

## 7 Improving landscape, geology and wildlife

### 7.1 Create an undulating edge between the dune and forest (but maintain existing access) through gradual, small scale changes

Agree 236

Disagree 60

No or mixed views 74

#### Comments

- Gradual and small scale please no tree stumps left better to flatten trees and leave them there.
- O.K. as long as the wildlife is not disturbed
- I see no problem with existing boundary
- If necessary
- Under question
- No large scale felling
- Don't know what you mean - (small scale changes lead to one big one)
- No improvement to landscape at all
- Leave well alone
- Leave well alone
- Ok as it is
- The current look of it is worrying!
- Gradual small changes trust has been lost re small scale actions
- Sounds good but will need lots of management to stop changes
- No change needed
- It is fine as it is and this area was the subject for a photo for a local paper and looked lovely.
- Erosion of dune south of the island causeway is very evident.
- What changes? Cutting Trees?
- Maintain Marram grasses without major change
- Why interfere with it's natural beauty and contour
- In principle I agree but storm damage over recent years suggests it may be a wasted effort.
- No large scale felling
- Already made a mess at the dunes leave them alone
- Please leave well alone we have seen changes before not working
- Not quite sure what this means?
- But decrease access to far end of Traeth Penrhos
- Leave it as it is
- More information
- Don't know enough about this aspect
- Not certain what the implication of this are.
- Don't understand question.
- If this would prevent further erosion depends on the type of " undulating edge"
- why?
- not competent to comment
- this sounds like some ambiguous suggestion to get CCW plan in by the back door - what does it mean?
- Don't understand the question
- Not convinced re validity all efforts over last 30 yrs defeated by sea and wind.
- More Info required
- Difficult to see where this would occur as dunes already close to forest. Disagree in terms of existing ecology
- Not enough info re cost and ecological impact (long term)
- Small scale changes being the operative words.
- If it projects the dune and forest, YES!
- Section D these questions are of a much more specialist nature - and need experience etc to answer.
- As long as some trees can move into the warren.

- This sounds like just another way to fell the trees
- Nature is effecting its own changes to this edge.
- As long as it's small scale.
- Methu dychmygu hyn gan fod llwybr Pen Lon yno'n barod a hwnnw'n lwybr cyhoeddus ers canrifoedd.
- Dim yn deall y cynnig
- It is lovely as it is and was the subject for a photo put in the local press so obviously others thought it looked lovely too!!
- who defines scale?
- How small is small? Word must not be too restrictive!
- Car parking space should be increased.
- with thought
- let site evolve on its own
- I would support large scale changes to the forest
- ?
- small scale' - but why change at all/
- but not to large
- only if this means no net loss of trees - this must not be a means of extending the warren plant the warren if necessary
- artificial and long term cost implications mgt.

## 7.2 Any removal of trees on the shoreline should not result in a new linear tree-line and the unique visitor experience currently provided by the access along the road to the residents car park should be maintained

Agree 318

Disagree 25

No or mixed views 39

### Comments

- It is a very useful road and could be good. Disabled access/cycle way.
- Disagree with first point agree with 2nd
- What does this entail?
- Access still for residents no increase in use
- Totally incomprehensible
- Agree with trees would prefer ??/ do not impinge on local activities
- Needs tactful eco-management
- Improve access and facilities
- Forest track to residents car park is very popular!
- 2nd point ok. Removal of trees to form a non ??? Will be followed by sand deposition, tree death to ???
- Why remove any Trees!
- Visitors and local feel strongly that this area should be left alone. They love the fact that the Forest edges the beach.
- Should be obvious!
- I agree with the first part not sure about the second.
- More trees should be planted near shore line to protect the existing landscape.
- Strongly
- Any removal of trees would be deemed environmentally unfriendly
- Selective Replanting
- NO trees should be removed, require more information
- Poor question - keep it simple
- mixed
- no strong feelings
- not competent to comment
- Keep the forest
- There should be no removal of trees unless they are dangerous.
- More information required
- ABSOLUTELY!
- As long as trees are not removed from significant lengths of the shores.
- Trees on the shoreline should not be removed.
- In recent years the shoreline trees have lost/died due to their location - now can further removal be avoided?
- Rhoi cysgod rhag yr haul yn yr haf a thorri'r gwynt/glaw drwyr gaeaf.
- Dim yn deall
- There is no need to remove any of the trees.
- Visitors and locals feel very strongly that this area should be left alone. They love the fact that the forest edges the beach. A lot have commented that it was a unique experience.
- The Corsican pine is an introduced alien species. Ecology/environment must take precedence over visitors
- Why ignore Ecologists?
- but no reason given for removal
- do not remove or interfere with tree line
- mixed
- The forest edge needs to be moved landwards significantly ( with undulating edge) A new sheltered access road could be created further inland
- removal of trees - the absolute minimum
- if you remove the trees from the shoreline this will effect the trees behind - along side this residents road causing problems and destroying that unique visitor experience!

