# AUDIT REPORT

OF THE

# D07B ACC and BIE CCL Directories and All associated schemas

Page: 2/15

# **Table of Contents**

Ι.	INI	TRODUCTION	4
2.		07B CCL AUDIT	
	D.0 2.1	ACC CCL	
	2.1 2.1.		
	2.1.		
	2.1. 2.2	BIE CCL	
	2.2. 2.2.		
	2.2.		
	2.2.	•	
	2.2.		
	2.2.		
	2.2. 2.3	DATATYPES	
	2.3 2.3.		
	2.3.	.1 Generai remarks	α
3.	RSI	M	7
	3.1	MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET (MSDS) INFORMATION	7
	3.1	1.1 D.07B / RSM Differences	
	3.1	1.2 D.07B Incorrect Definitions	8
	3.1	1.3 Conceptual Data Model Specific Inconsistencies	
	3.1	1.4 Canonical Data Model Specific Inconsistencies	
4.	D.0	07B SCHEMAS	9
	4.1	RELATIVE URLS	9
	4.2	CODE LISTS	9
	4.2.	2.1 Duplicate or missing codes	9
	4.2.	2.2 Incorrect descriptions	9
	4.2.	2.3 Code list changes	9
	4.2.	2.4 Codelist documentation	
	4.2.	2.5 Incorrect URNs	10
	4.2.	2.6 Use of empty elements	
	4.3	SCHEMA ISSUES	11
	4.4	DIFFERENCES BETWEEN D.07B CCL AND D.07B SCHEMA	11
	4.5	Issues with the QDTs	12
	4.5.	5.1 Missing second qualifier term in schema	
	4.5.	5.2 Incorrect Version ID	12
	4.5.	5.3 Restricted Code Sets	12
	4.5.	5.4 Missing Attributes	
	4.5.	5.5 Attribute Differences	13
5.	CO	OMPATIBILITY ISSUE	1.4
J.	CO.	/!!! / 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17

11 August 2008		AUDIT REPORT	Page: 3/15
			<u></u>
6.	LIST OF OUTSTANDING	ISSUES	15

Page: 4/15

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### 1. Introduction

We are pleased to announce that the D07B Core Component Library directory has been produced in compliance with existing procedures and we consider it satisfactory for implementation.

#### 2. D.07B CCL audit

#### 2.1 ACC CCL

#### **2.1.1** Changes between **D.07A** & **D.07B**

5 inconsistencies were identified and corrected.

## 2.1.2 Duplicate UIDs / DENS / Definitions

No duplicate entries were detected.

#### 2.2 BIE CCL

#### 2.2.1 Changes between D.07A & D.07B

5 inconsistencies were identified and corrected. A significant inconstancy concerned the changing of an already assigned UID.

#### 2.2.2 Duplicate UIDs

As noted in 3.1 above, the following duplicate UID entries were detected:

UN01002092	Unstructured_ Address. Details	
UN01002092	Billing_ Payment. Identified. Trade_ Payment Means	ASBIE

#### 2.2.3 Duplicate DENs / Duplicate Definitions

No duplicate DENs or Definitions were detected.

Page: 5/15

#### 2.2.4 Qualifier Terms in Definitions

In respect of Rule B14.

[B14] The definition of a Basic Business Information Entity shall use a structure that is based on the existence of the Object Class Term, the Property Term, and the Representation Term, and enhanced by business related Qualifier Terms.

UID	D.07B Name	Audit Comments
UN01002104	Unstructured_ Address.	The definition:
	Country Sub-Division Name. Text	"A name, expressed as text, of the sub- division of a country for this address."
		should include the qualifier term as for the other BBIEs in the ABIE UN01002092 (Unstructured_ Address. Details)
		6NOV07: corrected the definition, put CHG in column A.
UN01002092	Billing_ Payment. Identified.	The definition:
	Trade_ Payment Means	"A payment means identified for this payment."
		should include the qualifier terms as for the other ASBIE in the ABIE UN01001378 (Billing_ Payment. Details)
		6NOV07: Corrected definition, put CHG in column A

#### 2.2.5 Inconsistencies between ACC and ABIE entries

No equivalent BCC exists in ACC UN00001604 (Registry Product. Details) for BBIE UN01002406 (Recorded\_ Registry Product. Property. Identifier). Please review and correct.

