Information for the attention of Implementation Committee, Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo, 1991)

To be sent through the Secretary to the Convention:

Ms. Tea Aulavuo

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe

Office 319, Palais des Nations,

8-14 Avenue de la Paix, 1211 Genève 10, Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 917 17 23
Fax: +41 22 917 01 07
E-mail: eia.conv@unece.org
Website: www.unece.org/env/eia

Date sent to the	22.3.2013 (date of sending this form); first complaint via letter
Secretary	without form sent 12.3.2012

Sent by ("the source"):

Name	Sylvia Kotting-Uhl
Organization	German Parliament
Postal	Platz der Republik 1
address	
Country	11011 Berlin
(State)	
Telephone	+49-30-227-74740
Fax	+49-30-227-76742
E-mail	sylvia.kotting-uhl@bundestag.de
Website	<www.kotting-uhl.de> and</www.kotting-uhl.de>
	http://www.bundestag.de/bundestag/abgeordnete17/biografien/K/kotting_uhl_sylvia.h

Concerning:

Ouricerning.	
Party or Parties	United Kingdom
(States) of origin,	
under whose jurisdiction	
a proposed activity is	
envisaged to take place	
Affected Party or	Germany
Parties (States), likely	
to be affected by the	
transboundary impact of	
a proposed activity	
Activity (project),	construction of a new nuclear power station at Hinkley Point C
identified in the list of	
activities in Appendix I to	
the Convention	leves redisestive release under response releation of MDD
Likely significant	large radioactive release under non normal plant conditions at NPP
adverse trans-	Hinkley Point C; airborne wet or dry deposition of radioactive substances
boundary impact of	on German territory, reaching contamination levels which foresee
the activity (project)	measures imposed by German radiation protection rules and guidelines
Provisions of the	Article 3, Article 4, Article 5 and Article 6
Convention (Articles)	
compliance with which is	
being contested	

Description of issue

– please attach
supporting
information

Nuclear Power Plants are listed as activities, for which transboundary EIA procedures are required by the ESPOO Convention. The respective UK authorities had not notified the planned activity to German. This results in a lack of participation for the German public to access to the relevant documents and to submit statements before granting the relevant permissions. There was still no notification to Germany, even after I wrote to the UK Secretary of State Edward Davey pleading for one before he intends to grant his Development Consent for Hinkley Point C on March 19th 2013. Please find attached an official information by the German Federal Government that there was no notification to Germany by UK regarding the project Hinkley Point C. The information was given to me on February 20th in response to a parliamentary interpellation (attachment no.1; part of response regarding Hinkley Point C marked yellow). Please find also attached my letter to SoS Mr. Davey of March 13th 2013 (attachment no.2) and the response of his ministry of March 15th 2013 (attachment no.3). It should be noted that UK only notified Austria on the subject. Austria which performed a public consultation which was finished by March 1st 2013. The Austrian Expert Statement decribes in detail how Austria could be affected by the proposed activity. (see attachement no.4) Due to the fact that German borders are much more nearer to the UK, it is obvious that UK had to notify Germany (as well as other neighbouring states) at the date it had notified Austria.

For use by secretariat:

Tor doe by decretariat.			
Reference number	EIA / IC / INFO /		
Date received			
Original language of			
information			
Translation into			
English by			
Date forwarded to			
Committee			
Date forwarded to			
Party or Parties			
Remarks by			
secretariat			
Date first discussed			
by the Committee			
(possibly including			
preliminary determination of admissibility)			
Additional			
information			
requested of source			

For use by the Committee:

i dec by the committee.	
The source of the information is known and not anonymous	
The information relates to an activity listed in Appendix I to the	
Convention likely to have a significant adverse transboundary impact	
The information is the basis for a profound suspicion of non-compliance	
The information relates to the implementation of Convention provisions	
Committee time and resources are available	