THE SAFETY BELT PROBLEM IN BUS ROLLOVER ACCIDENT

How to prevent the ejection of passengers?
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SAFETY BELT

• Safety belt was developed to prevent projection in frontal collision.
• The coach seats have to be equipped with safety belts.
• It was thought that in rollover the safety belt also prevents:
  ▪ both projection and ejection.
  ▪ both partial and total ejection.
• 2 pts belt (airplane) and 3 pts belt was proposed and discussed.
• Questions:
  – what about the standing passengers? (Class II)
  – how to make sure the obligatory use of the safety belt during a long journey?
  – is the safety belt really effective in rollover?
  – does safety belt have disadvantages?
SAFETY BELT

Quasi static and dynamic tilting tests were carried out in Hungary (AUTOKUT):

- to study the effectiveness of safety belt in rollover
- to compare the 2 pts and 3 pts belts
- to compare the behaviour of 50% male Hybrid III dummy and real passenger (human body), approximately with the same size
- to study (measure) the releasing force of safety belt after the tilting test, when the belt is loaded
- to study the possible disadvantage of the safety belt in rollover
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- strong, steel tilting frame
- real coach seat with 2 pts and 3 pts safety belt installation
- for safety reason the seat was shifted ~ 300 mm away from the „theoretical side wall”
- first the tilting frame was slowly rotated (quasi static motion) and reaching the equilibrium position it rotates quickly (dynamic motion) until reaching the ground
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Three tilting positions

Dummy with 3 pts belt

\[ \alpha = 20^\circ \]

\[ \alpha = 25^\circ \]

\[ \alpha = 30^\circ \]
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Three tilting positions

Dummy with 2 pts belt

$\alpha = 20^\circ$

$\alpha = 25^\circ$

$\alpha = 30^\circ$
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Three tilting positions

Human body with 2 pts belt

\[ \alpha = 20^\circ \]

\[ \alpha = 25^\circ \]

\[ \alpha = 30^\circ \]

It is impossible to be sitting on the seat without strong grasping, even at \( \alpha = 20^\circ \)
Comparing the 3 tests

The dummy can not simulate the real passenger motion and behaviour

The dummy is too rigid in crosswise direction
SAFETY BELT

How to release the safety belt

**Dummy with 3 pts**

a) The dummy is hanging on the seat belt, only its feet are touching the ground

b) Trying to release the safety belt through a force transducer (380 N)

c) The dummy fell out from the seat after releasing the belt
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Comparing 3 pts and 2 pts belts with dummy, in final position

3 pts belt, dummy is hanging on the belt
(Belt releasing force: 380 N)

2 pts belt, dummy is hanging on the belt, but its head and arms are supported by the ground.
(Belt releasing force: 310 N)

Empty seat in normal position, belt releasing force: 29 N
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Just a notion, an estimation about the passenger’s position

- think about 4 passengers in one row of seats
- consider panic after a rollover
- in case of a fire, there are only 3-5 minutes to evacuate the bus
- are the safety belts really „safe” in rollover?
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VOLVO rollover test

Starting (original) position  Final position after 3¼ rotations
MAIN CONCLUSIONS

• Safety belt can not solve the partial ejection (neither 3 pts nor 2 pts belt)

• If the bus is lying on its side or standing on its roof, the belted passengers are hanging on the belt and it is impossible to release the safety belt.

• The Hybrid III. dummies are not appropriate to simulate the human body’s behaviour in rollover (neither in test, nor in computer simulation), They are very rigid in cross-wise direction.