ACC			
ADD	UN00001604	Registry Product. Details	
ADD	UN00001605	Registry Product. Product. Identifier	
ADD	UN00001606	Registry Product. Product Classification. Code	
ADD	UN00001607	Registry Product. Data Source. Identifier	
ADD	UN00001608	Registry Product. Country. Identifier	
ADD	UN00001609	Registry Product. Country Sub-Division. Identifier	

ABIE		
ADD	UN01002403	Recorded_ Registry Product. Details
ADD	UN01002404	Recorded_ Registry Product. Product Classification. Code
ADD	UN01002405	Recorded_ Registry Product. Data Source. Identifier
ADD	UN01002406	Recorded_ Registry Product. Property. Identifier
ADD	UN01002407	Recorded_ Registry Product. Target Market_ Country. Identifier
ADD	UN01002408	Recorded_ Registry Product. Target Market_ Country Sub-Division. Identifier

\_\_\_\_\_

# 2.3 DataTypes

# 2.3.1 General remarks

D.07B Code List Version Identifiers to be updated by ICG/ATG, as appropriate.

\_\_\_\_\_

# 3. RSM

# 3.1 Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) Information

Document: MSDS\_RSM\_11 Dec2007.doc

# 3.1.1 D.07B / RSM Differences

UID	D.07B DEN	RSM DEN (Embedded XLS) / Data Model
UN01002211	MSDS_ Regulated Goods. FCS_ Identification. Identifier	MSDS_ Regulated Goods. Federal Supply Class_ Identification. Identifier
		Corrected
UN01002214	MSDS_ Regulated Goods. LIIN_ Identification. Identifier	MSDS_ Regulated Goods. Local Item Identification Number_ Identification. Identifier
		Corrected
UN01002213	MSDS_ Regulated Goods. NIIN_ Identification. Identifier	MSDS_ Regulated Goods. National Item Identification Number_ Identification. Identifier
		Corrected
	TBG17: Added new BBIE.	Product Information_ Contact. Details
	In the RSM conceptual model this attribute is still shown belonging to the	Telecommunication_ Communication. Special Device Type. Text
	class "Product Information_ Contact. Details" rather than the class "Telecommunication_ Communication. Details".	Corrected
	Embedded BIE XLS also needs to be corrected to reflect above.	
	TBG1: Corrected the imbedded XLS.	
	TBG17: RSM changed to match CCL.	Responsible Party_ Contact. Details
	In the RSM conceptual model these	Responsible_ Party. Organization_ Name. Text
	attributes are still incorrectly shown as belonging to the class "Responsible Party_ Contact. Details". Please note,	Responsible_ Party. Subordinate Organization_ Name. Text
	the same elements are correctly	Responsible_ Party. Person_ Name. Text
	shown as belonging to the class "Responsible_ Party. Details".	Corrected
	In the embedded BIE XLS the ABIE "Responsible Party_ Contact. Details" does not appear. The ABIE "Responsible_ Party. Details" appears twice (cell 263 & cell 271).	
	CCL appears to be correct, RSM needs to be adjusted.	
	TBG1: Corrected the RSM and updated the Message Assembly spreadsheet.	

Page: 8/15

#### 3.1.2 D.07B Incorrect Definitions

UID	D.07B DEN	D.07B / RSM Definition)	
UN01002393	Volatile Organic Compound_ Goods	D.07B:	
	Characteristic. Volume. Measure	The measure of the molecular weight of this	
	Corrected	volatile organic compound goods characteristic.	
		RSM:	
		The measure of the volume of this volatile organic compound goods characteristic.	

