



# Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General  
25 November 2010

Original: English

## Economic Commission for Europe

### Inland Transport Committee

### Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs

#### Sixty-sixth session

Geneva, 9-12 November 2010

## Report of the Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs on its sixty-sixth session

held in Geneva from 9 to 12 November 2010

### Contents

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. Attendance .....	1-2	4
II. Adoption of the agenda (agenda item 1) .....	3	4
III. Activities of ECE bodies of interest to the Working Party (agenda item 2).....	4-5	4
A. Inland Transport Committee (ITC).....	4	4
B. Working Party on Agricultural Quality Standards (WP.7) .....	5	4
IV. Activities of other international organizations dealing with issues of interest to the Working Party (agenda item 3).....	6-9	4
A. International Institute of Refrigeration (IIR) .....	6	4
B. Transfrigoroute International (TI).....	7	5
C. International Organization for Standardization (ISO).....	8	5
D. Compatibility of ATP and European Union legislation.....	9	5
V. Status and implementation of the Agreement on the International Carriage of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Special Equipment to be Used for such Carriage (ATP) (agenda item 4).....	10-16	5
A. Status of application of the Agreement.....	10	5
B. Status of amendments .....	11-14	5
C. Test stations officially designated by the competent authorities of countries Parties to ATP.....	15	6

D.	Exchange of information among Parties under Article 6 of ATP .....	16	6
VI.	Proposals of amendments to the ATP (agenda item 5) .....	17-32	6
A.	Pending proposals .....	17-24	6
1.	Sea crossing and inland waterways .....	17	6
2.	Proposed type-examination certificate .....	18	7
3.	Reorganization of Annex 1, Appendix 1 .....	19	7
4.	Temperature measurement in tanks .....	20	7
5.	12-year ATP tests .....	21	7
6.	6- and 9-year ATP tests .....	22	7
7.	Transitional provision for the old model certificate of compliance.....	23	8
8.	Placement of temperature measurement and recording equipment .....	24	8
B.	New proposals .....	25-32	8
1.	1 percent rule .....	25	8
2.	Reference to a standard .....	26	8
3.	K coefficient of equipment in service .....	27	8
4.	Correction to section 6.2 .....	28	9
5.	Correction to the model certificate of compliance .....	29	9
6.	Consistency between Annexes 2 and 3 .....	30	9
7.	Addition of butter and ripened cheese to Annex 3 .....	31	9
VII.	ATP Handbook (agenda item 6) .....	33-38	9
1.	Calculating the K value of panel vans .....	34	9
2.	Placement of temperature measurement devices in tanks with two compartments.....	35	10
3.	Transitional provision for the old model certificate of compliance.....	36	10
4.	Placement of temperature measurement and recording equipment .....	37	10
5.	Glossary of terminology .....	38	10
VIII.	Article 7 of the ATP (new agenda item) .....	39	10
IX.	Scope of ATP (agenda item 7) .....	40	10
X.	Energy labelling, refrigerants and blowing agents (agenda item 8) .....	41	11
XI.	Rules of procedure of the Working Party (agenda item 9) .....	42-43	11
XII.	Election of officers (agenda item 10).....	44	11
XIII.	Other business (agenda item 11) .....	45-49	12
A.	Multilateral agreement on multi-temperature equipment .....	47-48	12
B.	Dates of the sixty-seventh session .....	49	12
XIV.	Adoption of the report (agenda item 12) .....	50	12

---

**Annexes**

I.	Proposed amendments to ATP adopted at the sixty-sixth session.....	13
II.	Additions to the ATP Handbook.....	15
III.	Terms of reference and rules of procedure of the Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs (WP.11) .....	16

## **I. Attendance**

1. The Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs (WP.11) held its sixty-sixth session from 9-12 November 2010 with Mr. T. Nobre (Portugal) as Chairman and Mr. G. Panozzo (Italy) as Vice-Chairman.

2. Representatives of the following countries took part in the session: Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, Ukraine, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America. The intergovernmental organization International Institute of Refrigeration (IIR) and the non-governmental organizations International Association of the Body and Trailer Building Industry (CLCCR) and Transfrigoroute International (TI) also took part in the session.

