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Contents of the presentation

- changing discourses on development and well-being in the XX. century
- the problematization of „human development”
- methodological and statistical problems of HDI
- constructing a modified HDI for microregions of Hungary
Antecedents

- new *concepts* in social sciences in the XX. century – quality of life, well-being, sustainability, competitiveness, development, basic needs, level of living etc. → they need to be measured somehow…
- changing concepts and methodological innovations of measuring these phenomenas
- growing number of available indicators, „data revolution”
- growth versus development – „developmentalism”, and unexpected outcomes
If you wanna be happy, explore Central-America!
What is human development?

- its roots trace back to the ancient philosophy of Aristotle
- „human well-being is generated by our actions”
- 1960s: „Social Indicator Movement” – well-being versus welfare
- Amartya Sen’s capabilities approach
  - development as freedom
  - against the „mainstream” economic approaches of development
  - means and ends
The HDI and its problems

- 1990: First Human Development Report

- 3 dimensions of social and economic „life”
  - long and healthy (?) life
  - education
  - wealth (?)

- changing methodology in the last 25 years

- „reinvention of the wheel?” – is it a good proxy-indicator against the GDP-based approaches?
The HDI and its problems

- Criticisms from the early 90’s
  - Critics of the index and its components
    - (dimensions and sub-indicators)
  - Methodological critics
    - (computation methodology, weighting problems)
  - Criticism of the whole concept of HDI
    - „objective statistics may look good in a paper, but subjective realities should be better measures of general welfare and well-being”
    - Happiness and subjective well-being researches
Possibilities for constructing a sub-national HDI

- Fact: HDI is a *national* indicator
- → average of the averages, doesn’t take into account the inequalities *within* a country
- methodological problems in Hungary
  - life expectancy: age-specific mortality tables (available) ✓
  - GNI / GDP: methodological problems… ×
  - literacy, average schooling years: restricted data-collection ×
- most dimensions need a proxy indicator – which is correct?
Possibilities for constructing a sub-national HDI

- Data source: HCSO
- **life expectancy**: available at sub-national levels, but the concept of HD refers to the long *and healthy* life
- **GDP / GNI:**
  - net income per capita – NTCA, complete cover, yearly
  - net income of households – EU-SILC methodology, yearly
- **average and expected years of schooling:**
  - globally it’s based on the methodology of Barro & Lee (2013) and UNESCO (2013)
  - in Hungary only Censuses (10-years) and Microcensuses (5-years) could be appropriate data sources
  - proxy: rate of 25-year population and older with a college degree + number of years performed in school
Calculating methods

- Goalposts:
  - *life expectancy*: max.: observed, min.: 20 years
  - *income per capita*: observed max. and min. values of macroregions
    - in a longitudinal research it could be changed by the observed max. and min. values of different years, thus the basis could be a former year as well
    - transformation: „function from income to capabilities has to be concave”
    - using natural logarithm of incomes
  - *education dimension*:
    - ratio of people with college degree over 25 years
    - max.: observed, min.: 0%
Calculating methods

First step:

Dimension index = \[\frac{\text{actual value} - \text{minimum value}}{\text{maximum value} - \text{minimum value}}\]

Second step:

\[HDI = \left( I_{Health} \cdot I_{Education} \cdot I_{Income} \right)^{1/3}\]
Results

Income per capita of microregions (2012)
Results

Calculated HDI of microregions (2012)
Results

- However significant correlations exist between income per capita and HDI, some areas have differences in their rankings.
- Transdanubia: re-industrialization, revitalization of former „heavy industrial axis” – better income situation.
- Opposite side: blue colour, where HDI situation is better than income position → universities, bigger hospitals, health/recreation areas, educational and demographic situation is higher-ranked.
Results

- Methodological problems: *static* or *dynamic* comparison?
„In practice, it is difficult to imagine that the debate on the use of composite indicators will ever be settled. Just to give an example that is linked to our experience, official statisticians may tend to resent composite indicators, whereby a large amount of work in data collection and editing is ‘wasted’ or ‘hidden’ behind a single number of dubious significance. However, the temptation of stakeholders and practitioners to summarize complex and sometime elusive processes (e.g. sustainability or a single-market policy) into a single figure to bench-mark country performance for policy consumption seems likewise irresistible.”

Saisana, Saltelli & Tarantola (2005: 308)
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