#### 3.1.3 Conceptual Data Model Specific Inconsistencies

Class	Attribute / Association	Audit Comments
Responsible Party_ Contact. Details	Telephone. Telecommunication_ Communication	Association "Telephone" is repeated in model, one should be labelled "Emergency Telephone"  Corrected
Distinct_ Chemical. Details	Distinct. Toxicological_ Hazardous Material	Association specified as "Distinct" in model, implemented as "Applicable"  Corrected
Hazardous_ Goods Characteristic. Details	Specified. Vapour Density Range_ Measurement	Cardinality specified as "0n" in model, implemented as "01"  Corrected

#### 3.1.4 Canonical Data Model Specific Inconsistencies

Canonical Data Model was missing from the 'MSDS\_RSM\_10Oct2007.doc" and new in the "MSDS\_RSM\_30Nov2007.doc" RSM.

The MSDS Canonical Data Model has number of deficiencies, in particular:

- Class names should correspond to D.07B ABIE names. E.g.
   Class "Material Safety Data Sheet\_ Product Details" should be "MSDS\_ Regulated Goods. Details", etc
- 2. Similarly, Class attribute names should correspond to D.07B BBIE names.
- Similarly, Class associations should be labelled and correspond to D.07B ASBIE names
- 4. Cardinality should be shown

The MSDS Conceptual Data Model currently better reflects the above, however it does not show at the root level, the required XML message assembly (as illustrated in the new MSDS Canonical Data Model). MSDS Canonical Data Model needs to be reworked to take account of the above.

Corrected

Page: 9/15

#### 4. D.07B Schemas

#### 4.1 Relative URLs

- 1. There were a number of relative URLs used in the UDT XSD instead of the absolute ones which were corrected.
- 2. The CII schema also had relative URL addresses which are in conflict with the NDR and were corrected.

#### 4.2 Code lists

#### 4.2.1 Duplicate or missing codes

There are duplicate codes in the MimeMedia codelist which were removed.

#### **4.2.2** Incorrect descriptions

There are incorrect descriptions in the mimemedia codelist. For example the code "application/activemessage" has the definition "Shapiro" which is incorrect as this refers to "Ehud Shapiro" which the name of the person that introduced the subtype code. These descriptions should be removed and replaced with correct definitions since they are not semantically correct.

ATG has indicated that it "does not see it as its task to evaluate the quality of the data, but assumes this to be the responsibility of the maintenance agency of the code list in question. In this particular case the code list in question is maintained outside of UN/CEFACT, i.e. UN/CEFACT has no control or influence on its content. ATG2 does take responsibility for ensuring that the XML representation of the code list carries the required information according to UN/CEFACT rules. It is our understanding that UN/CEFACT policy requires any code entry to have a definition. The XML NDR thus mandates this requirement."

**Issue 1:** The ICG considers descriptions to codes which have no relevance with the definition should not be allowed. It consequently considers it an issue that in the current mimemedia codelist such a situation exists. This has to be resolved and suggests that perhaps a health warning should be added indicating that the description is incorrect.

#### 4.2.3 Code list changes

 The addition of codes to a codelist is considered a minor change when this should be considered as a major change due to the fact that the codelist is not the same. The NDR is inconsistent in this respect as it considers a change to an enumeration (which signifies the change of a code, i.e. a new code) as a major change and it considers the addition as a minor change. If a new code is used backwards compatibility cannot be respected.
 No change has been applied by ATG who consider this to be outside the scope of the audit. Page: 10/15

Issue 2: The ICG considers that the allocation of a minor/major change indication to a codelist is inconsistently addressed in the NDR. A change to a codelist is considered a minor change whereas a change to an enumeration is considered a major change. Since an enumeration is merely a codelist embedded in a schema, both types of change should be treated in the same manner. The ICG considers this to be an issue that should be resolved with the evolution of the NDR.

