

Transmitted by the expert from India

**INDIA'S COMMENTS ON NEW PROPOSAL FOR DRAFT
AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION No. 48 VIDE ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2007/55**

India agrees for the amendments suggested in ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2007/55 except for the proposed amendment to 5.7.1.1. India does not agree for the amendment to 5.7.1.1., due the following:

When the colour of the lamps reciprocally incorporated with the front position lamp (FPL) is already white there is no practical use of checking the colour of these lamps with FPL in ON position, as the colours of both are same.

Only in the case of front fog lamp, front direction indicator and front hazard warning lamps, colours other than white is permitted.

In the case of rear position lamp (RPL), only the rear registration plate lamps, reversing lamp and rear most side marker lamps have permission to use colours other than red.

In case the amendment suggested by GTB is to be considered for lamps reciprocally incorporated with FPL / RPL, India suggests that requirement may be incorporated in the regulations for the following devices because it is essential for the devices to comply these requirements at the type approval stage.

The affected regulations for the devices are:

- ECE R4 for rear registration plate lamp.
- ECE R6 for Direction Indicator lamp.
- ECE 19 for Front fog lamp.
- ECE 23 for Reverse lamp
- ECE R91 for side marker lamps