## Committee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods, and on the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals

1 July 2016

#### Sub-Committee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods

#### Forty-ninth session

Geneva, 27 June – 6 July 2016 Item 11 of the provisional agenda **Other business** 

# Summary of points agreed on concerning the work for the transport of category A class 6.2 wastes (lunchtime Working group)

### Transmitted by the expert from France

1. The current situation is not satisfactory

Although theoretically a big size packaging may be manufactured according to 6.3 this has created difficulties in practice.

It does not allow to respond to a crisis such as the Ebola outbreak as a great number of packages need to be available in a short time outside the usual market, which is not possible under these conditions.

2. Requirements specific to that case should be defined

The development of a solution for the transport of these cat A clinical wastes should not interfere with requirements for the usual transport of class 6.2 cat A, that do not currently cause any problem.

Especially a specific packing instruction could be defined.

- 3. It should be tried to approach the high level of safety provided by chapter 6.3 by referring to its performance level and design requirements when feasible.
  - (a) There was broad consensus for requirements concerning:
    - Triple packaging as defined in P620
    - Absorbent material packed with the waste allowing to consider it as a solid
    - Procedures for cleaning or disinfecting the inner packagings before placing them in the outers or intermediate.
  - (b) There was some consensus to define a performance level for the drop test to be equivalent to a 9 m drop but there was some differences in view concerning the assessment of it:
    - By performing an actual 9 m drop test

- By calculation through a mass drop height relation (a drop from 1.8 m with a higher mass would be equivalent to a 9 m drop with a lower mass) data to support this calculation shall be provided
- (c) Points to be further investigated
  - Pas fail criteria
  - Puncture test