2. The UNECE DutyTaxFeeTypeCode D07B.xsd does not correspond with UNCL D.07B which has a new code: AE, VAT Reverse change. The code has been added

#### 4.2.4 Codelist documentation

The recommendations have a 6 just before the word "recommendation" in the documentation title of the schema (i.e. 6Recommendation). This is also true for the documentation of all the other codes (i.e. 63055). In the interests of clarity it would be preferable to distinguish the 6 from the codelist source with a hypen. i.e. 6-recommendation 20 or 6-3055. This would improve readability and

Issue 3: The ICG considers the naming convention for codelists as currently defined in the NDR (R34) should be improved through the separation of the number from the element tag by a hypen.

#### 4.2.5 Incorrect URNs

comprehension.

Certain URNs in the codelists do not respect NDR rule 43 which states that the namespace should be of the form

"urn:un:unece:uncefact:<schematype>:standard:<name>:<major> ". For example in the case of "UNECE CharacterSetEncodingCode 40007.xsd" there is a URN with "urn:un:unece:uncefact:codelist:standard:6:0133:40007" which is not compliant. This is the case for the majority, if not all the codelists. These have been corrected.

#### 4.2.6 Use of empty elements

All the codelists make use of an empty element which is not in compliance of the NDR rule 74.

ATG disagrees with this statement indicating "that the sentence above the rule states that "Elements are declared for the document level business information payload, ABIEs, BBIEs, and ASBIEs."". It also states that "We do however also recognize that there will always be a need to interpret their applicability based on context. Rule 74 is clearly aimed at instance documents and the code list schemas are not designed for this purpose". However, ICG refers to the list of rules defined in "Appendix H. Naming & Design Rules List" of the NDR where no such sentence exists. The appendix in question implies that the rule in question shall be respected.

Page: 11/15

**Issue 4:** The ICG considers the rules as defined in appendix H of the NDR should be standalone and respected. Any such rules that require interpretation should be modified to eliminate the requirement for interpretation.

#### 4.3 Schema issues

- 1. The Schema dates have an incorrect period just after the day number. i.e. "8. April 2008" which should be "8 April 2008". This has been corrected.
- 2. The CII draft schema has changed its schema version from "1.0" to "2.0 RC" again with no apparent reason.

ATG considers that such a change is necessary since a new ABIE library is used even though there was no change to the ABIEs in the schema in question. ATG also indicates that "An import of a new xsd-file with a new namespace clearly represents a change in that an instance document governed by D07A will not be valid under a D07B schema. The agreement is recorded in the ATG2 minutes from the Mexico Forum" This implies that all schema releases will be systematically considered as major changes with every release of the ABIE library even though nothing has changed in the schema.

**Issue 5:** The ICG wishes to indicate that changes made to the way published libraries are handled must be approved by all parties and publically documented. Internal group minutes are not a vector for public approval.

**Issue 6:** The ICG believes that the release of a schema which is semantically no different from the previous release should have the same version information.

- 3. The CII schema does not respect the documentation and structuring rules.

  No change has been made since ATG felt that this was a substancial change and that it had been agreed between "TBG, ICG and ATG no substantial changes will be done to the schema while it is in Release Candidate status".
  - The ICg believes that the schemas produced in a given release should be consistent throughout. The ATG changed the schema release format with this release and should have done so consistently.
  - **Issue 7:** The ICG believes that all schemas within a given release should have the same basic format irrespectively of status of the schema. There is currently a discrepancy between the release candidate and the standard schemas.
- 4. The change of the schema version from 1.x to 2.0 is inconsistent with the CCTS version which remains at 1.x. There is no apparent justification for the change. ATG maintains that the change in namespace justifies the change in version irrespective of whether or not the schema has semantically changed. This problem is covered in *Issue 6* above.

#### 4.4 Differences between D.07B CCL and D.07B Schema

A Capatilisation difference appears in the use of the term "In All contexts". In the CCI library the terms appears as "In All contexts" and in the D.07B schema file this appears as "IN All Contexts". Examples of these differences can be found in UN01001252, ABIE in ProductContextValue and IndustryContextValue; UN01000166 ASBIE in

Page: 12/15

\_\_\_\_\_

GeopoliticalOrRegionContextValue or UN01000084 BBIE in

SystemCapabilitiesContextValue.

