predominantly NGOs take part in permission procedures (on the basis of component laws). In the case of a judicial procedure according to article 9, paragraph 2, of the Convention, substantive rights for a favourable environment are usually withheld from the NGOs and possible review of the decision is therefore conducted in procedural terms particularly.

114. Special legal standing in order to protect public interests is given by law to the Attorney General (§ 66 para. 2) and also to the person to whom a special law, or an international treaty which is a part of the legal order, explicitly commits this authorization. In terms of implementation of article 9, paragraph 2, another important type of judicial procedure is the procedure to cancel a measure of general nature or its part (compare with § 101 (a) to 101 (d) of the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure), because area plans of towns and regions are issued in this form. A proposal to cancel a measure of general nature can be submitted by anybody who claims that his or her rights were violated by the measure of general nature. The court must decide about the proposal within 30 days upon delivery of a flawless proposal to the court.

Article 9, paragraph 3

115. This requirement of the Convention is not fulfilled. According to the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure, the precondition for legal standing is the direct prejudice to rights in the case of an administrative decision or neglect, which means that it is necessary to prove a direct interference with the right for favourable environment. The Act on civil procedure regulates filing private-legal actions, which do not interfere the public law area (environmental law). There is a quasi-exception consisting in the possible filing of a so-called neighbour’s action which is an action to ensure that a neighbour does not commit a nuisance or interfere the plaintiff’s proprietary rights beyond an acceptable level. Even this possible dispute would be in the private-legal area, and if the action is successful, the court can only decide that the neighbour must forego the respective activity. Nevertheless, the public has the right to defend against the inaction of administrative bodies and to initiate commencement of a procedure by virtue of office (see the Act on Administrative Procedure).

Article 9, paragraph 4

116. An action for unlawfulness of a decision according to § 65 of the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure does not have a suspensory effect by law, but the court can adjudicate this effect according to the provision of § 73 of the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure.
This provision mentions three preconditions for the suspensory effect of an action to be adjudicated (execution of the judgement would be related to an irretrievable harm for the plaintiff, and adjudication of the suspensory effect will not affect acquired rights of third persons in an inadequate way and is not in conflict with a public interest). Especially the irretrievable harm that poses a threat to the plaintiff directly, as grounds for adjudication of the suspensory effect, is very difficult to prove. This is true especially in the cases when there is damage that poses a threat to the plaintiff and it is possible to reimburse the damage in financial means, but irretrievable harm poses a threat to the environment, or an NGO is the plaintiff. In spite of the fact that the Supreme Administrative Court, in its decision file No. 8 As 26/2005, judged that an assumption of possible harm is sufficient for commencement of a judicial procedure, so far in practice nobody usually follows this decision.

**Article 9, paragraph 5**

117. Both the judicial and administrative bodies have the obligation to instruct, but the administrative body does not provide information on the possibility of judicial protection.

**XXIX. OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 9**

118. Problematic areas of the legal regulation in the Czech Republic in terms of implementation of the Convention, as identified by NGOs:

(a) An overly restrictive interpretation of legal standing provisions by bodies conducting judicial review;
(b) Difficulties with contesting factual mistakes in administrative decisions;
(c) Courts are not willing to adjudicate suspensory effects of actions. The Supreme Administrative Court tends to delegate to regional courts to adjudicate suspensory effects. In the summer 2007, the Supreme Administrative Court decided for the first time that suspensory effects should be adjudicated but in practice nobody usually follows this decision so far;
(d) Judicial reviews do not lead to the effective remedy of mistakes.

**Restrictive interpretation of legal standing**

119. On the basis of a definition in § 65 of the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure, courts usually claim that the decision that is the subject of the action must interfere with the plaintiff’s subjective rights for the action to be considered as filed successfully. In the case of civil society associations, such interference is often impossible to prove because NGOs can only successfully prove interference with their procedural rights although the Constitutional Court has decided that the right to a favourable environment, laid down in article 35, paragraph 1, of the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms, is a right that is guaranteed by the Constitution for everybody.

