



#### Preliminary Investigation of Johann Davidson Pulse for Biorid II Certification

#### Paul Depinet Mike Beebe

Informal Working Group Meeting for GTR7 In Tokyo Feb. 3, 2010



# Agenda

- Johan Davidsson (Chalmers) proposal
- Initial Denton Investigation
- Sled with weight package pulse
- Sled pulse with dummy
- Dummy response
- Pros and Cons of proposal
- Decision?



#### Johan Davidsson (Chalmers) proposal

Calib sled pulse (g)

 Johan proposed that a pulse closer to EuroNCAP might better sort dummy differences

Delta-V 16 kph Sled acceleration duration 90 ms Peak acceleration 10 g



## **Initial Denton Investigation**

- Denton made several energy transfer devices to see whether this pulse is feasible
- First tested on sled with weight package
  Data presented Jan. 22
- Second tested with dummy



## **Sled Pulse with Weight Package**

Proposed Sled Acceleration Corridor





## **Sled Pulse with Dummy**



## **Sled Pulse Comparison**



## **Sled Velocity Comparison**



- One Dummy Tested
- 3 tests with GBUM 2009 (Pre)
- 5 tests with Johan proposed pulse
- 4 tests with GBUM 2009 (Post)
- Look at
  - Repeatability?
  - Does Johan pulse damage dummy?































## Pros and Cons of Johan Proposal

- Pros
  - Energy levels more similar to car seat testing
    - Better discern differences between dummies?
  - Force curves with distinct peaks
    - See upper neck Fx, My & lower neck Fx, My
    - Much easier to create certification corridors



## Pros and Cons of Johan Proposal

- Cons
  - Take 2-3 months development to
    - Optimize pulse
    - Verify repeatability & reproducibility
    - Optimize dummy stop to protect dummy
    - Build upgrades for existing sleds and ship to Asia and Europe
  - Lose several months of data collection to create corridors



## Suggestions if Adopting Johan Proposal

- Continue testing as many dummies as possible with current GBUM 2009 test to
  - Identify dummies outside normal population
    - It has already shown better ability to discern dummy differences
  - Investigate why dummies are different once identified
- Continue to test effect of some tolerance issues suspected in drawings



#### Suggestions if Adopting Johan Proposal

- Once equipment is finished for new test
  - Pull and test dummies identified as different
    - From existing test
    - Car seat testing
  - Test as many dummies as possible
  - Run 2 or 3 dummies around labs for lab to lab round robin
  - Test any differences (such as jacket) identified with GBUM 2009 test



## **Decision**?



# THANK YOU for your attention