- there should be no removal of trees along the shoreline there has already been substantial felling between the main and residents car park
- if warren is endangered by too many trees in forest then tree felling should take place
- why does residents car park exist, I've seen people camp there

### 7.3 Shift the focus of the forest landward. In principle, and subject to landowner consent, tree planting on adjacent land could enable the total area of the forest to be maintained while benefiting the warren and improve the linkage between woodland throughout Anglesey.

Agree 264

Disagree 59

No or mixed views 57

#### Comments

- The new forest would not have the same characteristics, I.e. Conifers on Sand
- Don't think F.C.W. would get much landowner consent. All for more tree planting though particularly deciduous.
- Don't Know
- Is this to compensate for loss of trees along beach edge?
- The linkage between woodlands is very important for wildlife conservation i.e. wildlife corridors
- Don't understand proposal
- Broadleaf woodland yes
- Native Trees
- Don't understand this.
- Hidden Agenda
- Clear explanation needed
- Regeneration of the forest is essential.
- The proximity of forest to beach is attractive and prevents abuse (camping, barbeques etc)
- But still emphasize coast and shoreline.
- Don't understand the question!
- Forest/Shoreline connection is important
- "Anglesey Forests" why is this not happening anyway?
- Coastal forest is rare and needed - just needs a sensible planting schedule
- This is just a crafty way to gain more dunes along the foreshore which was in the original idea. I agree with improving linkage of woodlands. To increase the size of the forest would be a good idea.
- The land behind as currently important for HARES
- By all means ????? Move focus inwards.
- If by that you mean corridors, is it the best way to do that.
- Total area of Forest should be drastically decreased
- Enlarging the forest is great but the question implies that some forest will be lost - why?
- Native Trees
- What kind of trees?
- The forest area should be decreased by making ONLY the seaward ??? Landworks. Agricultural land should not be afforested.
- More trees should be planted in the forest to replace those cut down.
- I agree with planting to link to other woodlands, but there remain good reasons for maintaining forest links to the sea front - mainly recreational.
- Providing this additional forestry in not a replacement.
- Provided this is done with indigenous species.
- Would need more information and plans of proposal.
- presumably mixed woodland would be created
- don't know
- mixed
- don't understand this one
- not competent to comment
- keep the forest and warren as is
- If the area can indeed be maintained at the current level
- Splendid!
- More information required



- Don't know if benefits to all areas then yes.
- The Warren should not be increased in size
- How far ??? Sea/wind/sand would just continue to advance inland would probably make Warren bigger - comment re linkage between woodlands seems vague.
- It is fine as it is (see previous comment)
- Mae Owie a ffermwyr cyffelyb yn pori gwartheg a defaid ar eu caeau. Rhain yn gwrteithio'r caeau a'u gwella. Gallai myw o goed ddifetha eu bywoliaeth. Methu deallt sut fuasai'n gwella'r twyni na'r cyswllt gyda choedwigoedd eraill.
- Yes expand, but the focus should remain on the whole plantation area.
- This is just a crafty way to gain more dunes along the foreshore which was the original idea one that hasn't changed. I agree with linking up woodlands across the island but the forest could be increased in size not shifted back.
- The mix of forest/dunes & make beach area!
- Good as discussed by the Habitat & species group
- A waste of money.
- need demonstration of landowner consent first total area of woodlands should be maintained
- forest & shore together are exquisite interaction
- mixed
- this will take a lot of careful thought
- a mixed woodland would be better than just conifers
- there should be no shifting of focus away from the sea but planting inland would be welcome, Inland planting is NOT an acceptable answer to felling in the current forest area
- too long a question

**7.4 The forest should be managed under 'continuous cover forestry' – this allows 'coupes' (or patches of forest) of up to 5 hectares to be felled. Deforestation of the whole forest, or felling of patches larger than 5 hectares at one time are NOT supported.**

