Report of the Group of Experts on Benchmarking Transport Infrastructure Construction Costs

Mr. Roel Janssens, Secretary WP.5/GE.4 UNECE Sustainable Transport Division GE.4 10th Session, Geneva, 31 January 2020



Agenda item 4 - Questionnaires



Questionnaire on transport infrastructure costs of intermodal terminals

Feed-back from Czechia:

- Railway dimension missing: e.g. railway track (rails, sleepers, fastenings), switches, track substructure, level crossing, singalling and control systems etc.
- Clarification on unit costs
- Some terminology needs to be explained/ re-defined
- Explanation of abbreviations
- Specification of target audience of the questionnaire





Use of existing statistical UN tools and methodologies

STEP 1 - Marketprice exchange rates:

https://w3.unece.org/PXWeb2015/pxweb/en/STAT/STAT__20-ME__6-MEER/30_en_MECCExchPPPsNEWY_r.px/.

STEP 2 – Use of GDP deflators:

https://unstats.un.org/unsd/amaapi/api/file/15

Socio-economic data to be provided by UNECE statistics!

Agenda item 5 – Structure of the final report



CHAPTER 1 – Introduction - setting the scene: Mandate of the Group; Purpose of the report, its strengths and weaknesses, data collection challenges (Secretariat)

CHAPTER 2 – Benchmarking of transport infrastructure construction costs, why does it matter?

- (a) Literature review: definitions, concepts and methodologies (?)
- (b) Overview of international and national experience and practices (Informal document no. 1)
- (c) Overview of models and methodologies (?)

Agenda item 5 – Structure of the final report



CHAPTER 3 – Glossary on construction costs

- (a) Introduction, challenges and concerns (?)
- (b) Road (sub-divided by construction, maintenance and operations)
- (c) Rail (sub-divided by construction, maintenance and operations)
- (d) Inland waterways, inter-modal terminals, ports (sub-divided by construction, maintenance and operations)

CHAPTER 4 – Benchmarking transport infrastructure costs

- (a) Review of methodology questionnaires (in annexes), explain the normalization approach etc. (Secretariat + GE.4)
- (b) Benchmarking database, by mode (?)
- (c) Analysis (heat maps, charts, etc.) (?)
- (d) Benefits of benchmarking data in GIS format (Secretariat)

Agenda item 5 – Structure of the final report



CHAPTER 5 – Conclusions and recommendations (GE.4)

Annexes (questionnaires), list of acronyms, reference list, list of tables, charts and figures etc.

Need for: illustrations, pictures, figures to be provided by the Group in advance!

Agenda item 5 – Proposed division of tasks



CHAPTERS	LEAD	SUPPORT
Chapter 1	Secretariat	GE.4
Chapter 2	Turkey, Russian Federation	Finland, GE.4
Chapter 3	Cyprus	GE.4
Chapter 4	Poland, Turkey	Cyprus, Finland, UIC, IRF
Chapter 5	Poland, Turkey Cyprus	Russian Federation, GE.4

Time line 2020



Feb/Mar 2020

Apr/May 2020







Assessment of data

May/ June

29 June 2020







REPORT READY



WP.5 September

Agenda item 5 – Immediate next steps/ by mid-February



- Produce questionnaire check-lists/ reach out to survey respondents, seek clarification (Turkey, Poland, Secretariat)
- Finalise IWW/ ports and inter-modal terminals questionnaires and submit through WP.3 and WP.24 (Poland, Secretariat)
- 3. Raise awareness about GE.4 achievements at the ITC, end of February, with the purpose to sensitise Governments and gather additional data (i.e. Germany, France, etc.) (Secretariat, GE.4)

Agenda item 5 – Immediate next steps/ by end of February



- 4. Finland: provide road data, provide written inputs on Finnish practice and approaches
- 5. Poland: provide road data, provide TEM data
- 6. Russian Federation: provide rail data/inputs

7. ...

8. ...