Proposal for Correction to ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2013/45

The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from The International Automotive Lighting and Light Signalling Expert Group (GTB) to introduce editorial improvements that have been identified since the submission of the formal document. The corrections to the text of the original proposal are identified by comments in the right-hand column.

I. Proposal

A. Proposal for Supplement 18 to the original series of amendments to Regulation No. 4 (Illumination of rear registration plates)

Paragraph 1.3., amend to read:

1.3. “Rear registration plate lamps of different types” means lamps which differ in such essential respects as:

(a) the trade name or mark:

(i) lamps bearing the same trade name or mark but produced by different manufacturers shall be considered as being of different types;

(ii) lamps produced by the same manufacturer differing only by the trade name or mark shall be considered as being of the same type.

(b) the characteristics

Paragraph 2.(c), amend to read:

“(c) Two samples, equipped with the lamp or lamps recommended.

Insert a new paragraph 2.(d), to read:

“(d) In the case of a type of lamp differing only by the trade name or mark from a type that has already been approved it shall be sufficient to submit:

(i) a declaration by the lamp manufacturer that the type submitted is identical (except in the trade name or mark) with and has been produced by the same manufacturer as the type already approved, the latter being identified by its approval code;

(ii) two samples bearing the new trade name or mark or equivalent documentation.”

_______________________________

Comment [GTB1]: This text is correct

Comment [GTB2]: This should have referred to the insertion of a new paragraph 1(c) because the original paragraph 1(c) was removed by R4c2c1. HOWEVER, the content of the proposed new paragraph 1(d) is associated with the application for approval and the text should be included in a new paragraph 2(d)

Comment [GTB3]: The word “recommended” should be removed as it is outdated and no longer appropriate.

Comment [GTB4]: This new paragraph 2.(d) is inserted to contain the text that was originally proposed for the new paragraph 1.(d) (Please see comment GTB1 above)