## **II. Adoption of the agenda (agenda item 1)**

3. The provisional agenda (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/221 and -/Add.1) was adopted with a number of small modifications. It was agreed to add an item dealing with Article 7 of the ATP.

## **III. Activities of ECE bodies of interest to the Working Party (agenda item 2)**

### **A. Inland Transport Committee (ITC)**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/208

4. The WP.11 took note of the results of the seventy-second session of the ITC including the adoption of the report of WP.11's sixty-fifth session and its programme of work for 2010-2014.

### **B. Working Party on Agricultural Quality Standards (WP.7)**

5. The WP.11 was informed about the recent work of WP.7 on the development of commercial agricultural quality standards for fresh fruit and vegetables, dry and dried produce, seed potatoes, meat and eggs and cut flowers. The standards for meat can be found at the following link: [http://unece.org/trade/agr/standard/meat/meat\\_e.htm](http://unece.org/trade/agr/standard/meat/meat_e.htm) and those for fresh fruit and vegetables at: <http://unece.org/trade/agr/standard/fresh/FFV-Standards.htm>.

## **IV. Activities of other international organizations dealing with issues of interest to the Working Party (agenda item 3)**

### **A. International Institute of Refrigeration (IIR)**

*Document:* Informal document INF.4 (IIR)

6. The representative of IIR briefed WP.11 on the meeting of the IIR CERTE subcommission held in Cambridge, United Kingdom on 29 March 2010. The meeting had agreed to the following recommendations: 1. to support the proposal by France to add the

new AMCA standard 210-07 to provisions on air-flow measurement; 2. to support in principle the proposal by the United Kingdom about reducing the K coefficient for I<sub>N</sub> category equipment; and 3. to support in principle the proposal of France regarding the new type examination certificate. The next meeting of the subcommission would be held in Bordeaux, France in May 2011.

## **B. Transfrigoroute International (TI)**

*Document:* Informal document INF.5 (TI)

7. The representative of Transfrigoroute International informed WP.11 that his organization's annual general meeting had been held in Berlin on 28 and 29 October 2010. The position of TI on a number of proposals under consideration is presented in Informal document INF.5.

## **C. International Organization for Standardization (ISO)**

8. The secretariat had invited ISO to present information on standards related to temperature recorders and maritime containers. The ISO central secretariat had contacted the relevant technical committees which had confirmed that no work was currently being undertaken on those issues.

## **D. Compatibility of ATP and European Union legislation**

9. As suggested at the sixty-fifth session, the secretariat had invited a representative of the EU Directorate General for Enterprise and Industry to participate in the session. The units dealing with vehicles and agriculture had both stated that they were not working on any issues related to the ATP. A representative of the TRACECA Programme had informed the secretariat that there had been no follow up on the ATP project for countries in Central Asia due to lack of funding.

## **V. Status and implementation of the Agreement on the International Carriage of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Special Equipment to be Used for such Carriage (ATP) (agenda item 4)**

### **A. Status of application of the Agreement**

10. The WP.11 was informed that there had been no new accessions to the ATP since the last session and that the number of Contracting Parties was 45. The representative of Turkey announced that his country expected to accede to the ATP early next year.

### **B. Status of amendments**

11. Proposed amendments to the ATP adopted at the sixty-third session of WP.11 in 2007 (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/216 and Add.1) entered into force on 6 December 2009. The version of the ATP reflecting those amendments would be in force until 2 January 2011.

12. The revised Annex 1 to the ATP adopted at the sixty-fourth session of WP.11 in 2008 (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/218 and Add.1) had been considered accepted on 2 July 2010 and would enter into force on 2 January 2011.

13. The correction of the French text of Annex 1, Appendix 2 adopted at the sixty-fifth session of WP.11 in 2009 (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/220, Annex I) was effected on 20 June 2010 and would be effected for the new text of Annex 1, Appendix 2 in December 2010.

14. The secretariat stated that the versions of the ATP in force until 2 January 2011 and from that date were available on the Transport Division website. A printed publication of the new version was also available.