**Agree 197**

**Disagree 79**

**No or mixed views 94**

**Comments**

- Old trees felled and replanted with deciduous to improve wildlife diversification
- I believe that we should be planting - not felling trees. Is felling necessary?
- Local with institution (if necessary)
- 5 Ha too large, would prefer 2 or 3
- 5 hectares seems a lot
- Strict Management
- Don't understand this
- Subject to re-planting felled trees
- The woodland needs to be "managed"
- Management without area being destroyed
- And re-planted a.s.a.p.
- Does this mean it's not managed this way at present
- Rob. Eco-sound
- Consider Bio mass areas connect to energy from bio gas at Gwalchmai.
- Do not understand what is meant needs to be simplified
- This seems overfell Newborough is only a plantation in forest terms
- Not sure about the size of felling 5 hectares seems a lot.
- Only when essential.
- Not sure if I have enough info to give my opinion
- Not enough knowledge to comment
- So long as more trees are planted instead.
- Why such a large area 5 Hectares?
- More felling in years to come. NO FOREST!
- I don't understand enough to comment
- Go with the specialized opinion
- The forest has developed an ecostructure large scale (5 h is large scale) felling disrupts this.
- Would the wildlife suffer
- Don't know enough but the present trees some need to be cut
- Not sure I understand the question!
- Small area of current Forest would be altered dramatically with felling of areas of 5 hectares!
- Please don't cut more trees unless they are replaced.
- Very strongly wildlife habitat.
- Fashionable but not the only option
- Again, do not understand the implications of this.
- No tree felling other than to benefit existing.
- Not sure of implications.
- As long as the canopy remains closed. Over thinning will reduce habitat quality for Red Squirrels.
- Provided it is re-planted.
- really important to do this
- one tree to be planted for every one felled
- 5 hectares is too big
- not competent to comment
- is this the same as happens now?
- Providing canopy continuity is also maintained in stranding crops.
- Defer to experts here
- Money from this spent on Llanddwyn
- More information required
- As the trees are crops, coupes would help sustain permanent small scale felling.
- I do not understand the implications of this.

- Need more info first.
- Unsure if this management practice is sustainable?
- As long as coupes are not contiguous - say 15 year gap.
- Once again you want to remove, replace, reduce the forest.
- As long as continuously forested area is maintained, I.e. with replanting.
- I don't know enough about this to comment
- As long as replanting occurs.
- Os mae coed newydd yn cael ei plannu I gymeryd y lle or 5 hectar sydd yn cael ei torri dwy'n cytuno.
- Credu fod torri'r 'clytiau' yn bodoli ond **cofio** ail blannu y clytiau moel.
- Dim digon o wybodaeth na dealltwriaeth gennyf i roi barn
- 5 hectares seems a big area but if that is the min area for impractical reasons, so be it
- This is now Assembly Policy
- Not sure about the size of felling 5 hectares seems a lot.
- Replanting a must! If felling permitted
- I don't agree with felling the trees
- Is this a definition of continuous cover forestry? If not - why as much as 5 hectares
- mixed
- mixed
- I don't agree with tree felling
- do not agree with felling at all, unless ?????
- this is a forestry comm. Decision
- I'm not sure how this varies from what has been happening till now
- mixed
- the nature of the felling should reflect the need to create more and better dune habitat as quickly as possible
- five hectares is one hell of an area to be felled!
- the forest has to be managed in a sensible way
- continuous forestry - yes! But 5 hectares coupes seems excessive this is clear feeling an a small scale
- continuous cover does not allow clearance of large coupes, this is clear felling/the canopy should remain more or less intact!
- Definitely.



## 7.5 Forest glades, which can provide good habitat for wildlife that live at the edges of forests, should be allowed to regenerate over time - either naturally or through replanting.

