

## Pat Swords <pat.swords.chemeng@gmail.com>

## Fw: Second Aarhus progress report by EU - IE NREAP

**Sunnyheat Ireland** <info@sunnyheat.ie>
Reply-To: Sunnyheat Ireland <info@sunnyheat.ie>
To: Pat Swords <pat.swords.chemeng@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 9, 2015 at 9:25 AM

—- Original Message -——

From: Antoinette.Long@ec.europa.eu

To: info@sunnyheat.ie

Sent: Monday, November 09, 2015 8:06 AM

Subject: RE: Second Aarhus progress report by EU - IE NREAP

Dear Mr. Greijmans,

In response to your question below, both my statement in relation to the NREAP and the attached report are correct.

My statement related to Ireland's original NREAP; the report relates to future actions to implement a decision of the Aarhus Compliance Committee.

Regards,

**Antoinette Long** 

## **LONG Antoinette**

Case handler for Ireland - infringements

## **European Commission**

**DG ENV** 

Unit D3 -

Enforcement, Cohesion Policy, Semester, Cluster 3

BU 5 00/152

B-1049 Brussels/Belgium

+32 2 296 69 65

Antoinette.long@ec.europa.eu

From: Sunnyheat Ireland [mailto:info@sunnyheat.ie]

**Sent:** Friday, October 30, 2015 2:41 PM

**To:** LONG Antoinette (ENV)

Subject: Second Aarhus progress report by EU - IE NREAP

Dear Ms. Long,

In your last email to me you've stated:

"In relation to the NREAP, the Commission has no reason to believe that insufficient public participation took place prior to the adoption of this plan."

Could you clarify which is correct, your statement, or the report attached?

Regards

Pierre Greijmans