

Proposal for a Corrigendum to Regulation No. 48

I. Proposal

Paragraph 6.21.1.2.5., amend to read:

"6.21.1.2.5. In cases where the manufacturer, after verification by the Technical Service, can prove to the satisfaction of the authority responsible for type approval that it is impossible, due to the operational requirements which may require special shape, structure or design of the vehicle, to comply with the requirements contained in paragraphs 6.21.2. to 6.21.7.5., then partial fulfilment of some of these requirements is acceptable. This is conditional upon a portion of the requirements being met where possible, and the application of conspicuity markings that partially meet requirements maximised on the vehicle structure. This may include fitting of additional brackets or plates containing material compliant with Regulation No. 104 where structure is available to ensure clear and uniform signalling compatible with the objective of conspicuity.

Where partial fulfilment is deemed acceptable, retro-reflective devices like retro-reflectors of ~~class IV~~ **class IVA** of Regulation No. 3 or brackets containing retro-reflecting material compliant with photometric requirements of Class C of Regulation No. 104 may substitute part of the required conspicuity markings. In this case, at least one of these retro-reflective devices shall be installed per 1500 mm.

The necessary information shall be indicated in the communication form."

II. Justification

Para 6.21.1.2.5. of Regulation No. 48 makes reference to retro-reflectors of class IV of Regulation No. 3, however Regulation No. 3 contains requirements to class IVA, not IV.