Agree 233

Disagree 90

No or mixed views 52

### Comments

- Some glades could be allowed to regenerate for example, Birch is good for insects and Fungi
- As an alternative to felling large areas at any one time no stumps to be left stumps chipped and left to stabilise dunes, reduce blowing sand.
- Allow regeneration where no clashes with species
- Surely glades will come to go with continuous cover forestry (above)?
- They should be allowed to re-generate
- Not sure that I understand this
- Glades, as opposed to clearings, may be permanent or temporary, the important character is that there is always a good representation throughout the forest.
- Encourage Native Woodland
- Natural regeneration should be allowed
- Are Glades not natural?
- National not managed too much
- Why?
- Why not?
- Would approve of regeneration
- Managed habitat.
- Who will maintain this management to ensure no overfell or loss of existing tree lines.
- Create new glades and let old ones develop to diversify environment.
- Do not Understand
- I think there should be glades in the forest proper as well. There used to be glades like that years ago.
- Not very well worked out we would be in favour of maintaining forest glades as wild life habitats.
- A good example is the long glade above the old wildlife???????????
- Surely this is normal
- I assume this means kept as glades
- No idea
- If the forest is left to mature naturally glades will appear. If felling and other intrusive management is allowed then artificial protection of glades will be needed.
- Not too many glades
- Forest needs to be left, only thinned as required
- Encourage Native Woodland
- Don't understand
- I agree that there should be glades, but these will be continually created by the cutting of 5ha coupes. So I see no need for permanent glades. It will in any case be very expensive to prevent natural regeneration.
- Would need more information.
- I am thrilled to see the development of these due to excellent minibus ride and driver info on Sept 17th
- forest glades (better than bramble)
- not competent to comment
- without further explanation of long term impact on the forest this cannot be a decision the public can make
- Defer to experts here.
- More information required
- Selecting areas of natural regeneration should be allowed.
- Don't know what this involves/means.
- Surely a good thing?
- Surely they should be allowed to regenerate but should be monitored.
- What is a glade?
- HERE WE GO AGAIN!
- I.e. Be maintained as forest glades.
- Don't understand, ambiguous? Glades are good!

- Increase Biodiversity
- Let nature look after it's self, it knows best
- Dwi yn cytuno efo gadael llennyrch coedwig os ydy nhw yn cael ei gadael fel y mae nhw rwan ond toes ddim eisiau creu mwy.
- Tydi'r cwestiwn yn Saesneg ddim yn darllen fel yr un Cymraeg!
- A gadael I goed naturiol Cymreig - e.e. derw, coed cyll- mes a chnau I'r wiwerod coch dyfu yno!!
- They **should** be allowed to regenerate
- There should also be glades inside the forest like there used to be years ago
- Naturally
- Not sure how to interpret this statement it is confusing Forest glades are good therefore should be encouraged.
- let the forest edges regenerate
- sylwer ar y fersiwn saesneg ystyr gwahaul 'not'
- why not?
- is 'no change' practicable even if desirable
- don't try to dictate habitat formation
- this question doesn't seem to mean the same in English as it does here!!!
- but neither should extra glades be added by reducing the forest area
- why not?
- the existing glades: agree, long established with diversity of species should be maintained
- open glades welcome
- definitely

## 7.6 At least 10% of the forest should be managed as a natural reserve (i.e. neither thinned nor felled and fallen trees are left where they are). The reserve should be in one block.

Agree 290

Disagree 33

No or mixed views 55

### Comments

- I think it should be about 30% to maintain rare fungi
- I am not sufficiently well informed to comment
- But-? More than 10% would this be better as mixed forest? Also, need corridors from this to allow wildlife to move to different areas. Important to education people become sensitive and more aware of the natural world. Essential
- Why not more than 10%
- Yes, not enough wild places are around
- This would be wonderful! Tidiness is not compatible with a flourishing and stable ecosystem
- A larger % should be considered
- What sort of Nature Reserve?
- Keep more area and have suitable access for all
- Should all be managed
- Don't know enough about it.
- Interpretive centre
- This defeats the object of natural reserve!!
- Plant non native trees in these areas
- Some areas no public access!!!
- Rotting timbers and Owl and Woodpecker enhancement.
- Why not leave it all natural.
- Suggest 15 to 20%
- Should be more than 10%
- All areas should be maintained - Safety and Legal Matters
- Or on more than one site in the Forest.
- Absolutely
- At least 40% or more
- At least - more really
- Make it a very large block much more than 10%
- Should be greater than 10%
- Maybe
- **But** much more than 10%
- How can you do that not in a block
- Should be more like 25%
- Should be more than 10%
- More than 10% maybe 40% or 50% should be untouched
- all left
- It would very much depend which 10% what and what it's current state is
- with access to public
- Wildlife benefit
- at least 10% 50% would be better
- not competent to comment
- more than one block
- More than 10%
- Why one block?
- 10% seems insufficient and why only one block??
- More than 10% perhaps say 25%?
- Agree with natural reserve but how or where this should occur needs to be assessed.
- At least!
- Agree, but not sure if one block should be in "Pockets"
- The whole forest should be treated in this way.
- Would public access be by foot only (or wheelchair) no roads
- See previous comment
- Dwi ddim yn deallt y cwstiwn ydi rheoli o leiaf 10% yn cuddio y ffaith na mond 10% or goedwig fydd yn

para ar ddiwedd y dydd. Os hyn dwi ddim yn cytuno

- Iawn on gadael I goed naturiol gymeryd drosodd
- More than 10% if possible.
- Protect Red Squirrels
- Definitely in one block
- at least 50%
- why only 10%?
- for short term problems
- one section of forest pretty wild already