Whilst this is not a serious problem it does indicate an incompatibility in the extraction tools used to take the CCTS information from the CCL. A review should be undertaken to establish the reason for such a difference.

This has noted for change in the D.08A release since it requires a change to the CCL. There is no change in the D.07B release.

#### 4.5 Issues with the QDTs

#### 4.5.1 Missing second qualifier term in schema

The following were missing qualifier terms and have subsequently been corrected:

1. Billing\_Document\_Code. Type

UN02000002 (Billing\_ Document\_ Code. Type)

Schema: <ccts:DataTypeQualifierTerm>Billing</ccts:DataTypeQualifierTerm>

CCL: Billing\_ Document

2. Payment Terms Event\_ Time Reference\_ Code. Type

UN02000008 (Payment Terms Event\_ Time Reference\_ Code. Type)

Schema: <ccts:DataTypeQualifierTerm>Payment Terms

Event</ccts:DataTypeQualifierTerm>

CCL: Payment Terms Event\_ Time Reference

#### 4.5.2 Incorrect Version ID

The following was missing a version identification and has subsequently been corrected:

1. Payment Terms\_ Identifier. Type

UN02000012 (Payment Terms\_ Identifier. Type)

Schema: <xsd:attribute name="schemeVersionID" type="xsd:token" use="optional"

fixed="D07A">

CCL: D07B

#### 4.5.3 Restricted Code Sets

Note that for restricted code sets, the Code List. Identifier has been modified with a "subset" extension to further identify the particular restricted code set. No clear documentation is available to clearly explain this rule.

**Issue 8:** The ICG believes that clear public documentation should be available to explain the rules for the use of "subset" information in a restricted codelist.

Page: 13/15

Some examples of such restrictions:

1. Billing\_ Document\_ Code. Type

UN02000002 (Billing Document Code. Type)

Schema: <xsd:attribute name="listID" type="xsd:token" use="optional"

fixed="1001\_Billing Document">

CCL: 1001

2. Payment Terms Event\_ Time Reference\_ Code. Type

UN02000008 (Payment Terms Event Time Reference Code. Type)

Schema: <xsd:attribute name="listID" type="xsd:token" use="optional"

fixed="2475\_Payment Terms Event">

CCL: 2475

3. Acknowledgement\_Code. Type

UN02000020 (Acknowledgement\_ Code. Type)

Schema: <xsd:attribute name="listID" type="xsd:token" use="optional"

fixed="1225\_Acknowledgement">

CCL: 1225

#### 4.5.4 Missing Attributes

The following types are missing the mandatory supplementary component that is required to be compliant with CCTS 2.01. ATG is of the opinion that this requirement does not concern the XML implementation since it can either be deduced through a comment in the data type catalogue such as "default is current version of UN/ECE Rec 20" or that "In this syntax implementation the code list version is enforced through the namespace of the code lists".

**Issue 9:** The ICG believes that the CCTS rules should be explicitly respected in a syntax implementation. The use of a note for example does not permit automatic validation of the rule. In addition it is not possible in the case of a note defaulting to a given codelist to introduce an alternative possibility.

Examples of the type at issue:

- 1. Duration\_ Measure. Type
  - UN02000026 (Duration\_ Measure. Type)
  - SC Attribute "Measure Unit. Code List Version. Identifier" missing in QDT schema.
- 2. Date Mandatory\_ Date Time. Type

UN02000019 (Date Mandatory\_ Date Time. Type)

SC Attribute "Date Time. Format. Text" missing in QDT schema

#### 4.5.5 Attribute Differences

The following attribute differences have been identified and subsequently corrected:

1. Country Identifier. Type

UN02000003 (Country\_Identifier. Type) - Identification Scheme Agency.

Identifier

Schema: <ccts:Definition>The identification of the agency that maintains the identification scheme.</ccts:Definition>

Page: 14/15

CCL: The agency that maintains the identification scheme.

2. Duration\_ Measure. Type

UN02000026 (Duration\_ Measure. Type)

Schema: <ccts:Definition>The type of unit of measure.</ccts:Definition>

CCL: The type of unit of measure. Reference UN/ECE Rec.20.

