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Note by the Secretariat
• **Self-evaluation**

• Opportunity for CECI to look back and reflect on the work accomplished in 2010-2011 and to draw conclusions for the orientation and modalities of future work
Methodology and Indicators

• Agreed at 5\textsuperscript{th} CECI Session Dec 2010
• ECE/CECI/2011/6
• Information sources:
  – Survey of CECI, Team and network members
  – Evaluation questionnaires distributed at events
  – Secretariat records
4 Clusters

• Creating a supportive environment for innovative development (policies for innovation, innovative entrepreneurship, and the financing of innovation)
• Facilitating the effective regulatory protection of intellectual property rights
• Promoting good practices in efficient public-private partnerships
• Capacity-building activities carried out in the above three clusters
Expected Accomplishments

First 3 clusters:
• increased knowledge of authorities of good practices and policy options
• enhanced implementation of policy recommendations

Fourth cluster:
• Strengthened national capacity in countries with economies in transition to implement CECI policy recommendations
• Improved national policymaking as a result of capacity-building and training in these areas
Indicators

First 3 clusters:
• Number of policy recommendations generated
• Percent of survey respondents who find them useful
• Number of cases where recommendations were incorporated into legislation/regulations

Fourth cluster:
• Percent of participants who find CB events useful
• Number of country-level follow-up activities
Survey of CECI members

75 responses from 30 countries
Added value of the Work on Innovative Development

4 countries incorporated recommendations in legislation
Added value of the Work on Intellectual Property

4 countries incorporated recommendations in legislation
Added value of the Work on PPPs

10 countries incorporated recommendations in legislation
Capacity Building

• > 50 percent of respondents consider it among main added value of CECI
• > 40 percent consider CB materials among main added value
• Between 75 – 100 percent of participants consider events good or excellent
• Many individuals and organizations come back
• Events generate demand for more
Capacity Building

Follow-up

• A quarter of respondents report that CECI CB has led to revisions of national legislation, regulations, etc.
• A third of respondents report that CECI CB has led to follow-on national training activities.
Some Recommendations on the Work of CECI in General

- improving dissemination of CECI’s work (including through newsletters and electronic means, by involving national and regional agencies, and by ensuring availability of material in Russian)
- further intensifying cooperation with national authorities, local authorities, NGOs, and multilateral organizations
- further strengthening the involvement of Team and network members in the selection of substantive topics for Team and CECI sessions
- more practical examples (including negative ones)
- Devote more attention to the question of how to do things (in addition to what to do)
- But also: continuing and expanding existing policy and capacity-building activities