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POLISH COAL BASINS
THE COAL SEAMS ARE MINED
IN CONDITIONS OF NATURAL HAZARDS

Gas hazards
Fire hazard
Dust hazard
Seismic and rock burst hazard
Water hazard
Climatic hazard
Radiation hazard

Such mining conditions negatively affect the costs of mining activity of Polish companies.
Typical problems at gassy coal mines

- Ventilation and Gas Emissions Management in Coal Mines
- Development of Improved Methane Drainage Technologies by Stimulating Coal Seams for Major Risk Prevention and Increased Coal Output
- **Monitoring, Assessment, Prevention and Mitigation of Rock Burst and Gas Outburst Hazards in Coal Mines**
- Prediction and mitigation of methane explosion effects for improved protection of mine infrastructure and critical equipment
CMM related projects

- **AVENTO** (Ventilation and Gas Emissions Management in Coal Mines),
- **MAPROC** (Monitoring, Assessment, Prevention and Mitigation of Rock Burst and Gas Outburst Hazards in Coal Mines),
- **GASDRAIN** (Development of Improved Methane Drainage Technologies by Stimulating Coal Seams for Major Risk Prevention and Increased Coal Output),
- **EXPRO** (Prediction and mitigation of methane explosion effects for improved protection of mine infrastructure and critical equipment),
MAPROC (Monitoring, Assessment, Prevention and Mitigation of Rock Burst and Gas Outburst Hazards in Coal Mines),

The main objectives of MapROC are to:
- develop and field test rock burst/outburst prevention techniques based on the use of large diameter boreholes with alternative stress and gas pressure relief techniques;
- formulate a methodology to couple the near-real time processing of monitored microseismic data with Artificial Neural Networks and Fractal Dimension analysis to develop a short-term prediction method for rock bursts/outbursts;
- demonstrate the use of this prediction method as a tool for early risk assessment, prevention, and mitigation of these hazards;
- numerically model the application stress relief, stress control and protective mining options;
- develop and validate a generic risk assessment methodology for rock bursts/outbursts.
MapROC project partners:

The participation of **seven** partners from **five** different countries with important coal mining industries, enable to cover a variety of mining conditions across Europe. The consortium includes a balanced participation of industry, research institutions and universities, thus allowing both laboratory and mine tests.

**AITEMIN** is a non-for-profit independent research organization based in Madrid, Spain;

**CM-Velenje** - Premogovnik Velenje D.D., Slovenja

**GIG** - Central Mining Institute of Katowice, Poland

**HVL** - Hullera Vasco-Leonesa, Spain

**IMPERIAL** - Imperial College of Science Technology and Medicine (U.K.)

**JSW SA** - Jastrzebska Spolka Węglowa SA, Poland

**RWTH** Aachen University, Germany
WP0
Project management

WP1
Laboratory and Field Characterisation of Coal Seams and Surrounding Rocks as Indicators for Rock Burst and Gas Outbursts

WP3
Microseismic Monitoring and Development of Technologies for Short-term Prediction of Rock Bursts and Gas Outbursts

WP5
Development and Validation of a Generic Methodology for the Assessment and Mitigation of Risk from Rock Bursts and Gas Outbursts

WP4
Numerical Modelling of Performance of Rock Burst and Gas Outburst Prevention Methods for Risk Evaluation

WP2
Development and Field Testing of Technologies for the Prevention of Rock Bursts and Gas Outbursts

WP6
Conclusions, Recommendations and Dissemination
**Work Package 1** aimed at carrying out a limited number of laboratory experiments to characterise some partner mine and other European coals for their gas release dynamics to fill in missing data which may not have been collected in previous projects. Additional laboratory research involved upgrading of the large diameter triaxial cell to enable acoustic measurements under stress and gas flow conditions. The prevailing in situ stress state and strain conditions around working Longwall and Longwall Top Coal Caving and Sub-Level caving coal faces at JSW, CM-VELENJE and HVL were assessed through empirical and numerical methods.
MapROC WP1 Laboratory and Field Characterization

Supported by:

Imperial College London

RWTH

GIG

PREMOCOVNIK VELJ

JSW
In the MapROC project laboratory experiments have been performed to characterize coals from partner mines with respect to

- gas storage capacity
- gas uptake and release kinetics
- permeability under different effective stress conditions

Together with data from other European coals a comprehensive database was established.
Experimental investigation of gas storage and transport processes

- Coals
- Carbonaceous shales
- Tight sandstones

High-pressure adsorption of CH$_4$, CO$_2$, N$_2$ ...