- more than 10%
- don't create nature reserves it encourages insane conservationists like .....
- DIM YN CYTUNO A HYN
- towards the back of the site
- why not a higher %?
- make it 90%
- 10% is a conservative figure - more would be better
- if this is appropriate
- maybe more than 10%

## 7.7 Investigate the possibility of developing a geological trail, including sand dune landforms and rocks which are exposed on the shore and in the rocky ridge within the forest

Agree 292

Disagree 39

No or mixed views 46

### Comments

- Don't think there is enough geological interest
- Enough geological areas there already why spoil it **Man Made again**
- These exist and only need ???  
Walking trail only (non-invasive) - led by conservationists
- Agree to a larger percentage for wildlife to have a better deal
- Use Bangor University students to assist in development
- Very educational idea!
- Guided trail in season, slide show, video
- Would like more information
- Not required already exists.
- Easy to set up now leaflet to follow?
- Especially at the Malltraeth side of the Bay - Superb Geology
- Could be of Interest
- It was thought in the Habitats and Species group that a set trail might cause rock to be chipped off by the general public thereby causing irretrievable damage.
- Yes in the new, small visitor centre have more information.
- Enough tracks already
- To be visited by foot only. Another car park??
- On a look NOT touching basis
- Maybe
- This is a resource that is currently poorly exploited
- Put trail signs we have had a lot of walkers
- Too much foot fall damages more
- Trails with associated interpretation are good.
- Make it interactive and fun
- possibly in harmony with the local Geological Society
- not competent to comment
- Ecology based on geology and could allow long term monitoring to occur.
- As long as erosion can be managed/prevented.
- Educational aspects!
- Retain forest as it is
- Fits in with visitor centre
- Allai ddim gweld problem gyda hyn.
- It was thought by the Habitat & species group that by creating a trail this would encourage people to break off rock for souvenirs, not thought to be a good idea
- what control of damage/erosion?
- mixed, any trail must be well defined, environmentally disposed and well policed
- Very interesting for some.
- Wasted
- too much management will ruin the area
- don't create anymore urbanisation
- Newborough has some outstanding geological features
- but why just geological under the educational remit ecological shoreline forms and habitats are equally important
- any insight to the way the area was formed is good
- again please avoid too much intervention
- prefer natural state



## 7.8 Explore the potential for the forest as a 'carbon sink' or carbon fixing initiative (to help reduce climate change)

Agree 264

Disagree 34

No or mixed views 57

### Comments

- Good idea
- I am still not clear why the interest in removal of trees from the shoreline
- Don't know
- Not sure what this would entail. The forest is already a carbon reserve/sink.
- Not familiar with the terminology so cannot comment
- With Conifers!!
- Under a regime of climate change and uncertain funding / policy, the priority should be to provide 'wildlife opportunity', which should not be jeopardized by unsympathetic development e.g. for access or tourism, or by any undue weight being given to any one aspect at the expense of others.
- The more trees the better
- Include re-cycle bins in the car park by the old toilet block, eliminating the rubbish build up
- Don't understand this.
- Hidden Agenda
- A forest already is!
- Green initiatives need to be introduced slowly
- What does this mean?
- Not sure what it means but it sounds good.
- Explain climate change etc and how to reduce impact
- What does that mean
- Don't trees do this anyway?
- About time why delay?
- Is it not already, naturally? Co2 in. O2 out?
- Not sure what this entails for working in Forest.
- Depending upon what building work took place
- I don't understand enough to comment on jargon
- Get rid of the forest.
- It is just being there - do you mean use this to raise funds - Carbon offsetting etc
- What is that?
- Would like to know more before agreeing.
- Doubtful of its contribution to reduce the CO2 content of the atmosphere.
- What is a 'Carbon Sink'
- I don't know how the forest is best managed.
- Plant more, may be native species
- Sounds like fine words!
- It is heartwarming to learn how sympathetically the whole question of managing the area is being considered, I feel most content and optimistic about future developments
- I would like to see the area left as natural unspoilt region, we do not need cafés, large signs or gaudy information boards, any development will ruin the magic of Llanddwyn, there are too many visitors here already
- I have resided on Anglesey since 1947, I am shocked to think of such a beautiful venue being commercialized and spoiled, this island Llanddwyn is a famous place and much loved and treasured by so many. Please continue to respect its beauty and tranquility
- to be a human being we must be a human doing, taking into account the number of people at the beach especially at weekends/bank holidays is there adequate first aid

facility available? The delay of ambulance negotiating forest road could be vital