### **C. Test stations officially designated by the competent authorities of countries Parties to ATP**

15. The current updated list of competent authorities and test stations appears at the following web link: <http://www.unece.org/trans/main/wp11/teststations.pdf>. All Contracting Parties were urged to transmit the contact details of their competent authority if it was not yet included in the list and to correct any out of date information. The secretariat was asked to try and ensure that the list was as complete and accurate as possible.

### **D. Exchange of information among Parties under Article 6 of ATP**

*Documents:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/6 and Add.1 (secretariat)

16. The WP.11 thanked those countries that had provided data in response to the questionnaire on the implementation of the ATP in 2009: Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Ireland, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Sweden, Spain and United Kingdom. Countries were reminded of their obligation to respond to the questionnaire.

## **VI. Proposals of amendments to the ATP (agenda item 5)**

### **A. Pending proposals**

#### **1. Sea crossing and inland waterways**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/1 (Finland)

17. The WP.11 examined the revised proposal by Finland regarding the sea crossing and inland waterways. A number of countries supported the modifications to Article 3 but not those to Article 5. Others stressed that the proposal still lacked justification. A number of revised proposals were prepared during the session. The voting on Article 3 of the original proposal was as follows: 7 in favour (Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Spain and Sweden) and 4 against (Denmark, Netherlands, Norway and United States). The voting on Article 5 in the original proposal was as follows: 4 in favour (Finland, France, Germany and Italy) and 9 against (Denmark, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom and United States). The proposals were therefore not accepted. Finland was invited to work on a revised proposal for the sixty-seventh session taking into consideration all comments made by delegates and particularly the request for more hard evidence and data as justification.

## 2. Proposed type-examination certificate

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2009/11/Rev.1 and Add.1 (France)

18. The WP.11 considered the revised proposal by France to introduce a type-examination certificate in Annex 1, Appendix 1 as a means of certifying compliance of designs and tests carried out in accordance with the ATP. It was argued that the proposal would lead to greater intellectual property protection. Some countries did not see the value in adding more documentation. It was agreed that France would prepare a revised proposal for the sixty-seventh session.

## 3. Reorganization of Annex 1, Appendix 1

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/7 (Netherlands)

19. The WP.11 considered the points made by the Netherlands regarding the reorganization of Annex 1, Appendix 1. Spain expressed reservations about some of the proposals. It was agreed that a small group comprised of France, Netherlands and CLCCR would make a proposal for a revised Annex 1, Appendix 1 for the sixty-seventh session.

## 4. Temperature measurement in tanks

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/10 (Russian Federation)

20. The WP.11 agreed to the proposal made by the Russian Federation regarding the placement of temperature measuring equipment in tanks with two compartments with a few modifications (see Annex I). The voting was as follows: 15 in favour (Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Ukraine, United Kingdom and United States) and none against.

## 5. 12-year ATP tests

Document: ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2009/12/Rev.1 (France)

21. The WP.11 considered a revised amendment proposal by France regarding the renewal of ATP certificates after 12 years. The voting on the proposal relating to the insulation check was as follows: 9 in favour (Finland, France, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Serbia, Spain and Sweden) and 4 against (Denmark, Germany, Ireland and Norway). The voting on the proposal relating to the efficiency check was as follows: 7 in favour (Finland, France, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Spain and Sweden) and 4 against (Denmark, Germany, Ireland and Norway). The proposals were therefore not accepted.

## 6. 6- and 9-year ATP tests

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2009/13/Rev.1 (France)  
Informal document INF.1 (Netherlands)

22. The WP.11 examined the revised proposal by France regarding 6- and 9-year ATP tests for non-independent mechanically refrigerated equipment and the comments and alternative proposals made by the Netherlands. It was argued that the procedure brought benefits both in terms of energy savings and improved performance. The voting on the French proposal was as follows: 4 in favour (France, Italy, Portugal and Spain) and four against (Germany, Netherlands, Norway and Poland). The proposal was therefore not accepted. It was agreed that a new proposal should be prepared for the next session drawing on both the documents by France and the Netherlands.