120. On the other hand, article 9, paragraph 3, requires that both individuals and NGOs have the possibility to contest decisions and omissions of the public administration and private persons if they violate laws protecting the environment, i.e. to be able to file an action in the public interest.
This article can be interpreted as a direct and immediately applicable entitlement, and because the Convention is a part of the Czech legal order, it would be possible to file an action on the basis of § 66, paragraph 3, of the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure (a special legal standing to file an action to protect public interest). However, in its recent judgements, the Supreme Administrative Court accepted the principle according to which, in the case of a contradiction to an Act, international treaties take precedence and laws should be interpreted in accordance with the treaties, but at the same time it refused a direct applicability of article 9, paragraph 3, of the Convention in the Czech legal order because the art. 9, para. 3, is not self-executing according to the Court (and also there is no law that would transpose article 9, paragraph 3, into the Czech legal order). For these reasons, the Court stated that not all the preconditions were fulfilled for individuals and NGOs to be able to seek the rights resulting from article 9, paragraph 3, of the Convention before court directly (see the Resolution of the Supreme Administrative Court file no. 3 Ao 2/2007).

It is difficult to contest factual mistakes in administrative decisions

121. As mentioned above, a precondition for judicial protection (filing an administrative action for unlawfulness of a decision or a body’s failure to act) is interference into the plaintiff’s subjective rights or procedural rights (for conditions applied to NGOs see the answer to art. 6). NGO participation in administrative procedures is regulated in various ways. For instance, the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection (Act No. 114/1992 Coll.) enables NGO participation in administrative procedures because these organizations represent the citizens, but in a judicial review, protection of the right for favourable environment is not adjudicated to the NGOs. For this reason, actions filed by NGOs can be effective only in those cases when unlawfulness of the decision concerned consisted in breach of procedural rules. Some courts refuse to perform review in factual terms in spite of the fact that they are obliged by statute to perform a full review. The participation of the civic associations in procedures is regulated in due respect to securing of the protection of the environment, with primarily the preventive protection as the most effective method. Persons belonging to the public concerned, whose rights are not dealt with directly in the procedures (e.g. they are not owners of adjacent plots) but have a legitimate interest in the decision, take part in the procedure through an NGO (see § 70 of the Act on Nature and Landscape Protection) and therefore cannot file an administrative action separately.

122. By the way, the possibility for civic associations to require a factual review also results from a principle, guaranteed by the Constitution, that all participants in a procedure have equal rights before court.

Unwillingness of courts to adjudicate the suspensory effect of an administrative action

123. An action for unlawfulness of a decision according to § 65 of the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure does not have a suspensory effect by law, but the court can adjudicate this effect according to the provision of § 73 of the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure. This provision mentions three preconditions for the suspensory effect of an action to be adjudicated (execution of the judgement would be related to an irretrievable harm for the plaintiff, adjudication of the suspensory effect will not affect acquired rights of third persons in an inadequate way, and it is not in conflict with a public interest). Especially the irretrievable harm that threatens the plaintiff directly, as grounds for adjudication of the suspensory effect, is
very difficult to prove. This is true especially in the cases when there is damage that threatens to the plaintiff and it is possible to reimburse the damage through financial means, but the irretrievable harm threatens the environment and a NGO is the plaintiff. As the Supreme Administrative Court, in its decision file no. 8 As 26/2005, adjudicated that an assumption of possible harm is sufficient for commencement of a judicial procedure, the best solution would be if the suspensory effect of an action is laid down as the primary rule for the action, and in this way the meaning of the Convention would be fulfilled. Of course, the chairing judge would have the possibility to exclude the suspensory effect under certain circumstances.

The judicial review does not lead to effective remedy

124. According to article 9, paragraph 4, of the Convention, a review of a decision should ensure adequate and effective remedy. This requirement is not fulfilled in practice in the Czech Republic. Even if the plaintiff’s proposal is successful, the review has no effect on the state of the respective case. An overly long duration of judicial procedures, and particularly the fact that the suspensory effect of an action is not adjudicated, leads to a situation where the successful result of a suit has no point for the plaintiff because, e.g. the problematic construction has already been standing for a long time and State administration bodies are not brave enough to put this judgement in practice. However, the progressive decision of the Supreme Administrative Court of 28 June 2007 (file no. 5 As 53/2006) says that not adjudicating a suspensory effect to an action (that is filed by the public concerned) must not lead to a situation where the project against which the action is filed would already be implemented at the time of decision-making. This judgement refers directly to the requirements of article 9, paragraph 4, of the Convention. However, it is not possible to see a change in judicial practice because of the short time that has elapsed since this judgement.