- very well thought out the recommendations are practical and realistic and well considered, things have to change and every year there are increasing amounts of people visiting this area and I feel now is the time to get things going!
- not competent to comment, have serious misgivings about the whole tone of questionnaire which we fear will change what we believe is the attraction of the area to us who have enjoyed the many aspects of flora and fauna of the area over the larger part of a lifetime
- full marks for asking our opinion but pointless to ask our overall opinion as some questions are very suspect in nature & others are good ideas
- Not an effective option as area too small and theories of carbon fixing are sketchy.
- Any potential for creating a large, or series of small lakes offer some controlled felling?
- MIXED VIEWS As carbon is stored in young trees in greater quantities felling deciduous may be altered in a way that negatively impacts other concerns
- Certainly topical and ??initiating for the future.
- Definitely should be explored and quantified if possible.
- For this you will need trees.
- The more trees the better as far as climate change is concerned.
- Mae tipyn o anghysondeb ymysg gwyddonwyr ar theori '??? Carbon lle mae coedwigoedd yn y cwestiwn
- Ddim yn deall yn iawn. Credu fod y coed presenol yn gwneud hyn yn barod.
- This is a must if our planet is going to continue to maintain human life.
- I don't know what that involves
- mixed already is a ??? In the world context irrelevant and TOO LATE
- Too small
- Conjecture
- fully support the maintaining of Newborough forest for the long term future, please keep vehicles of the beach, many locals agree with me, it's a special place thank you!
- keep Llanddwyn for the locals. Don't turn it into a mini Blackpool. A cefe, BBQ areas etc will lead to more commercial developments. Llanddwyn is a historical treasure which should be left alone. Our heritage is fast being taken away from the local residents, who were born and breed on this island. Cater less for the so called tourists who couldn't care less for our history
- make haste slowly
- take this to imply forest should be increased, not decreased in size
- more info needed
- At the end of the day, these proposals are all aimed at bringing in more money and to me it appears that your view is stuff the locals unless you live in Newborough of course then they are welcome. Why don't you just put in an amusement arcade and a hotel down there and while your at it, raze all the tress for carparking and turn the forest into a leisure complex!! And no I'm not being serious - I'm so annoyed as you can see through your plans and greed can ruin nature
- waffle The consultation is a waste of money & time and example of government paying lip service to public involvement. CCW will act as it sees fit anyway & paying special regard to ..... manic schemes and hoping that any interventions attract public money for CCW to spend/waste, & create pollution
- The combination of the forest and beach is extremely attractive. Please don't introduce anything to result in litter or noise. I love it as it is.
- Aim should be to reduce extent of forest

- what does this mean? Newborough is a unique site, teeming with wildlife & plant species and should be maintained as a forest for these not for mass marketing and economical benefit
- reasonable proposals, but to leave facilities for review and change if required
- will it? You are not even capable of enclosing a suitable envelope for this form, god help the warren if you succeed
- definitely! Go forth and prosper
- Newborough and Llanddwyn island are very precious! Please do not spoil I, plenty of other beaches on the island as a family we have been visiting for 52 years
- remains such as ???? Are valuable
- danger of being so desperate to generate income that too many people come and ruin the very area they were looking for

## 7.9 Comments on landscape, geology and wildlife proposals

---

- It is important that some blocks (say 10HA each) of the existing pinus Nigra plantation remain intact as the plantation supports rare fungi such as Russula Torulosa, Russula Cessans, Sullus Flavidus and Pluteus Pouzarianu. Apart from Suillus Flavidus, these fungi are dependent on Pinus Nigra. This infers that some replanting of P Nigra will be necessary to maintain these fungi in future. The seaward side of the forest is richest for these fungi. Newborough forest is a National stronghold for Russula Torulosa.
- 1. How can one improve the landscape that is in situ already? It is remarkable and so diverse. Encouraging people to preserve what we already have and appreciating how wonderful it is, maybe we can improve. 2. People seem to be more interested in the archaeology of Ancient Celts the Geological Rock Formations. However, fossils and rare stones have always appealed. 3. The ornithologists have always visited and always shall it is because the area is so rich and diverse and Unspoilt.
- At least, at last we have some involvement of local people in their most precious natural asset. The challenge will be to keep people's interest, and encourage careful use of this area and to keep communications between locals, F.C.W. & CCW open.
- Good idea
- I think Newborough Forest and Warren is a magical place, particularly Llanddwyn Island. The dunes are wonderful too a very special area. The flora is quite unique. I visit the area very often throughout the year and feel privileged to be able to do so.
- I am still not clear why the interest in removal of trees from the shoreline
- Leave nature alone. Too much being done to generate money to the detriment of natural landscape and wild life. Signs for dog owners to clean up after them. Too many newcomers wanting to change the area. If they don't like it the way it is there are plenty of other areas that have been changed for the worst.
- Don't know
- The forest should be viewed as a productive unit NOT purely for regeneration. Interception with an initiative such as the village heating scheme would provide long term benefit to the area.
- Not sure what this would entail. The forest is already a carbon reserve/sink.
- There are some recommendations for "improvement" which would, in my view spoil what is an existing, special place. The fact that effort is required to access some parts is part of the appeal to me. It is not commercialised, and should not be in the future.
- Allow forest to evolve in to a more diverse forest i.e. mixed forest (deciduous and evergreen (aerate soil) to encourage a greater diversity of species. Trying to balance human and no-human needs. However society is very biased towards human interest and education is required to re-adjust that balance.
- Not familiar with the terminology so cannot comment Strongly agree with the ideas set out.



