

## Information for the attention of Implementation Committee, Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo, 1991)

To be sent through the Secretary to the Convention:

Ms. Tea Aulavuo  
United Nations Economic Commission for Europe  
Office 319, Palais des Nations,  
8-14 Avenue de la Paix, 1211 Genève 10, Switzerland  
Tel.: +41 22 917 17 23  
Fax: +41 22 917 01 07  
E-mail: [eia.conv@unece.org](mailto:eia.conv@unece.org)  
Website: [www.unece.org/env/eia](http://www.unece.org/env/eia)

Date sent to the Secretary	14.04.2017.
----------------------------	-------------

Sent by ("the source"):

Name	Rijad Tikveša
Organization	"Ekotim" - Society for protection and advancement of environment, nature and health
Postal address	Grbavička 50, 71000 Sarajevo,
Country (State)	Bosnia and Herzegovina
Telephone	+38733812515
Fax	+38733812515
E-mail	rijad@ekotim.net; ekotim@bih.net.ba
Website	www.ekotim.net

Concerning:

Party or Parties (States) of origin, under whose jurisdiction a proposed activity is envisaged to take place	Bosnia and Herzegovina
Affected Party or Parties (States), likely to be affected by the transboundary impact of a proposed activity	Croatia, Serbia
Activity (project), identified in the list of activities in Appendix I to the Convention	Art 2 of the Appendix 1 - List of Activities subject to Espoo Convention, (a) Thermal power stations and other combustion installations with a heat output of 300 megawatts or more - construction of a new thermal power plant Tuzla 7 of 450 MWe power
Likely significant adverse trans-boundary impact of the activity (project)	The government of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina is planning a new 450 MWe coal power plant in Tuzla (north-east Bosnia), only about 37 km from Croatian border, and 35 km from Serbian border, with the following trans-boundary impact:  AIR POLLUTION - through emissions of particulate matter (PM 2,5 and PM10), nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, acid gases, persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals, dioxins, mercury and CO2. PM10 and PM2.5 can be carried by air 20-200 km away from the source, posing a real threat to the neighboring areas. In Europe, in general, regional

	<p>background PM2.5 levels have a considerable trans-boundary contribution of 40-80% of the concentrations in the air (<a href="http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0006/78657/E88189.pdf">http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0006/78657/E88189.pdf</a>). According to this World Health Organization report, emissions of fine primary particles in Germany, can be traced to the Czech Republic, France and the UK, affecting PM levels in these counties.</p> <p>A more recent report "EUROPE'S DARK CLOUD - how coal burning countries are making their neighbors sick" published in June 2016, presents facts and a detailed analyses about the negative cross-border impacts of air pollution, emitted by coal-fired power plants in Europe, on human health. The document is available at: <a href="http://env-health.org/IMG/pdf/dark_cloud-full_report_final.pdf">http://env-health.org/IMG/pdf/dark_cloud-full_report_final.pdf</a></p> <p>Another potential trans-boundary risk is posed by coal ash. The waste material left after coal is burned contains arsenic, mercury, lead, and other heavy metals, many of them toxic. Toxic constituents of coal ash are picked up by wind and/or are spilling and leaching (dissolving and percolating) from disposal sites into air, land and water, posing a demonstrated acute risk of cancer and neurological effects as well as many other negative health and environmental impacts. Coal sludge and ash are often improperly disposed and consequently contaminate air, soil and surface and underground water and harm ecosystems.</p>
<p>Provisions of the Convention (Articles) compliance with which is being contested</p>	<p>Art. 2.2, 2.4, 2.6, 2.7, 2.11, Art.3.1, 3.2, Art. 4</p>
<p>Description of issue – please attach supporting information</p>	<p>Federation of BiH - Federal ministry for environment and tourism, issued the first environmental permit for planned new 450 MWe block 7 of Tuzla TPP in July 2010. In 2015, this environmental permit expired and the operator requested it's renewal. During the proces of renewal of the environmental permit, Ministry for environment and tourism received several comments from the NGOs which were in most of the cases disregarded.</p> <p>The new environmental permit was issued in July 2016 and once again did not prescribe the emission values in accordance with the IED (industrial emission directive) adopted by BiH through Energy Community Treaty. Ekotim has initiated a lawsuit against this new permit on local BiH court and set off an official complaint against this permit with the Energy Community.</p> <p>The FBiH Law on environmental protection SNFBiH, 33/03, clearly stipulates that, in case where the project can have negative trans-boundary pollution impact, the responsible ministry (In this case Federal ministry for environment and tourism) should, through relevant and responsible institutions, notify countries which could be affected by pollution from this project.</p> <p>Even though this type of plant (TPP over 300 MWt) is ranked as a possible transboundary pollution source by the ESPOO convention, the Environmental impact assesment document and the related environmental permit apsolutely disregard this fact and do not consider the posibility in a suitable manner. We consider this to be a serious violation of proper implementation of the Espoo convention and would</p>

	<p>like to point out that this could be considered as possible breach of the article 2.2. of the Convention. For this reason, our opinion is that this should in be an issue that has to be closely inspected by the Secretariat.</p> <p>According to Art. 3.1. of the Convention, Bosnia and Herzegovina was supposed to inform Croatia and Serbia, as a potentially affected parties and inform them about the EIA process by providing them appropriate information and sufficient time for feedback/reaction. Not having sent this notification, BiH/FBiH has implicitly breached this and Articles 2.4; 2.11; 3.2 as well as article 4 of the Convention. By not informing the bordering countries, therefore not giving an opportunity to the citizens of these countries to take part in the EIA process article 2.6 was breached as well.</p> <p>Documents attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Resolution on the environmental permit for block 7 TPP Tuzla, issued 18.07.2016.</li> <li>- Request for access to information sent to the Ministry of Environmental and Nature Protection of Croatia,</li> <li>- Answer from Croatian Ministry on Environment and Energy, which denies having received any notification as "affected party".</li> <li>- Request for access to information sent to the Ministry for agriculture and environmental protection of Serbia</li> <li>- Answer from Ministry for agriculture and environmental protection of Serbia</li> </ul>
--	---

*For use by secretariat:*

Reference number	EIA / IC / INFO /
Date received	
Original language of information	
Translation into English by	
Date forwarded to Committee	
Date forwarded to Party or Parties	
Remarks by secretariat	
Date first discussed by the Committee (possibly including preliminary determination of admissibility)	
Additional information requested of source	

*For use by the Committee:*

The source of the information is known and not anonymous	
The information relates to an activity listed in Appendix I to the Convention likely to have a significant adverse transboundary impact	
The information is the basis for a profound suspicion of non-compliance	
The information relates to the implementation of Convention provisions	
Committee time and resources are available	