**7. Transitional provision for the old model certificate of compliance**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/3 (Netherlands)

23. The WP.11 agreed to the proposal by the Netherlands to include a transitional provision for the use of ATP certificates issued before the new format came into force (see Annex I). The voting was as follows: 15 in favour (Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovakia, Sweden, Ukraine and United States) and none against.

**8. Placement of temperature measurement and recording equipment**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/9 (Russian Federation)

24. The WP.11 considered a proposal made by the Russian Federation to modify Annex 2, Appendix 1 of the ATP regarding the placement of temperature measurement and recording equipment. The WP.11 agreed to amend the first three paragraphs of Annex 2, Appendix 1 as proposed with small modifications (see Annex I). The voting was as follows: 8 in favour (Denmark, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Russian Federation, Spain and Ukraine) and none against. It was agreed that the provisions in Annex 2, Appendix 1 relating to the placement of measuring instruments should be studied further and that the Russian Federation should present a revised proposal at the sixty-seventh session. The WP.11 agreed that the Russian Federation could work on a similar proposal for Annex 3 for the sixty-seventh session.

**B. New proposals****1. 1 percent rule**

*Document:* Informal document INF.6 (Germany)

25. The WP.11 examined the proposal by Germany to add new text to Annex 1, Appendix 1, paragraph 6 (c) (i) on interior fittings and invited Germany to consider whether to submit a formal proposal on this subject for the sixty-seventh session.

**2. Reference to a standard**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/11 (France)

26. The WP.11 adopted the proposal by France to include a reference to standard AMCA 210-07 in 4.3.4 (see Annex I). The voting was as follows: 18 in favour (Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Ukraine, United Kingdom and United States) and none against. It was proposed that a formal mechanism be found for updating and adding references to standards in the ATP.

**3. K coefficient of equipment in service**

*Document:* Informal document INF.7 (Germany)

27. The WP.11 proposed that Germany should prepare a formal proposal for the sixty-seventh session on the K coefficient attained by equipment in service (Annex 1, Appendix 2, sub-section 5.3). Italy asked that the conformity of the proposal with paragraph 1 of Annex 1 be checked.



**4. Correction to section 6.2**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/13 (secretariat)

28. The WP.11 adopted the correction to section 6.2 of Annex 1, Appendix 2 as proposed by the secretariat to ensure that provisions relating to verifying the effectiveness of mechanically refrigerated equipment covered equipment constructed between 2 January 2011 and 2 January 2012 (see Annex I). The voting was as follows: 16 in favour (Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom and United States) and none against.

**5. Correction to the model certificate of compliance**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/5 (Sweden)

29. The WP.11 did not agree to the proposal by Sweden to delete the box for multi-temperature equipment in the certificate of compliance in Annex 1, Appendix 3. The voting was as follows: 8 in favour (Czech Republic, Denmark, Ireland, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Sweden and United Kingdom) and 5 against (France, Germany, Italy, Portugal and Spain).

**6. Consistency between Annexes 2 and 3**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/4 (Netherlands)

30. The WP.11 agreed to the proposal by the Netherlands correcting the inconsistencies in the listing of foodstuffs in annexes 2 and 3 (see Annex I). The voting was as follows: 15 in favour (Denmark, Finland, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom and United States) and none against.

**7. Addition of butter and ripened cheese to Annex 3**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/2 (Finland)  
Informal document INF.2 (Denmark)

31. The WP.11 did not agree to the proposal made by Finland to amend Annex 3 of the ATP by adding butter and ripened cheese. The voting was as follows: 6 in favour (Finland, France, Italy, Portugal, Russian Federation and Spain) and 4 against (Denmark, Netherlands, Norway and Sweden).

32. The WP.11 asked the secretariat to transmit the proposed amendments in Annex I to the present report to the UN Treaty Section for notification to ATP Contracting Parties in accordance with Article 18 of the ATP.