## 7.10 What people think would NOT improve the area and what should not be done

---

- Motorbikes, quad bikes or anything noisy should not be allowed. No motorboats, jet skis either kite buggies on the beach endanger the general public, no vehicles on the beach as something happens
- Grey squirrels because they replace the reds and are such a pest
- Fast food (greasy van) places anything man made and rubbish creating. I like how quiet & natural it is.
- Camping music gatherings
- Dogs and cats
- Chop down trees
- Any kind of boats with engines - wind boards as one hit my niece this summer, no motorcycles allowed in the forest or warren as this not only tears up the paths but is very dangerous, also limit the use of horse-riding as they also tear up the paths and spoil all over the place
- Quad bikes, motorbikes, jet skis. The man made noises would disturb the wildlife and hunting. I know the forestry commission does allow it in some areas but I would be disappointed if it was ever allowed here
- Nudist beach
- Commercial development- ice cream vans motorbike scrambling etc etc. NO NUDIST AREAS!
- Motorbikes - noise threat to wildlife, no camping/BBQ's fire hazard
- Powered watercraft because of interference to swimmers and wildlife. Vehicular access to beach and Llandwyn - inappropriate in such a sensitive nature reserve. Any development on Llanddwyn, including boat storage too sensitive environment
- Grey squirrels. They damage trees very badly and eat birds eggs and fledglings
- Grey squirrels because they ????? Red squirrels by squirrel pox virus etc
- The barrier should be re-erected at the entrance to the car park to prevent vehicular access, a separate signed footpath for dog walker to access the beach going towards aber menai point thus avoiding confrontation with anti dog people. It could be accessed by the edge of the trees just a few yards up the track to the left
- I also think a bird hide on the Malltraeth end of the forest would be very popular
- Maybe tractor rides to the island and along the beach, it could become - mecca for the elderly and the disabled then! ( you could charge and make some money)
- Please look after one of the most beautiful areas in Britain!
- Volunteer wardens from surrounding area's all key holders should have equal access to gates to Llanddwyn as Newborough residents do.
- Why are Malltraeth residents not more closely involved/integrated in decision making/management? Malltraeth as in fact closer to the forest than Newborough
- Manage forest to increase and maintain cone production for long term. Plan succession of cone bearing crops to support red squirrels into the future



## 8 Other comments received

---

- I think the Warren should be made accessible from the beach car park with a circular walk. It could link up with the path running through the dunes already
- A visitor centre could educate the general public. Information needs to be provided so that people don't tramp all over the place disturbing wildlife and damaging flora
- The Forest & Warren already provide a haven for wildlife and the public too much felling would have an adverse effect as has been in the past when the cross bills habitat was destroyed, the ravens were disturbed from their roost
- Improve the habitat for red squirrel
- More information boards
- Provide waste bins in the warren car park and top car parks in forest
- More signs on warren and forest encouraging people to take their rubbish home
- Place information & help station in forest car park
- Left as natural as possible
- Information on walk etc
- Ice cream van, horse hire, painting here all the time
- A slide into the water
- Trees on the warren
- A wall to stop you getting washed out to sea
- Visitor centre/education centre
- Make more paths, but stop people in large 4 x 4 trying and succeeding in entering the forest also motorbikes
- Organise information days for group outings
- More police presence in both area ie car parks
- A visitor centre, it would be a sustainable long term project, it would employ 1 or 2 people it would keep vandalism down, encourage knowledge and learning about the area and through selling information trinkets ie postcards bios t-shirts it might make some money for the area, there would be EU grants for such a project because of its sustainability
- Nothing
- Nothing it is fine as it is
- Managed excluded area ( tree felling/planting)
- Better parking surfaces
- No boat launch, no motorbikes, other exclusions to remain, visible warden patrols
- Controlled access to least sensitive areas
- Nature trails and guided walks - including geology
- Additional information and educational material - including geology
- restricted and managed areas for wildlife eg nesting season/migration
- Tighter controls on powered watercraft. Exclusion from this area as Rhosneigr is available close by
- Retain forest size
- Manage forest for red squirrel ( BAP species)
- Continue vigorous removal of grey squirrels