- Temperature range (room temp. $\rightarrow$ 150° C)
- Pressure range ($\rightarrow$ 35 MPa)
- Effect of water on CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ sorption
- Gas mixtures (CH$_4$/CO$_2$; C$_1$ – C$_3$)
- Stress-dependence

Transport processes (stress-dependence)

- Darcy flow (liquids and gases)
- Slip flow, Knudsen flow, transitional flow (gases)
- Diffusion
GAS SORPTION AND TRANSPORT IN COALS

cleat system (transport avenues)

matrix volume (storage capacity)
Project coals:
Pniówek (404/1)
Zofiówka (411, 412, 413)
Velenje

Laboratory experiments at RWTH Aachen and Imperial College London
Database

**Germany:**
- Osnabrück-Bielefeld-Münster Area
- Saar Area

**Belgium:**
- Campine Basin
- Borinage Basin
- Liège Basin

**The Netherlands:**
- Limburg coal mines

**Czech Republic:**
- SW Upper Silesian Coal Basin

**Spain:**
- Competidora
- Sueros

**The United Kingdom:**
- Point of Ayr
- Odd House
- Watson Head
- Carrington Farm
- Sellar
- Tower
Work Package 2 will carry out field experiments using large diameter boreholes for stress and gas pressure relief, implementing either blasting or slot cutting or high pressure water injection depending on the mine and type of coal that exists and aim at determining their effectiveness in developing the desired stress and gas pressure relief around the workings. As JSW will carry out similar experiments in small diameter gas drainage boreholes, most experiments will not be repeated there and the equipment and blasting methods developed in GasDRAIN will be available for use by the other two industrial partners who are not involved in GasDRAIN. JSW will help in the use of the equipment at CM-VELENJE and HVL as necessary. AITEMIN will investigate the potential use of Measurement While Drilling techniques in order to take advantage of routine drilling operations performed, either for pressure relief or for production (blasting), for acquiring data that is helpful to characterise the rock or coal mass from the point of view of outburst risk.
Under TASK 2.1, in the 1st quarter of 2017, in the coal seam 404/2 of JSW SA „Pniówek” mine, the tests covering degassing and stress releasing blasting were performed.
\( Q_{\text{out}} = 850 \text{ m}^3/\text{min} \)

\( Q_{\text{out}} = 1200 \text{ m}^3/\text{min} \)
### Roadway N-6 in the coal seam 404/2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of measurement</th>
<th>Date of measurement</th>
<th>Methane content $[^{m^3}\text{CH}<em>4/\text{Mg}</em>{\text{daf}}]$</th>
<th>Desorption $[^{kPa}]$</th>
<th>Conciseness $[^{-}]$</th>
<th>Coal cuttings $[^{\text{dm}^3/1\text{mb}}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.12.15</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.01.16</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.02.16</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.02.16</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.06.16</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.03.16</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.03.16</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.03.16</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.03.16</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.04.16</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.04.16</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21.04.16</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>27.04.16</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.05.16</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.05.16</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.05.16</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>07.06.16</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.06.16</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>22.06.16</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>29.06.16</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.07.16</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.07.16</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.07.16</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.08.16</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.08.16</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methane content in the coal seam 404/2
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fan with dust collector – switched off

gallery B-12 in the coal seam 401
automatic methane meter (additional-one) in the distance of 50m from the coal face

automatic methane meter 3m from the coal face measurement point #1

CH₄
Location of the boreholes in the B-12 gallery coal face of 401 coal seam

a) borehole #1 Ø 42 mm drilled before water injection, where the samples were collected

b) borehole #3 Ø 42 mm drilled after water injection, where the coal samples were collected

coal seam 401

borehole #2 Ø 85 mm used for water injection

gallery B-12 ahead of gallery B-12 coal face

L = 4 m

L = 30 m or 15 m

L = 4 m
Conclusions

• Conducted research in the coal seam 404/2 of JSW S.A. „Pniówek” mine in the first quarter of 2017 under Task 2.1 allowed to take clear position in the domain of fighting gas and rock outbursts’ hazard by performance of degassing and stress releasing blastings.

• Degasifying coal seam 404/2 by 24.7% comparing with its primary methane content not exceeding 6 m$^3$CH$_4$ Mg$_{daf}$, allowed for keeping its gas parameters during drivage of N-4 incline at admissible level according to mining regulations.

• Conducting gas and rock outbursts hazard prophylactics based on performance of degassing and stress releasing blastings can be recognized as an effective method allowing to decrease level of outburst and bumping hazards.
• When comparing two applied methods of outburst hazard prophylactics i.e. degassing and stress releasing blasting and water injection into the coal bed the more effective is degassing and stress releasing blasting.