**VII. ATP Handbook (agenda item 6)**

33. The WP.11 was informed that the latest version of the ATP Handbook appeared on the Transport Division website in English, French and Russian at the following link: [http://unece.org/trans/main/wp11/atp\\_handbook.html](http://unece.org/trans/main/wp11/atp_handbook.html).

**1. Calculating the K value of panel vans**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/12 (United Kingdom)

34. The WP.11 agreed in principle to the proposal made by the United Kingdom to include a comment in the ATP Handbook regarding measurement of the K value of panel

vans. It was agreed that a new wording would be presented to the next IIR CERTE meeting to be held in Bordeaux in 2011.

**2. Placement of temperature measurement devices in tanks with two compartments**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/10 (Russian Federation)

35. The WP.11 adopted the proposal by the Russian Federation to add comments and an explanatory illustration to the ATP Handbook regarding the placement of temperature measurement devices in tanks with two compartments (see Annex II).

**3. Transitional provision for the old model certificate of compliance**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/3 (Netherlands)

36. The WP.11 adopted the proposal by the Netherlands to include a comment in the ATP Handbook regarding the transitional period for the use of ATP certificates issued before the new format came into force (see Annex II).

**4. Placement of temperature measurement and recording equipment**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/9 (Russian Federation)

37. The WP.11 agreed to the proposal by the Russian Federation to add a comment to Annex 2, Appendix 1 of the ATP Handbook regarding air temperature measurement in equipment used for the carriage of deep-frozen perishable foodstuffs, replacing the existing comments (see Annex II).

**5. Glossary of terminology**

*Document:* Informal document INF.3 (France)

38. It was agreed that France would work with IIR to make a new proposal for a glossary of terminology for the ATP Handbook taking into account the terminology used in the official IIR dictionary.

**VIII. Article 7 of the ATP (new agenda item)**

39. Several countries spoke of their concern regarding the drawing up of multilateral agreements based on Article 7 of the ATP. They felt that this posed a threat to the ATP which had until now provided a harmonized basis for the transport of perishable foodstuffs. They stressed the importance for WP.11 of finding solutions which could be incorporated into the ATP itself rather than resorting to multilateral agreements when consensus could not be reached (see also paras. 47 and 48).

**IX. Scope of ATP (agenda item 7)**

40. A revised questionnaire prepared by the Russian Federation with the aim of collecting data before making a proposal on the extension of the scope of the ATP to fresh fruit and vegetables had been sent to ATP Contracting Parties on 16 June 2010. The Russian Federation had received a number of apologies from countries saying that the data requested did not exist and consequently had not been in a position to prepare the proposal. The WP.11 invited the Russian Federation to continue its work by other means with the aim of submitting a proposal to the sixty-seventh session.

## **X. Energy labelling, refrigerants and blowing agents (agenda item 8)**

41. The representative of Transfrigoroute International offered to make available to WP.11 presentations on new refrigerants and blowing agents which had been made at TI's annual general meeting in Berlin. He invited WP.11 to consider organizing a workshop on environmental issues at TI's next annual general meeting to be held in Valencia in 2011. As an alternative proposal, the representative of France invited WP.11 to consider organizing an event in conjunction with the International Congress on the Cold to be held in Prague from 21-26 August 2011. He said he would work with the secretariat to put together a programme so that a decision on holding the event could be taken before February 2011.

## **XI. Rules of procedure of the Working Party (agenda item 9)**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2009/6/Rev.1 (secretariat)

42. The WP.11 adopted its terms of reference and rules of procedure as proposed by the secretariat with the following modifications:

- In Rule 1 (b), replace "devoted to matters relating to a legal instrument to which they are Contracting Parties" by "if they are ATP Contracting Parties";
- In Rule 14, second sentence, replace "for the unexpired portion of the term" by "at the opening of the next session";
- In Rule 32, delete the second sentence;
- Modify Rule 34 to read "Full participants shall have one vote in WP.11 but only ATP Contracting Parties may vote on amendments to the ATP or the ATP Handbook;
- Modify Rule 35 to read "Decisions regarding the ATP shall be carried by a unanimous vote in favour. Decisions regarding the ATP Handbook shall be carried by a majority vote in favour provided that there are no more than three votes against the proposal. All other decisions shall chiefly be taken by consensus but in the absence of consensus, decisions shall be taken by a majority of the full participants present and voting."
- Modify Rule 37 to read "All elections shall be decided by a show of hands."
- Delete Rule 38.
- In addition, in the French version, in Article 30 replace "WP.15" by "WP.11" and in the Russian version, in Rule 40, replace the expression "mutatis mutandis" by its equivalent in Russian.

