

**PROPOSAL FOR AN AMENDMENT TO TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2005/44**

*(Transmitted by the expert from CLCCR)*

**A. PROPOSAL**

In working document TRANS/WP29/GRE/2005/44, amend paragraph 6.21.4.2.3. to read as follows :

However, if the manufacturer can prove to the satisfaction of the authority responsible for type approval that it is impossible to achieve the value referred to in paragraph 6.21.4.2.2. above, then the application of the conspicuity marking is to come as close as practicable to the prescriptions contained within this regulation and this to be agreed between the vehicle manufacturer and the approval authority.

**B. JUSTIFICATION**

Although almost all vehicles can comply with the prescriptions contained within document TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2005/44 there will be a few who because of their shape, structure, design or operational requirements cannot comply. Because these exceptions are so very different in their design, for these vehicles, no prescription for the mounting of conspicuity marking is possible.

Although the suggested new wording for paragraph 6.21 4.2.2. may not at first appear ideal, this kind of wording is not new for a UN-ECE Regulation. A recent amendment to R13 uses similar wording.

-----