• Based on conducted tests of applied outburst hazard prophylactics degassing and stress releasing blastings can be recognized as prioritized-ones
In **Work Package 3**, GIG will aim at developing and validating a real time microseismic monitoring and data processing routines in order to provide near-real time (maximum one week intervals) seismic source energy, location and bent-ray tomograms to IMPERIAL for further analysis and development of Artificial Neural Network and Fractal Dimension based advance and near-real-time rock burst and gas outburst prediction models. The models will be trained and calibrated using the early period monitoring data from the mines, and will be validated by carrying out predictions during the second half of the project.

**Work Package 4** will utilise the results of Work Packages 1, 2 and 3 and build numerical models to analyse the effectiveness of the large diameter borehole based stress and gas pressure relief methods for different mine layouts representative of those used at the partner mines and other European mines. Data generated through the field trials of different borehole techniques in WP 2 will be used to establish an understanding of the processes involved stress and gas pressure relief to prevent rock bursts and gas outbursts. Furthermore, WP4 will numerically assess two other preventative methods, namely stress relief mining and protective mining involving sacrificial drivages, pillars and under/over mining methods as could be applied in different coal mining methods (LW, LTCC, SLC).
Development of Fractal Dimension and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) based Models for the Short-term Forecasting of Rock Bursts and Gas Outbursts

Wu Cai, Wenzhuo Cao, Sevket Durucan, Anna Korre, Ji-Quan Shi
Minerals, Energy and Environmental Engineering Research Group
Department of Earth Science and Engineering
Royal School of Mines
Imperial College London
Outline

- Fractal Dimension based indicators
- Fractal-fuzzy evaluation methodology
- Artificial Neural Network model
- Applications at Coal Mine Velenje and Budryk Colliery
Modelling Framework

- Seismic phenomena
- Time-Space-Energy
- Clustering precursors
- Fractal dimensions

**Microseismic monitoring system**

- Time scaling indices $D_T$
- Space scaling indices $D_S$
- Energy scaling indices $D_E$

- Capacity dimension $D_{T0}$
- Information dimension $D_{T1}$ based number of events $D_{T1-N}$
- Information dimension $D_{T1}$ based amount of energy $D_{T1-E}$
- Capacity dimension $D_{S0}$
- Information dimension $D_{S1}$ based number of events $D_{S1-N}$
- Information dimension $D_{S1}$ based amount of energy $D_{S1-E}$
- Capacity dimension $D_{E0}$
- Information dimension $D_{E1}$ based number of events $D_{E1-N}$
- Information dimension $D_{E1}$ based amount of energy $D_{E1-E}$
Fractal Dimension: Physical meaning

Fractal dimension: $D$.

Accumulated AE counts ($\times 20$)

Axial stress vs strain curve

Before failure

Initial failure

Failure

Before point D:

Initial failure

Around point D:

Failure

After point D:

AE sensor

$D_{S0}$

$D_{E0}$

$D_{T0}$
Application at Coal Mine Velenje: ANN example

LW Ck.-80: 01/03/2017-01/10/2017
Application at Budryk colliery: Fractal example

20/11/2017 - 05/08/2018
Summary

- Fractal-fuzzy evaluation methodology utilising seismic sequences were developed for the short term forecasting of rock bursts and gas outbursts.
  - Dimension reduction in Fractal Dimension based indicators can be considered as the precursor of rock bursts and gas outbursts.
  - Fractal-fuzzy evaluation methodology allows for a better and quantitative assessment of the likelihood for rock bursts and gas outbursts on a daily basis.

- Feedforward neural networks were adopted to perform three kinds of neural net models: pattern recognition, fitting and time series, in an attempt to forecast rock bursts and gas outbursts utilising seismic sequences.
  - Neural net pattern recognition model was carried out to forecast the probability of strong seismic event occurrence over the next time period, including two classifications of no risk and strong risk.
  - Neural net fitting model was carried out to forecast the magnitude of maximum seismic event which could happen over the next time period.
  - Neural net time series model was carried out to forecast total energy and number of seismic events which could happen over the next time period.
**Work Package 5** aims at developing and validating a generic risk assessment method for rock bursts and gas outburst. The site specific methodology developed by AITEMIN for HVL in CoGasOUT will be extended through collaboration with JSW and CM-VELENJE and utilise production and field measured data to generalise the methodology. The model will be validated through application to the conditions of the three industrial partners. This WP will also combine the numerical models of WP4 and the previously developed gas outburst models to carry out a probabilistic assessment of risk in different mining conditions and layouts, and analyse sensitivity to field parameters. Research will consider and evaluate the factors which may affect the performance of developed techniques in different coal fields and geological conditions and report these.
Development of a Generic Methodology for the Assessment and Mitigation of Risk from Rock Bursts and Gas Outbursts: Application of the Methodology at Different Mining Scenarios