125. The right for access to judicial protection remains regulated only generally by the Act No. 150/2002 Coll., the Act on Judicial Administrative Procedure. According to this Act, those have the right to file an action who claim that the authority’s decision which “creates, changes, cancels or determines obli gatorily their rights or duties” infringes their rights, and also participants in an administrative procedure who claim that the administrative body’s steps infringed their rights in such a way that it could have resulted in an unlawful decision. The interpretation says that the first definition should apply to applicants (e.g. investors) and other parties whose rights are the “direct” subject of the decisions, while the second definition should refer to the other participants including civic associations (see above – restrictive interpretation of legal standing). Theoretically, there is still no change occurring with respect to the concept according to which civic associations can take a successful legal action only if in the administrative procedure in which they had taken part (or in which they were supposed to take part according to the law, but did not take part in the end because of a mistake made by the administrative body) their procedural rights were violated, namely in such a way that it could have led, in the court’s opinion, to an unlawful decision. Practice in different courts is not uniform. There are cases where courts continue refusing actions filed by associations and do not deal with them factually; and on the other hand there are also judgements that have dealt with the subject matter on the basis of an association’s action and have interpreted the conditions for entitlement to sue in accordance with provisions of the Convention.
126. Length of a judicial procedure also has a significant influence on the effectiveness of a decision concerning the right for information. Even if a court decides that not providing the information was unlawful, the decision usually comes at a time when the requiring party is not interested in the information anymore. Beyond this, the court cannot directly order the body that was asked for the information to provide that information (on the basis of Act No. 123/1998 Coll. which implements arts. 4 and 5 of the Convention). An amendment to the Act No. 106/1999 Coll. adjudicate this to a court, but in combination with other procedural changes, it has not resulted in more effectiveness in decision-making practice so far.

XXX. FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 9

127. The main problem of legal protection in the environmental area (as well as legal protection in general) consists in the slow work of courts (see table 1 in the annex to this document), the length of hearings and a high proportion of decisions that are made by the Court of Appeal (second tier).

Another feature covered by statistics is the number of crimes in the area of environmental protection. Unfortunately, the trend is growing (see tables 2 and 3 in the annex). An important indicator is the structure of decision of the Czech Environmental Inspectorate (see table 4).

XXXI. WEBSITE ADDRESSES RELEVANT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 9


XXXII. CONTRIBUTION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONVENTION TO THE PROTECTION OF THE RIGHT OF EVERY PERSON OF PRESENT AND FUTURE GENERATIONS TO LIVE IN AN ENVIRONMENT ADEQUATE TO HIS OR HER HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

129. No information was provided under this heading.
Annex

Table 1: Decision-making on actions against decisions by an administrative body in the environmental area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of actions filed</th>
<th>Average length of procedure (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 – first half</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ministry of Justice of the Czech Republic (grounds for the report)

Table 2: Statistics of criminal offences in the area of environmental protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical-statistic crime</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Identified</th>
<th>Of which examination finished</th>
<th>Total examination in process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003 850</td>
<td>Endangerment of and harming the environment - fraudulently</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 850</td>
<td>Endangerment of and harming the environment - fraudulently</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005 850</td>
<td>Endangerment of and harming the environment - fraudulently</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 850</td>
<td>Endangerment of and harming the environment - fraudulently</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 850</td>
<td>Endangerment of and harming the environment - negligently</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ministry of the Interior of the Czech Republic (http://www.mvcr.cz)
Table 3: Statistics of criminal offences in the area of environmental protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body of crime</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007 – first half</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>§181a</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§181b</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§181c</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§181d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§181e</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 4: Activities of the Czech Environmental Inspectorate in 1993 and 1996–2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of inspections, revisions and controls</td>
<td>10,427</td>
<td>14,505</td>
<td>15,182</td>
<td>16,125</td>
<td>19,454</td>
<td>17,774</td>
<td>18,359</td>
<td>18,032</td>
<td>17,254</td>
<td>16,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making in administrative procedure (lawful-final)</td>
<td>7,808</td>
<td>10,940</td>
<td>9,192</td>
<td>7,380</td>
<td>9,375</td>
<td>7,971</td>
<td>3,186</td>
<td>9,661</td>
<td>8,495</td>
<td>12,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statements for other State administration bodies</td>
<td>6,586</td>
<td>7,336</td>
<td>7,443</td>
<td>8,259</td>
<td>9,592</td>
<td>10,264</td>
<td>10,845</td>
<td>12,308</td>
<td>11,868</td>
<td>11,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in solutions to accidents (E-record keeping, U-participation)</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>E 246 + 133 floods U 247</td>
<td>E ??? U 159</td>
<td>E 306 U 120</td>
<td>E 264 U 105</td>
<td>E 205 U 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dealing with complaints, announcements and initiatives</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>1,419</td>
<td>1,927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Czech Environmental Inspectorate (annual reports) [http://www.cizp.cz](http://www.cizp.cz)

*****