## **Other comments from the short questionnaire**

- Gwen rhif 7 xx
- The barrier should be re-erected at the entrance to the car park to prevent vehicular access, a separate signed footpath for dog walker to access the beach going towards aber menai point thus avoiding confrontation with anti dog people. It could be accessed by the edge of the trees just a few yards up the track to the left
- I also think a bird hide on the Malltraeth end of the forest would be very popular
- Maybe tractor rides to the island and along the beach, it could become - mecca for the elderly and the disabled then! ( you could charge and make some money)
- Please look after one of the most beautiful areas in Britain!
- Volunteer wardens from surrounding area's all key holders should have equal access to gates to Llanddwyn as Newborough residents do.
- Why are Malltraeth residents not more closely involved/integrated in decision making/management? Malltraeth as in fact closer to the forest than Newborough
- Manage forest to increase and maintain cone production for long term. Plan succession of cone bearing crops to support red squirrels into the future

## 9 How people want to be involved in the future

### 9.1 Getting information in the future?

People returning the full questionnaire were asked how they would like to get information in the future.

They said: number of people

Newyddion Niwbwrch and FC notice boards	242
Local press	234
FC folders in local Post Offices (as above)	196
Forestry Commission (FC) website	190

Other (please specify)

70. Suggestions for 'other' were:

- By letter with an chance to raise issues and opinions
- Informed at home address
- E-mails
- Use local groups such as Carw? Niwbwrch
- Through post
- Surveys such as this one are useful
- mail shots
- 6-month update leaflets at home
- As a frequent visitor and not a resident would like mail updates
- flyer info
- by post
- Please POST to those who have asked to be kept informed!
- E-mail bulletins
- E-mail
- Widespread mailshots - brochure email, schools (educational)
- By post
- E-mail
- National Press, TV Billboards etc Busses
- As we live away any important info by post
- as many different ways as possible
- Snail mail/post
- Post
- Malltraeth as we share the beach
- By Post
- For really important information - by post
- Leaflets distributed around the local area
- To developments with link to FC/CCW on forest notice boards & email mailing alerting
- E-mail
- Sorry aged 88!
- Post
- Newsletter to locals residents should be e-mailed
- Mail shots for locals
- Local business eg shops, pubs, campsites
- By post to non locals would be marvelous but may be to costly?
- Libraries/tourist boards/NWWT
- Mailshots for keyholders
- National Press
- email contact list of interested locals - send out update newsletter
- newsletter
- At all costs
- All Tourist information centres in Wales
- By post for all major decisions being made
- Local meetings/website (for the area)
- To homes (by request)

- Direct mailing to all key holders
- Sorry to old to help
- Anything that can be delivered to the house
- Direct mailing to residents
- Mailshot or direct e-mail
- Specific website for the project
- As this leaflet
- cofiwch am llangaffo
- llythyr
- post
- Drwy'r post I'm cartref os posib neu
- mailed newsletters
- Sunday press articles about lovely places in Great Britain
- Email
- By post
- Odd statement write ups by post please
- I am disabled but wish you success in the future
- Hand outs/flyers
- Tourist information
- A visitor centre at the main car park as suggested in the questionnaire
- newsletter to names/key holders
- Not everyone has a computer so newsletters are useful
- postings to fob holders
- leaflets
- post

## 9.2 Interest in being involved in future discussions

*People returning the full questionnaire were asked what, if anything, they'd like to be involved in discussions about.*

*They said:* *Number of people*

Community Involvement	71
Access and Recreation	62
Education	56
Forest management	51
Warren management	43

## 9.3 Interest in being involved in practical activities

*People returning the full questionnaire were asked what, if anything, they'd like to be involved in discussions about.*

*They said:* *Number of people*

Nature walks / Activities	81
Species surveys	79
Rubbish clearing	75
Art workshops	45
Voluntary wardening	43
Forest school / Forest education	44
Path re-establishment	34