Sevket Durucan, Wenzhuo Cao, Wu Cai, Anna Korre, Ji-Quan Shi
Minerals, Energy and Environmental Engineering Research Group
Department of Earth Science and Engineering
Royal School of Mines
Imperial College London
Factors affecting rock bursts and gas outbursts

Intrinsic factors
- coal type
- seam thickness
- in situ stress
- gas retention properties
- coalfield geology

Extrinsic factors
- mining method
- gas drainage measures
- advance rate
- change in stress state

Evidence-based risk evaluation

Empirical thresholds
- gas content
- gas pressure
- coal permeability
- gas desorption rate
- gas emission index
- brittleness
- Protodiakonov strength

Theoretical criteria
- stress/strain based
- energy based
- fracture/damage based

Risk assessment methods

Field microseismic monitoring-based risk evaluation methodologies

Methodology
- Comprehensive microseismicity assessment
- Artificial Neural Network models
- Fractal dimension based models
- Statistical short-term forecasting

Risk evaluation indicator
- Risk level of a region
- Number of seismic events
- Trend of risk level
- Probability of hazard

Numerical modelling methods

Methodology
- Coupled geomechanical and gas flow model
- Fracture mechanics and gas outburst model
- DFN-based microseismicity model

Risk evaluation indicator
- Geomechanical and gas pressure/flow behaviour
- Geomechanical and gas desorption behaviour
- Geomechanical and microseismic behaviour

Mining scenarios

- Drifting/longwall mining in thick coal seams (LTCC)
- Drifting/longwall mining in multiple coal seams
- Drifting in sublevel caving coal mining
Factors affecting rock bursts and gas outbursts

Intrinsic factors
- coal type
- seam thickness
- in situ stress
- gas retention properties
- coalfield geology

Extrinsic factors
- mining method
- gas drainage measures
- advance rate
- change in stress state

Evidence-based risk evaluation

Empirical thresholds
- gas content
- gas pressure
- coal permeability
- gas desorption rate
- gas emission index
- brittleness
- Protodiakonov strength

Theoretical criteria
- stress/strain based
- energy based
- fracture/damage based

Mining scenarios
Drifting/longwall mining in thick coal seams (LTCC)
Drifting/longwall mining in multiple coal seams
Drifting in sublevel caving coal mining

Risk assessment methods

Field microseismic monitoring-based risk evaluation methodologies

Methodology
- Comprehensive microseismicity assessment
- Artificial Neural Network models
- Fractal dimension based models
- Statistical short-term forecasting

Risk evaluation indicator
- Risk level of a region
- Number of seismic events
- Trend of risk level
- Probability of hazard

Numerical modelling methods

Methodology
- Coupled geomechanical and gas flow model
- Fracture mechanics and gas outburst model
- DFN-based microseismicity model

Risk evaluation indicator
- Geometrical and gas pressure/flow behaviour
- Geometrical and gas description behaviour
- Geometrical and microseismic behaviour

Risk assessment methods
- Comprehensive microseismicity assessment
- Fractal dimension based models
- Artificial Neural Network models
- DFN-based microseismicity model
- Fracture mechanics and gas driven outburst model
- Coupled geomechanical and gas flow model

Methodology and examples of testing and validation

Artificial Neural Network models (at Coal Mine Velenje and Budryk Colliery)

Fractal dimension based models (at Coal Mine Velenje and Budryk Colliery)

Comprehensive microseismicity assessment (at Coal Mine Velenje)

DFN-based microseismicity model (applied and validated at Coal Mine Velenje, applicable to both homogenous and heterogenous lithological settings)

Fracture mechanics and gas driven outburst model (generally applicable for in-seam roadway development, applied at Coal Mine Velenje and also applicable in longwall and sublevel caving mining conditions)

Coupled geomechanical and gas flow model (generally applicable for simulating floor outburst conditions and protective mining in multi-seam mining layouts such as JSW mines)
**Work Package 6** focuses on the conclusions and dissemination of research findings. Partners will compile the background state of the art knowledge in rock bursts and gas outbursts together with the MapROC field and numerical modelling practice and experience gained from the research outcomes. In particular, the short term prediction models developed will be documented and demonstrated to the industry. It is planned to organise a project Workshop to disseminate this knowledge to a wide audience within the European coal mining community. **As a second objective, and upon completion of all the project tasks, a project “lessons learnt and recommendations document” will also be compiled and issued to assist industrial stakeholders and the scientific community towards achieving the general objectives of predicting, preventing and mitigating rock bursts and gas outbursts in coal mines.**
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Materials presented above were part of international MapRoc project dissemination workshop which took place on May 30th, 2019 at GIG premises in Katowice (Poland) and represent intellectual property of their authors.