43. The secretariat was invited to submit the final revised text for approval by the Inland Transport Committee and endorsement by the ECE Executive Committee (see Annex III).

## **XII. Election of officers (agenda item 10)**

44. The WP.11 elected Mr. Telmo Nobre (Portugal) as Chairman and Mr. Girolamo Panozzo (Italy) as Vice-Chairman for its sixty-seventh session in 2011.

### **XIII. Other business (agenda item 11)**

45. The Working Party observed a minute's silence in honour of Mogens Eilsøe from Denmark who passed away in August this year. Mr. Eilsøe was a longstanding delegate and also Chairman of WP.11 for many years until the sixtieth session in 2004. He made a substantial contribution to WP.11's work. The WP.11 extended its condolences to his family.

46. The representative of the Netherlands commented that with the new version of the ATP certificate it was impossible to have all the information on one side of a page. The WP.11 agreed that as a general rule the certificate should not exceed two sides.

#### **A. Multilateral agreement on multi-temperature equipment**

*Document:* ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/8 (secretariat)

47. The WP.11 took note of the text of a multilateral agreement on the recognition of test procedures for multi-compartment multi-temperature equipment drawn up by France, Italy, Portugal and Spain on the basis of Article 7 of the ATP. The representative of TI said that he had opposed the proposal on multi-temperature equipment for food safety reasons and hoped that the completion of the TI proposal on the suggested test methodology next year might facilitate a broader approach being taken. Several countries expressed their disappointment that a solution had not been found that included all countries and questioned whether the multilateral agreement would lead to unfair competition. In response, it was stressed that the multilateral agreement is open to all countries and would no longer apply once provisions for multi-temperature equipment had been added to the ATP. It was confirmed that it would not affect transport operations in ATP Contracting Parties.

48. A member of the secretariat cited the case of the European Agreement on the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR) which had more than 200 multilateral agreements under it which worked successfully. He said he had sent some proposed modifications regarding the text of the multilateral agreement to the countries concerned aimed at simplifying and clarifying the text and suggesting a procedure for notification similar to that used for ADR multilateral agreements, subject to further consultation with the United Nations Treaty Section. The representative of France confirmed that the text would be amended accordingly.

#### **B. Dates of the sixty-seventh session**

49. The WP.11 was informed that the dates of 25-28 October 2011 had been reserved for the sixty-seventh session of WP.11. The deadline for submission of documents was 15 July 2011.

### **XIV. Adoption of the report (agenda item 12)**

50. The Working Party adopted the report on its sixty-sixth session on the basis of a draft prepared by the secretariat.

## Annex I

### Proposed amendments to the ATP adopted at the sixty-sixth session

#### 1. Annex 1, Appendix 2, paragraph 2.2.4

Insert a new indent (b) to read as follows:

"(b) If the tank has two compartments, the measurements shall be made at least at the following points:

Near the bottom of the first compartment and near the partition with the second compartment, at the extremities of three radiuses forming 120° angles, one of the radiuses being directed vertically upwards.

Near the bottom of the second compartment and near the partition with the first compartment, at the extremities of three radiuses forming 120° angles, one of the radiuses being directed vertically downwards."

The existing indent (b) becomes (c).

Amend the last paragraph of the existing 2.2.4 (b) to read as follows:

"(d) The mean inside temperature and the mean outside temperature of the tank shall respectively be the arithmetic mean of all the measurements taken inside and all the measurements taken outside the tank. In the case of tanks having at least two compartments, the mean inside temperature of each compartment shall be the arithmetic mean of the measurements made in the compartment, and the number of those measurements in each compartment shall be no less than four and the total number of measurements in all compartments of the tank shall be no less than twelve."