### 5. Compatibility issue

The UDT has includes 3 attribute name changes (Currency Code, Language code and file name) which makes the current schemas neither backwards nor upwards compatible. The ICG considers this to be a serious modification which is indicated in no current documentation and which breaks a basic rule of compatibility for little or no justification.

#### 1. Currency Code

D.07A: <xsd:attribute name="currencyCode" type="clm5ISO42173A:ISO3AlphaCurrencyCodeContentType" use="optional">

D.07B: <xsd:attribute name="currencyID"

type="clm5ISO42173A:ISO3AlphaCurrencyCodeContentType" use="optional">
ATG2 response: The UDTB schema is based on the latest approved version of the Data Type Catalogue for CCTS 2.01 in which the Supplementary Component is named "Amount Currency. Identifier".

#### 2. fileName

D.07A: <xsd:attribute name="fileName" type="xsd:string" use="optional"> D.07B: <xsd:attribute name="filename" type="xsd:string" use="optional"> ATG2 response: This change was implemented to correct an error in D07A. The LCC convention of fileName implies separate words. Word in catalogue is Filename as single word.

#### 3. LanguageCode

D.07A: <xsd:attribute name="languageCode" type="xsd:language" use="optional">

D.07B: <xsd:attribute name="languageID" type="xsd:language" use="optional"> ATG2 response: The UDTB schema is based on the latest approved version of the Data Type Catalogue for CCTS 2.01 in which the Supplementary Component is named "Language. Identifier".

**Issue 10:** The ICG believes that changing the name of an attribute changes the semantics and consequently creates a serious compatibility issue. It is not possible to simply change a published name without providing initially a health warning and providing existing users with sufficient time to react to the proposed change.

Page: 15/15

\_\_\_\_\_

# 6. List of outstanding issues

**Issue 1:** The ICG considers descriptions to codes which have no relevance with the definition should not be allowed. It consequently considers it an issue that in the current mimemedia codelist such a situation exists. This has to be resolved and suggests that perhaps a health warning should be added indicating that the description is incorrect. **Issue 2:** The ICG considers that the allocation of a minor/major change indication to a codelist is inconsistently addressed in the NDR. A change to a codelist is considered a minor change whereas a change to an enumeration is considered a major change. Since

codelist is inconsistently addressed in the NDR. A change to a codelist is considered a minor change whereas a change to an enumeration is considered a major change. Since an enumeration is merely a codelist embedded in a schema, both types of change should be treated in the same manner. The ICG considers this to be an issue that should be resolved with the evolution of the NDR.

**Issue 3:** The ICG considers the naming convention for codelists as currently defined in the NDR (R34) should be improved through the separation of the number from the element tag by a hypen.

**Issue 4:** The ICG considers the rules as defined in appendix H of the NDR should be standalone and respected. Any such rules that require interpretation should be modified to eliminate the requirement for interpretation.

**Issue 5:** The ICG wishes to indicate that changes made to the way published libraries are handled must be approved by all parties and publically documented. Internal group minutes are not a vector for public approval.

**Issue 6:** The ICG believes that the release of a schema which is semantically no different from the previous release should have the same version information.

**Issue 7:** The ICG believes that all schemas within a given release should have the same basic format irrespectively of status of the schema. There is currently a discrepancy between the release candidate and the standard schemas.

**Issue 8:** The ICG believes that clear public documentation should be available to explain the rules for the use of "subset" information in a restricted codelist.

**Issue 9:** The ICG believes that the CCTS rules should be explicitly respected in a syntax implementation. The use of a note for example does not permit automatic validation of the rule. In addition it is not possible in the case of a note defaulting to a given codelist to introduce an alternative possibility.

**Issue 10:** The ICG believes that changing the name of an attribute changes the semantics and consequently creates a serious compatibility issue. It is not possible to simply change a published name without providing initially a health warning and providing existing users with sufficient time to react to the proposed change.