#### 2. Annex 1, Appendix 2, paragraph 4.3.4 (ii)

Insert "AMCA 210-07," after "AMCA 210-85,".

#### 3. Annex 1, Appendix 2, paragraph 6.2

In 6.2 (i) replace:

"(i) Equipment constructed one year after the entry into force of these provisions [02/01/2012]".

by

"(i) Equipment constructed from 2 January 2012".

In 6.2 (ii) replace:

"For equipment constructed prior to the entry into force of these provisions [02/01/2011], the following provisions shall apply:".

by

"For equipment constructed prior to the date given in 6.2 (i), the following provisions shall apply:".

**4. Annex 1, Appendix 3 A**

Introduce the following text after the heading:

"Certificates of compliance of equipment issued before 2 January 2011 in accordance with the requirements regarding the model of the certificate in Annex 1, Appendix 3 in force until 1 January 2011 shall remain valid until their original date of expiry."

**5. Annex 2, paragraph 4**

For "All frozen foodstuffs (except butter)" read "All other frozen foodstuffs (except butter)".

**6. Annex 2, Appendix 1**

Amend the first three paragraphs to read as follows:

"The transport equipment shall be fitted with an instrument capable of measuring and recording air temperatures and storing the data obtained (hereinafter referred to as the instrument) to monitor the air temperatures to which quick-frozen foodstuffs intended for human consumption are subjected.

The instrument shall be verified in accordance with EN 13486 (Temperature recorders and thermometers for the transport, storage and distribution of chilled, frozen, deep-frozen/quick-frozen food and ice cream – Periodic verification) by an accredited body and the documentation shall be available for the approval of ATP competent authorities.

The instrument shall comply with standard EN 12830 (Temperature recorders for the transport, storage and distribution of chilled, frozen, deep-frozen/quick-frozen food and ice cream – Tests, performance, suitability)."

**7. Annex 3**

Amend III to read "Meat products<sup>3</sup>, pasteurized milk, butter, fresh dairy products (yoghurt, kefir, cream and fresh cheese<sup>4</sup>), ready cooked foodstuffs (meat, fish, vegetables), ready to eat prepared raw vegetables and vegetable products<sup>5</sup>, concentrated fruit juice and fish products<sup>3</sup> not listed below".

## Annex II

### Additions to the ATP Handbook

1. Introduce the following comment after the new 2.2.4 (b) in Annex 1, Appendix 2, and add figure 2 from document ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2010/10 to the section of the ATP Handbook on Examples of Good Practice:

*"Comment:*

*The layout of the placement of air temperature measurement devices inside and outside tanks with two compartments is shown in figure 2." (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/222, para. 35)*

2. Introduce the following comment after the title of Annex 1, Appendix 3 A:

*"Comment:*

*Certificates of compliance of equipment issued before 2 January 2011 in accordance with the requirements regarding the model of the certificate of Annex 1, Appendix 3 in force until 1 January 2011 shall remain valid until their original date of expiry." (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/222, para. 36)*

3. Introduce the following comments after Annex 2, Appendix 1, replacing the existing comments:

*"Comments:*

1. *The measuring instrument must measure air temperature in transport equipment with an accuracy of at least  $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .*
2. *The measuring instrument must allow for measuring air temperature and recording/logging the measured value of the air temperature:*
  - *At least once every 5 minutes if the duration of the journey does not exceed 24 hours;*
  - *At least once every 15 minutes if the duration of the journey is between 24 hours and 7 days;*
  - *At least once every 60 minutes if the duration of the journey exceeds 7 days.*
3. *The recording instrument should make it possible to determine whether the instrument or its components for the measurement and recording and storage of the values of air temperatures have shut down during the carriage of quick-frozen perishable foodstuffs." (ECE/TRANS/WP.11/222, para. 37).*

## **Annex III**

### **Terms of reference and rules of procedure of the Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs (WP.11)**

(See ECE/TRANS/WP.11/222/Add.1)

---